

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# OPTIMIZATION OF BATCH PRODUCTION OF *BIFIDOBACTERIUM PSEUDOCATENULATUM* G4 IN A MILK-BASED MEDIUM

**STEPHENIE WONG YOKE WEI** 

FSTM 2006 21



## **OPTIMIZATION OF BATCH PRODUCTION OF** *BIFIDOBACTERIUM PSEUDOCATENULATUM* G4 IN A MILK-BASED MEDIUM

STEPHENIE WONG YOKE WEI

MASTER OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

2006



## **OPTIMIZATION OF BATCH PRODUCTION OF** *BIFIDOBACTERIUM PSEUDOCATENULATUM* **G4 IN A MILK-BASED MEDIUM**

By

STEPHENIE WONG YOKE WEI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

October 2006



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

## OPTIMIZATION OF BATCH PRODUCTION OF *BIFIDOBACTERIUM PSEUDOCATENULATUM* G4 IN A MILK-BASED MEDIUM

By

#### **STEPHENIE WONG YOKE WEI**

#### October 2006

#### Chairman : Professor Mohd Yazid Abdul Manap, PhD

#### Faculty : Food Science and Technology

This study was undertaken to optimize the production of probiotic in a milk-based medium and to establish process parameters for the batch cultivation of *Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum* G4. The locally isolated strain exhibited high tolerance to pH 1.0-3.0 and fulfilled other probiotic criteria. Identification of the organism was done using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based method. A defined band at 1.35 kb and 289 bp were produced using genus-specific and species-specific 16S rRNA primers, respectively.

An initial screening of bacteria were done using  $2^3$  full factorial design in order to identify the effect of medium components consisting of skim milk, yeast extract and glucose towards biomass production. Results showed that yeast extract had a significant positive effect on viable cell count whereas glucose resulted in a negative effect, which was then eliminated from the study. Response surface methodology (RSM) was then applied to optimize the use of skim milk and yeast extract. A quadratic model was



derived using a face-centered central composite design to represent cell mass as a function of the two variables. The optimized medium composition of 2.8% (w/v) skim milk and 2.2% (w/v) yeast extract gave the maximum biomass concentration of 1.3 x  $10^9$  cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>, which was 3 log unit higher compared to the commonly used 10.0% (w/v) skim milk (6.3 x  $10^5$  cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>). The application of RSM resulted in an improvement in biomass production in a more cost-effective medium, where the skim milk composition was reduced by 71.8%.

Further improvement on the biomass production was carried out in a 2-L stirred tank bioreactor. The highest viable cell count was obtained at pH 6.5, with 0.56 ms<sup>-1</sup> impeller tip speed. Scaling-up fermentation to a 10-L stirred tank bioreactor based on constant impeller tip speed (0.56 ms<sup>-1</sup>) successfully yielded reproducible fermentation kinetic values. The results were similar to the smaller-scale reactor. Under this condition, the following were obtained: maximum biomass concentration,  $X_{max}$  (1.4 x 10<sup>9</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>), maximum specific growth rate,  $\mu_{max}$  (0.48 h<sup>-1</sup>), biomass productivity,  $P_x$  (7.70 x 10<sup>7</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), and biomass yield,  $Y_{x/s}$  (9.46 x 10<sup>10</sup> cfu g <sub>lactose</sub><sup>-1</sup>).

The survival of *B. pseudocatenulatum* G4 during freeze-drying and spray-drying processes was also evaluated. During freeze-drying, the strain exhibited high percentage survival (71.7 - 82.1%) when different combinations of skim milk and sugar solutions (glucose, sucrose and lactose) were used as cryoprotectants. The viable cell counts of 2.1 x  $10^9$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> to 3.1 x  $10^9$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> were obtained after the lyophilization process. Since the addition of sugar did not result in higher percentage survival, 10.0% (w/v) skim milk was suggested as a suitable cryoprotectant. On the other hand, the strain experienced



over 99.0% loss in viability after spray-drying regardless of the spray-drier air outlet temperature and use of heat-adaptation treatments.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

## PENGOPTIMAAN PENGHASILAN *BIFIDOBACTERIUM PSEUDOCATENULATUM* G4 SESEKELOMPOK DI DALAM MEDIA BERASASKAN SUSU

Oleh

#### **STEPHENIE WONG YOKE WEI**

Oktober 2006

### Pengerusi : Profesor Mohd Yazid Abdul Manap, PhD

Fakulti : Sains dan Teknologi Makanan

Kajian telah dijalankan untuk mengoptimumkan media berasaskan susu dan kawalan proses menggunakan *Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum* G4. Strain tempatan ini dipilih kerana menunjukkan toleransi yang tinggi terhadap pH 1.0-3.0 serta memenuhi kriteria probiotik yang lain. Proses identifikasi bacteria telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan teknik 'polymerase chain reaction' (PCR). Jalur DNA dihasilkan pada saiz 1.35 kb dan 289 bp apabila primer untuk gen 16S rRNA yang khusus untuk peringkat genus dan spesis digunakan.

