



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**OPTIMIZATION OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL DUAL BEAM SCANNING
SYSTEM USING GENETIC ALGORITHMS**

JOHNNY KOH SIAW PAW

FK 2008 5



**OPTIMIZATION OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL DUAL BEAM SCANNING
SYSTEM USING GENETIC ALGORITHMS**

By

JOHNNY KOH SIAW PAW

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

January 2008



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment
of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**OPTIMIZATION OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL DUAL BEAM SCANNING
SYSTEM USING GENETIC ALGORITHMS**

By

JOHNNY KOH SIAW PAW

January 2008

Chairman: Associate Professor Ishak bin Aris, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

This thesis presents a new approach to optimize the performance of a dual beam optical scanning system in terms of its scanning combinations and speed, using Genetic Algorithm (GA). The problem has been decomposed into two sub problems; task segregation, where the scanning tasks need to be segregated and assigned for each scanner head, and path planning where the best combinatorial paths for each scanner are determined in order to minimize the total motion of scanning time. The knowledge acquired by the process is interpreted and mapped into vectors, which are kept in the database and used by the system to guide its reasoning process. Also, this research involves in developing a machine-learning system and program via genetic algorithm that is capable of performing independent learning capability and optimization for scanning sequence using novel GA operators. The main motivation for this research is to introduce and evaluate an advance new customized GA. Comparison results of different



combinatorial operators, and tests with different probability factors are shown. Also, proposed are the new modifications to existing genetic operator called DPPC (Dynamic Pre-Populated Crossover) together with modification of a simple method of representation, called MLR (Multi-Layered Representation). In addition, the performance of the new operators called GA_INSP (GA Inspection Module), DTC (Dynamic Tuning Crossover), and BCS (Bi-Cycle Selection Method) for a better evolutionary approach to the time-based problem has been discussed in the thesis. The simulation results indicate that the algorithm is able to segregate and assign the tasks for each scanning head and also able to find the shortest scanning path for different types of objects coordination. Besides that, the implementation of the new genetic operators helps to converge faster and produce better results. The representation approach has been implemented via a computer program in order to achieve optimized scanning performance. This algorithm has been tested and implemented successfully via a dual beam optical scanning system.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENGOPTIMUMAN SISTEM PENGIMBAS DWI ALUR DUA-DIMENSI
MENGUNAKAN ALGORITMA GENETIK**

Oleh

JOHNNY KOH SIAW PAW

January 2008

Pengerusi: Professor Madya Ishak bin Aris, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Tesis ini memperkenalkan satu langkah baru untuk mengoptimalkan prestasi sistem pengimbas dwi-alur optikal dalam kombinasi imbasan dan kepantasannya menggunakan algoritma genetik. Kerumitan projek telah diasingkan kepada dua pecahan masalah; pengasingan tugas, di mana tugas imbasan perlu diasingkan dan diagihkan kepada setiap modul pengimbas, begitu juga dengan pelan gerakan di mana kombinasi gerakan terbaik untuk setiap pengimbas akan ditentukan untuk tujuan meminimalkan jumlah masa pergerakan imbasan. Sebahagian daripada kajian ini membabitkan pembangunan sistem mesin-belajar dan program melalui algoritma genetik yang berupaya untuk menunjukkan kebolehan untuk belajar secara tersendiri dan pengoptimuman tatasusun imbasan menggunakan operator-operator baru genetik. Motivasi utama kajian ini adalah untuk memperkenalkan dan menilai cara terbaru algoritma terkhusus. Keputusan perbandingan untuk pelbagai operator kombinatorial dan ujian-ujian dengan pelbagai faktor kebarangkalian telah