Kajian awal pemilihan bacteria dijalankan dengan menggunakan '2<sup>3</sup> full factorial design' untuk mengenalpasti kesan komposisi media yang terdiri daripada susu skim, ekstrak yis dan glukosa terhadap pertumbuhan bakteria. Daripada analisa statistik, didapati ekstrak yis mempunyai kesan yang signifikan terhadap tumbuhan sel, manakala glukosa pula memaparkan kesan negatif. Oleh itu, glukosa disingkirkan daripada kajian ini. Kaedah respons permukaan telah digunakan untuk pengoptimuman kultur media



yang terdiri daripada susu skim dan ekstrak yis bagi untuk meningkatkan bilangan sel ketika proses fermentasi. Dengan menggunakan 'face-centered central composite design' untuk mewakili sel hidup sebagai fungsi kedua-dua variasi, model kuadratik diperolehi. Komposisi media optima yang diperolehi adalah 2.8% (w/v) susu skim dan 2.2% (w/v) ekstrak yis. Bilangan sel hidup maksima yang dicapai adalah 1.3 x  $10^9$  cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>, iaitu sebanyak 3 unit log lebih tinggi daripada kepekatan susu skim 10.0% (w/v) yang biasa digunakan (6.3 x  $10^5$  cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>). Aplikasi kaedah respons permukaan ini berjaya meningkatkan pertumbuhan bakteria dalam media susu yang lebih kos efektif, di mana kandungan susu telah dikurangkan sebanyak 71.8%.

Penghasilan sel dipertingkatkan lagi di dalam tangki fermenter berpengaduk 2-L. Bilangan sel hidup yang paling tinggi dicapai pada pH 6.5, dengan halaju hujung pengaduk setinggi 0.56 ms<sup>-1</sup>. Peningkatan skala fermentasi kepada fermenter berpengaduk 10-L berdasarkan halaju hujung pengaduk yang tetap (0.56 ms<sup>-1</sup>) berjaya menghasilkan data fermentasi kinetik yang hampir serupa dengan skala fermenter yang lebih kecil. Berdasarkan keadaan tersebut, data fermentasi kinetik berikut didapati: bilangan sel hidup maksima,  $X_{max}$  (1.4 x 10<sup>9</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>), kadar pertumbuhan spesifik maksima,  $\mu_{max}$  (0.48 h<sup>-1</sup>), produktiviti sel,  $P_x$  (7.70 x 10<sup>7</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) dan penghasilan sel,  $Y_{x/s}$  (9.46 x 10<sup>10</sup> cfu g <sub>lactose</sub><sup>-1</sup>).

Seterusnya, bilangan sel hidup selepas menjalani proses pembekuan kering dan semburan kering dikaji. Selepas proses pembekuan kering, strain ini menunjukkan keupayaan hidup yang tinggi apabila kombinasi susu skim dan gula (glukosa, sukrosa dan laktosa) yang berlainan digunakan sebagai pelindung. Peratus bakteria hidup



sebanyak 71.7 - 82.1%, dengan bilangan sel hidup sebanyak 2.1 x 10<sup>9</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> to 3.1 x 10<sup>9</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> didapati apabila bakteria sel di beku keringkan. Memandangkan penambahan gula kepada susu skim tidak memberi kesan lindungan yang ketara, 10.0% susu skim adalah dicadangkan sebagai pelindung semasa pembekuan kering. Apabila strain ini disembur kering, lebih daripada 99.0% bakteria sel mati pada kesemua suhu luaran semburan kering dan suhu adaptasi haba.



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I wish to extend my heartfelt gratitude to my main supervisor, Professor Dr Mohd Yazid Abdul Manap for his continuous support and guidance throughout the course of my research. Without his outstanding leadership, invaluable suggestions and constructive criticisms, this work would not be made possible. My sincere appreciation also goes to the members of my supervisory committee, Dr Rosfarizan Mohamad and Dr Shuhaimi Mustafa for their concrete advice, understanding, patience and constant encouragement throughout this study.

Special note of thanks is extended to Mr Zarizal for his help while I was struggling with my PCR work and Mr Anwar Fitrianto for his great advice with statistical analysis. My deepest thanks also go to Mr Halim and Mr Ho for their technical expertise and great help. Not forgetting all the members of Faculty of Food Science and Technology and Fermentation Technology Unit, Institute of Bioscience for their kind assistance throughout the tenure of my study.

Last but not least, my deepest appreciation to my beloved family and Kim Siang for their love, understanding and enormous support. Very special thanks also to Kong Ching for being a great friend and for accompanying me through countless nights in the lab. Lastly, I would like to thank all friends and Probiotic Laboratory members, especially Wendy Yap, Lim Long Chang, Arezou, Barka, Jean Ne, Ruzaina and Anas for all their great help and support!