ditunjukkan. Begitu juga yang dicadangkan adalah modifikasi baru kepada operator genetik yang sedia ada dinamakan “DPPC (Dynamic Pre-Populated Crossover)”, dan modifikasi kepada cara mudah untuk mewakili penyelesaian, dinamakan “MLR (Multi-Layered Representation)”. Tambahan, prestasi untuk operator-operator baru dinamakan “GA_INSP (GA Inspection Module)”, “DTC (Dynamic-Tuning Crossover)” dan “BCS (Bi-Cycle Selection Method)”, untuk langkah evolusi yang lebih baik bagi masalah berasaskan masa tersebut telah dibincangkan dalam tesis ini. Keputusan simulasi menunjukkan algoritma tersebut berupaya mengasingkan dan mengagihkan tugas-tugas untuk setiap modul pengimbas dan juga berupaya untuk mencari gerakan imbasan yang terpendek untuk berlainan jenis koordinasi objek. Selain itu, penggunaan operator-operator genetik baru membantu keputusan yang baik diperolehi dengan cepat. Langkah perwakilan ini telah diimplementasi melalui program komputer untuk tujuan mencapai prestasi yang optima. Algoritma ini telah diuji dan berjaya diimplementasi menggunakan modul pengimbas dwi-alur optikal.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The success of this project involves many valued contributions from a number of persons. I am grateful to my project supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Ishak Aris for his invaluable supervision, advice and guidance in the development of this project till its completion. He has given me lot of encouragements, and supports that had motivated me to successfully manage this research. A word of thanks to the project co-supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Senan Mahmoud, Dr. Vigna Kumaran, and Dr. Mohd Hamiruce who gave me the opportunity and support to handle this project.

I would like to acknowledge the Universiti Tenaga Nasional for sponsoring my study. I thank Associate Professor Dr. Jamaluddin Omar and Associate Professor Dr. Ramli Jaya for their support. Also, I am deeply indebted to Mr. J.H. Ong, Mr. Y.K. Tai and Mr. Y.S. Chee from MID Automation Sdn. Bhd., whose professional careers have always revolved around motion control, reviewed the motion systems chapter for me, and participated in many more technical discussions.

Finally, thanks to my wife Jenny Teh for her constant care, support and understanding especially after having the little Jenna. And heartfelt gratitude to my late father, my mother and brothers for their kindness, care and encouragements.

It is my hope that this thesis would contribute to the organizations in furthering their research.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 10th January 2008 to conduct the final examination of Johnny Koh Siaw Paw on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Optimization of Two-Dimensional Dual Beam Scanning System Using Genetic Algorithms” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Sudhanshu Shekhar Jamuar, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Adzir Mahdi, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Samsul Bahari Mohd Noor, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Shamsudin Hj. Mohd Amin, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Electrical Engineering,
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 February 2008



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Ishak bin Aris, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Sinan Mahmud, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd Hamiruce bin Marhaban, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Vigna Kumaran, PhD

Lecturer
College of Engineering
Universiti Tenaga Nasional
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 February 2008



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

JOHNNY KOH SIAW PAW

Date:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| ABSTRACT | ii |
| ABSTRAK | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | vi |
| APPROVAL | vii |
| DECLARATION | ix |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiv |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xviii |
| | |
| CHAPTER | |
| | |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1.1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 1.4 |
| 1.3 Objectives of the Research | 1.7 |
| 1.4 Research Scope | 1.8 |
| 1.5 Overview of the Research | 1.9 |
| 1.6 Thesis Layout | 1.12 |
| | |
| 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 Development in Genetic Algorithm | 2.1 |
| 2.2 Other Intelligent Control Techniques | 2.5 |
| 2.2.1 Neural Networks | 2.5 |
| 2.2.2 Hill Climbing | 2.6 |
| 2.2.3 Simulated Annealing | 2.7 |
| 2.3 Genetic Algorithm Solutions | 2.7 |
| 2.3.1 Overview of Genetic Algorithm | 2.9 |
| 2.3.2 Initial Population Generation | 2.12 |
| 2.3.3 Selection Criteria | 2.13 |
| 2.3.4 Genetic Algorithm Operators | 2.16 |
| 2.3.5 Fitness Evaluation | 2.19 |
| 2.3.6 Genetic Algorithm Parameters | 2.21 |
| 2.3.7 Formulation Techniques | 2.24 |
| 2.3.8 Advantages of Genetic Algorithm | 2.25 |
| 2.4 Routing Algorithms and Graph Modelling | 2.27 |
| 2.4.1 Minimum Spanning Tree | 2.27 |
| 2.4.2 Travelling Salesman Problem | 2.29 |
| 2.5 Modern Technology of Machine Learning and Control | 2.36 |
| 2.5.1 Learning Functions | 2.39 |
| 2.5.2 Learning about the World | 2.40 |
| 2.5.3 Learning to Coordinate Behaviours | 2.40 |



| | | |
|----------|--|------|
| | 2.5.4 Learning New Behaviours | 2.41 |
| 2.6 | Development in Laser Scanning Control | 2.42 |
| 2.7 | Summary of Literature Review | 2.47 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 | Design Overview | 3.1 |
| 3.2 | Proposed Dual Beam Scanning System Software | 3.2 |
| | 3.2.1 Basic System Software Architecture | 3.4 |
| | 3.2.2 Case Study of Scanning Sample | 3.10 |
| 3.3 | Genetic Algorithm Optimization Method | 3.12 |
| | 3.3.1 Genetic Operation | 3.13 |
| | 3.3.2 Problem Formulations | 3.21 |
| 3.4 | Design of New Alternative GA Operators | 3.24 |
| | 3.4.1 Multi-Layered Representation (<i>MLR</i>) | 3.24 |
| | 3.4.2 Dynamic Pre-Populated Crossover (<i>DPPC</i>) | 3.27 |
| | 3.4.3 Bi-Cycle Fitness Selections (<i>BCS</i>) | 3.29 |
| | 3.4.4 Dynamic-Tuning Crossover (<i>DTC</i>) | 3.31 |
| | 3.4.5 GA Inspection Module (<i>GA_INSP</i>) | 3.34 |
| 3.5 | Machine Hardware | 3.36 |
| | 3.5.1 System Set-Up | 3.36 |
| | 3.5.2 Machine Synchronization Method | 3.39 |
| 3.6 | Other GA Methods | 3.41 |
| | 3.6.1 Generational Replacement Genetic Algorithm | 3.42 |
| | 3.6.2 Steady State Genetic Algorithm | 3.43 |
| | 3.6.3 Standard Hybrid and Dynamic Search Genetic Algorithm | 3.45 |
| 3.7 | Summary of Methodology | 3.46 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | |
| 4.1 | Overview | 4.1 |
| 4.2 | Modelling of Graph Problems | 4.2 |
| 4.3 | Comparison of Convergence Rate between GA and Random | 4.5 |
| 4.4 | Efficiency of GA Operators | 4.6 |
| 4.5 | Effect of GA Control Parameters | 4.7 |
| | 4.5.1 Number of Maximum Generation | 4.8 |
| | 4.5.2 Population Size | 4.8 |
| | 4.5.3 Effect of Crossover Rate | 4.9 |
| | 4.5.4 Effect of Mutation Rate | 4.10 |
| 4.6 | Experimental Results for New Genetic Operators | 4.10 |
| | 4.6.1 Effect of Dynamic Pre-Populated Crossover (<i>DPPC</i>) Operator | 4.11 |
| | 4.6.2 Effect of Bi-Cycle Selection (<i>BCS</i>) Method | 4.13 |
| | 4.6.3 Effect of the Dynamic Tuning Crossover (<i>DTC</i>) Operator | 4.14 |
| | 4.6.4 Effect of GA Inspection Loop (<i>GA_INSP</i>) Operator | 4.15 |
| 4.7 | Test Examples | 4.16 |
| | 4.7.1 Travelling Coordination | 4.17 |



| | | | |
|------|-------|---|------|
| | 4.7.2 | Motion Timing | 4.20 |
| | 4.7.3 | Hardware Test Results | 4.22 |
| 4.8 | | GA Benchmarking | 4.28 |
| | 4.8.1 | Experimental Results of Generational Replacement Genetic Algorithm (GRGA) | 4.28 |
| | 4.8.2 | Experimental Results of Steady State Genetic Algorithm (SSGA) | 4.30 |
| | 4.8.3 | Experimental Results of Standard Hybrid Genetic Algorithm (SHGA) | 4.31 |
| | 4.8.4 | Experimental Results of Dynamic Search Genetic Algorithm (DSGA) | 4.32 |
| 4.9 | | Effect of Optical Curvation and Its Solution | 4.34 |
| 4.10 | | Summary of Results and Discussion | 4.36 |

5 CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION FOR FUTURE WORK

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 5.1 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations for Future Work | 5.3 |
| 5.3 | Research Contributions | 5.5 |

| | |
|------------------------------|-----|
| REFERENCES | R.1 |
| APPENDICES | A.1 |
| BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR | B.1 |
| LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | P.1 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 1.1 | Number of Possible Solutions | 1.6 |
| 2.1 | GA Terms | 2.9 |
| 2.2 | Various Encoding Methods | 2.25 |
| 3.1 | Setting of DTC Factor | 3.33 |
| 3.2 | Specification of the Hardware Components | 3.38 |
| 3.3 | GRGA and SSGA Simulation Parameter | 3.42 |
| 3.4 | Standard Hybrid GA Simulation Parameter | 3.46 |
| 3.5 | Proposed Dynamic Search GA Simulation Parameter | 3.46 |
| 4.1 | Scan Time Improvement | 4.20 |
| 4.2 | Scan Time Standard Deviation with Different Number of Nodes | 4.21 |
| 4.3 | Scan Time Standard Deviation with Different Number of Generations | 4.22 |
| 4.4 | Performance Parameters | 4.27 |
| 4.5 | Scan Error Compensation | 4.36 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Page |
|---|------|
| 1.1 Two-Dimensional Dual Beam Optical Scanner | 1.5 |
| 1.2 Schematic Diagram of the Proposed GA-Controlled Dual Beam Optical Scanning System | 1.8 |
| 1.3 Random Scanning Combinations | 1.10 |
| 1.4 Intelligent Dual Beam Optical Scanning System | 1.11 |
| 2.1 A Search Space with Two Peaks | 2.8 |
| 2.2 Flow Chart of GA | 2.11 |
| 2.3 Binary Trees Crossover | 2.13 |
| 2.4 Crossover Operation | 2.17 |
| 2.5 Mutation Operator | 2.18 |
| 2.6 Boruvka Algorithm Pseudo-Code | 2.28 |
| 2.7 Kruskal Algorithm Pseudo-Code | 2.29 |
| 2.8 Jarnik-Prim Algorithm Pseudo-Code | 2.29 |
| 2.9 Dijkstra Algorithm Pseudo-Code | 2.29 |
| 2.10 Concept of 2-Opt | 2.34 |
| 2.11 Concept of 3-Opt | 2.35 |
| 2.12 Sophisticated Control Systems | 2.36 |
| 2.13 Sensor and Control System | 2.38 |
| 2.14 Types of Machine-Learning System | 2.39 |
| 2.15 Single Beam Laser Scanner System | 2.43 |
| 2.16 X-Y Laser Cutting Machine | 2.44 |
| 2.17 Scanning Method | 2.45 |
| 2.18 Dual Beam with Two Independent Single Scanners | 2.46 |



| | | |
|------|---|------|
| 3.1 | Flow Chart of the Proposed System Development | 3.3 |
| 3.2 | Basic System Software Architecture | 3.4 |
| 3.3 | Software Package Developed During the Research Period | 3.4 |
| 3.4 | Conceptual Design of the Machine-Learning Process | 3.7 |
| 3.5 | Machine Learning Database | 3.8 |
| 3.6 | Machine-Learning Structure | 3.9 |
| 3.7 | Work Piece with Cutting Points | 3.10 |
| 3.8 | Case Study of Dual Beam Cutting Sequence | 3.11 |
| 3.9 | Laser Scanning Operation | 3.12 |
| 3.10 | GA Main Program | 3.14 |
| 3.11 | Conceptual Structure of a Population | 3.15 |
| 3.12 | Evaluation Subroutine | 3.16 |
| 3.13 | Sorting Subroutine | 3.17 |
| 3.14 | Selection by Roulette Wheel Subroutine | 3.18 |
| 3.15 | Crossover Subroutine | 3.19 |
| 3.16 | Mutation Subroutine | 3.20 |
| 3.17 | Effect of S -Tuning | 3.23 |
| 3.18 | Effect of q -Tuning | 3.23 |
| 3.19 | Multi-Layered Representation | 3.25 |
| 3.20 | Structure of an Initial Population | 3.25 |
| 3.21 | Simulation of MLR Concept | 3.26 |
| 3.22 | Dynamic Pre-Populated Crossover Operation (DPPC) | 3.28 |
| 3.23 | Simulation of DPPC Concept | 3.29 |
| 3.24 | Bi-Cycle Fitness Selections | 3.30 |
| 3.25 | General Structure of DTC Operator | 3.32 |

| | | |
|------|--|------|
| 3.26 | Fine Tuning Process by DTC Operator | 3.32 |
| 3.27 | Simulation of DTC Concept | 3.33 |
| 3.28 | GA Inspection Module | 3.34 |
| 3.29 | Simulation of GA_INSP Concept | 3.35 |
| 3.30 | Block Diagram of Dual Beam Scanning System | 3.36 |
| 3.31 | Dual Beam Optical Scanning System Set-Up | 3.37 |
| 3.32 | Electrical Control Circuitry | 3.37 |
| 3.33 | Overall Hardware Set-Up | 3.38 |
| 3.34 | General Structure of the Machine Synchronization Method | 3.39 |
| 3.35 | Communication between PC and Multi-Controller Environment | 3.40 |
| 3.36 | GRGA Concept | 3.43 |
| 3.37 | SSGA Concept | 3.44 |
| 3.38 | General Concept of Standard GA | 3.45 |
| 4.1 | Evenly Distributed Topology | 4.2 |
| 4.2 | One-Sided Load Topology | 4.3 |
| 4.3 | Two-Sided Load Topology | 4.4 |
| 4.4 | Two-Sided Objects Topology with Variable Pre-Determined Origins | 4.4 |
| 4.5 | Comparison of Convergence Rate between GA and Random | 4.5 |
| 4.6 | Percentage of Each Operator in Searching the Best Solution | 4.6 |
| 4.7 | Graph of Generation Best and Overall Best | 4.7 |
| 4.8 | Graph of Difference versus Number of Maximum Generation | 4.8 |
| 4.9 | Graph of Difference versus Population Size | 4.9 |
| 4.10 | Graph of Difference versus Crossover Rate | 4.9 |
| 4.11 | Graph of Difference versus Mutation Rate | 4.10 |
| 4.12 | Evolution of the Algorithm with <i>DPPC</i> , and Standard Operators | 4.12 |



| | | |
|------|---|------|
| 4.13 | Percentage of Contribution of GA Operators | 4.12 |
| 4.14 | Effect of the <i>Bi-Cycle Selection Method</i> | 4.13 |
| 4.15 | Effect of <i>DTC</i> Operator | 4.15 |
| 4.16 | Effect of <i>GA_INSP</i> Operator | 4.16 |
| 4.17 | Fitness Diagram | 4.18 |
| 4.18 | Travelling Coordination: Starts from a Different City | 4.19 |
| 4.19 | Travelling Coordination: Starts from a Same City | 4.19 |
| 4.20 | Motion Control Profile | 4.23 |
| 4.21 | Dynamic Characteristics of Stepping Motor | 4.23 |
| 4.22 | Torque-Speed Characteristic | 4.24 |
| 4.23 | Mirror Control Calibration | 4.25 |
| 4.24 | Step Angle Accuracy | 4.27 |
| 4.25 | GRGA Results Simulation | 4.28 |
| 4.26 | Average and Best Cost as Functions of Generation Using GRGA | 4.29 |
| 4.27 | Average and Best Cost as Functions of Generation Using SSGA | 4.31 |
| 4.28 | Average and Best Cost as Functions of Generation Using SHGA | 4.32 |
| 4.29 | Average and Best Cost as Functions of Generation Using DSGA | 4.33 |
| 4.30 | Comparison of Convergence Rate between DSGA and SHGA | 4.33 |
| 4.31 | Scanning Problem due to Optical Error | 4.34 |
| 4.32 | Scanning Range | 4.35 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------|--|
| AI | Artificial Intelligence |
| BCS | Bi-Cycle Selection |
| DCGA | Dynamic Characterized Genetic Algorithm |
| DFC | Dynamic Fixed Crossover |
| DIST | Distance |
| DPPC | Dynamic Pre-Populated Crossover |
| DSGA | Dynamic Search Genetic Algorithm |
| DTC | Dynamic Tuning Crossover |
| DTSP | Dual Travelling Salesmen Problem |
| EA | Evolutionary Algorithm |
| GA | Genetic Algorithm |
| GA_INSP | Genetic Algorithm Inspection Loop |
| GRGA | Generational Replacement Genetic Algorithm |
| GUI | Graphical User Interface |
| LK | Lin-Kernighan Algorithm |
| MLR | Multi-Layered Representation |
| MST | Minimum Spanning Tree |
| NN | Nearest-Neighbour Heuristic |
| OPT | Optimal |
| PC | Personal Computer |
| SHGA | Standard Hybrid Genetic Algorithm |
| SSGA | Steady State Genetic Algorithm |
| TSP | Travelling Salesman Problem |



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Malaysia's dynamic industrialization has been realized in part by advances in science and technology, both acquired through technology transfer or through local innovations. Thus, the national awareness and interest in science and technology must be enhanced, as this constitutes a prerequisite for an inventing society. Inventing is a suitable approach to help make science and technology more interesting and relevant to the Malaysia industries and onwards towards the economy at large. The spirit of inventiveness should be inculcated among Malaysians.

There are various types of inventions ranging from the simple to extremely complex based on its functionality and needs by the various industries. One of the quite prominent types of inventing is machine invention. In this era of rapid industrialization, machines and artificial intelligence have certainly played a very important role not only in minimizing and easing the workers work burden but also to the extent of increasing productivity without compromising on products' quality. This reality has certainly brought prosperity to many countries such as the Western countries and in the East, Japan and Korea, which machine technology and the usage of artificial intelligence has transformed the industrial landscapes by leaps and bounds. In order to "mimic" this significant



achievement especially by the Asian industrial powerhouses, Malaysia had launched the “Look East” policy in which it is hoped that cooperation with these countries can and have resulted in the transfer of vital technologies especially in factory automation.

Malaysia has progressed tremendously since its independence nearly fifty years until today. Many Malaysian skilled and semi-skilled workers have benefited from programmes where technology transfer is involved especially during the period of the Look East policy. Many Malaysia industrial conglomerates have utilized the spin-offs from this transfer of technology and have created a local industrial base. One such company is HICOM Sdn. Bhd which concentrates on the automotive industrial and shipbuilding.

Even though artificial intelligence (AI) and automation systems are not new in Malaysia, there is a dearth of statistical information and data collated as to the design and development of AI-based machine systems here. In Malaysia, the industrial environment is undergoing rapid changes. With the development of new system and technologies, new ways or methods of solving workflow problems are being introduced. Besides practicing the system analysis methodologies in problem solving and heuristics, technologies for material handlings such as automation is of great importance as well. It is therefore vital for Malaysian entrepreneurs not only to know, adopt and adapt this technology but also be able to invent the related functional technology in enhancing its production.

Vision 2020 recognises the importance of AI and automation and the government is calling on local retailers and distributor to implement this system. Among the steps includes the licensing of companies like Pentamaster Sdn. Bhd., and Intellogic Sdn. Bhd. to provide machines to local industries. However, this only contributes a small percentage of automation systems implementation in Malaysian industries.

A decade ago the prediction was that AI machines would begin to grow in popularity about the year 2000. This was after the general public as well as engineers and scientists have learned to routinely accept AI-based machines in their work environments. Today, the primary driving force behind research in AI-based machines is based on lowering their per-unit costs, optimizing their performance as well simplifying their working operations.

Several types of approaches have been taken to optimize the performance of these industrial machines. When solving search problems with computers, a common approach is to calculate every possible solution and then choose the best of those as the answer. Unfortunately, some problems have such large solution spaces that this it is practically impossible to do so. These are the problems where the solution set grows exponentially with the amount of inputs. These problems are referred to as n-p hard or n-p complete problems.

Several approaches have been taken towards providing a solution to these problems such as heuristic approaches, fuzzy logics, ant colony optimizations, simulated annealing, genetic algorithms and neural networks. These approaches

would not always result in finding the true optimal solution but rather would often consistently arrive at good solutions to the problem. These good solutions are typically considered to be the optimal simply because they are the best that can be found.

1.2 Problem Statement

Currently, most of the companies in Malaysia have to import and use ready-made application-specific laser systems, primarily for cutting, marking, engraving and welding applications in the manufacturing environment. The single-beam laser scanner has been commonly used for these applications. Due to increasing productivity and reducing its development cost, the dual beam laser scanner has been introduced. In the absolute majority of cases the imported dual beam laser systems are narrowly specialized and inflexible as they can only perform independent scanning operation. The proposed laser system is expected to overcome major limitations inherited in the currently locally available ones. The new system would be more flexible in terms of its scanning control as each of the scanning head in the proposed dual beam scanner system is able to work together intelligently.

The number of possible solutions increases exponentially with the number of objects to be scanned. Thus, it is hardly to be solved using normal means such as random method. This system would adopt the advantages of genetic algorithm to optimize the system performance in terms of its operating speed. In addition, optical error compensation would be introduced. In overall the system

would provide higher precision and better marking quality at high scanning speed.

The problem can be stated as: Given two scanning heads H_1 and H_2 , a set of known fixed objects coordinates with the initial and final configurations of H_1 and H_2 , find a coordinated motion plan for the scanning head from their initial configuration to their final configuration, optimizing the overall time taken for the dual beam optical scanning system as shown in Figure 1.1.




Figure 1.1: Two-Dimensional Dual Beam Optical Scanner

To give an idea of the complexity of the problem, let's consider a number of n coordination points and two origin points for each scanning head fixed at positions (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) . The solution adopted here is to consider variable-

length chromosomes. The length of the chromosomes, h_1 and h_2 define the number of synchronization points of the sequence for each scanning head where $h_1+h_2 = n$. The number of potential solutions, q for this problem can then be computed as in Equation 1.1, where m is integer number from $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

$$q = \sum_{m=0}^{m=n} (n-m)! m! \quad (1.1)$$

Each solution for this problem can be obtained as two random successions of synchronization points in such a way that a synchronization point is the pair (x_i, y_i) coordinate and the probability, b for each solution is given in Equation 1.2, where C is the combination possibility.

$$b = \sum_{m=0}^{m=n} {}^n C_m (1/2)^m (1/2)^{n-m} \quad (1.2)$$

In the case of the h -scanning head with n number of coordination points, the number of possible solutions is given in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Number of Possible Solutions

| No of Objects (n) | No of Scanning Head (h) | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 1 | 2 |
| 5 | 120 | 312 |
| 10 | 3628800 | 8254080 |
| 50 | 3.04E+64 | 6.21E+64 |