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 19 October 2006 to conduct the final examination of Stephenie Wong Yoke Wei on her Master of Science thesis entitled "Optimization of batch production of *Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum* G4 in a milk-based medium" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

#### Fatimah Abu Bakar, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Food Science and Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

### Arbakariya B. Ariff, PhD

Professor Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Suraini bt. Abd. Aziz, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Wan Mohtar Wan Yusoff, PhD

Professor Faculty of Science and Technology Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

## Mohd Yazid Abdul Manap, PhD

Professor Faculty of Food Science and Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

### Rosfarizan Mohamad, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

#### Shuhaimi Mustafa, PhD

Lecturer Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

### AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor/ Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 16 January 2007



## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

## **STEPHENIE WONG YOKE WEI**

Date: 30 November 2006



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABSTRACT ii ABSTRAK v ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS viii **APPROVAL** ix DECLARATION xi LIST OF TABLES XV LIST OF FIGURES xvii LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xix

### CHAPTER

1

2

INTR	RODUCTION	1
LITE	RATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Human Gut Microflora, the Home of Human Probiotic	6
2.2	Intestinal Microflora of Infants: the Natural Habitat of	8
	Bifidobacteria	
2.3	The Need for Identifying Intestinal Microorganisms	9
	2.3.1 Identification Using 16S rRNA Gene-Targeted	12
	Primers	
	2.3.2 Morphological Observation Using SEM	13
2.4	The Concept of Probiotic	14
2.5	Beneficial Effects of Probiotic	16
2.6	Selection Criteria of Probiotic Microorganisms	20
	2.6.1 Tolerance to Low pH	20
	2.6.2 Tolerance to Bile and Deconjugation Activity	22
	2.6.3 Adhesion to Intestinal Cell	24
	2.6.4 Antimicrobial Activity of Probiotic	27
2.7	Bifidobacteria	28
2.8	Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum	30
2.9	Growth of Probiotic in Milk-Based Media	32
2.10	Metabolism of Probiotic in Milk	35
2.11	Bacterial Cell Production	36
	2.11.1 Fermentation Medium Development	39
	2.11.2 Response Surface Methodology as a Tool for	41
	Medium Optimization	
	2.11.3 Batch Fermentation	43
	2.11.4 The Rationale for Batch/ Free-Cell Culture for	
	the Biomass Production of Bifidobacteria	44

xii

	2.11.5 Scale-up Strategies	47
2.12	Preservation of Bacterial Cell	50
2.13	Concluding Remarks	53
	TERIALS AND METHODS	54
3.1	Microorganisms	54
3.2	Survival of Bacterial Strains to Simulated Gastric pH	H 55
	Environment	
	3.2.1 Preparation of Solutions to Simulate pH of	55
	Human Stomach	
	3.2.2 Survival at Different pH Conditions	55
3.3	Identification of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 using 165	<b>S</b> 56
	rRNA-Gene-Targeted Primers	
	3.3.1 Bacterial Strains	56
	3.3.2 DNA Extraction	56
	3.3.3 Genus-Specific PCR	57
	3.3.4 Species-Specific PCR	58 50
3.4	3.3.5 Gel Electrophoresis	59 60
5.4	Morphological Observation Using SEM 3.4.1 Preparation of Bacterial Culture	60 60
	3.4.2 Specimen Preparation for SEM	60
3.5	Optimization of Milk-Based Medium and Fermentat	
5.5	Condition for the Growth of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> (	
	3.5.1 Preparation of Inoculum	61
	3.5.2 Experimental Workflow	61
	3.5.3 Standing Culture (500-mL Glass Bottle)	63
	3.5.4 2-L Stirred Tank Bioreactor	65
	3.5.5 10-L Stirred Tank Bioreactor	69
3.6	Cell Preservation of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 by	71
	Spray-Drying and Freeze-Drying	
	3.6.1 Preparation of Culture	71
	3.6.2 Spray-Drying	72
	3.6.3 Freeze-Drying	73
	3.6.4 Rehydration and Enumeration of	74
	B. pseudocatenulatum G4	
3.7	Analytical Techniques	75
	3.7.1 Microbiological Analysis	75
	3.7.2 Organic Acids Analysis	76
	3.7.3 Sugar Analysis	77
	3.7.4 Moisture Content	77
3.8	Statistical Analysis	78

3



4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		79
	4.1	Survival of Bacterial Strains to Simulated Gastric pH	79
		Environment	
	4.2	Identification of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 using 16S	90
		rRNA-Gene-Targeted Primers	
	4.3	Morphological Observation Using SEM	94
	4.4	Optimization of Milk-Based Medium and Fermentation	100
		Condition for the Growth of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4	
		4.4.1 Standing Culture (500-mL Glass Bottle)	100
		4.4.2 2-L Stirred Tank Bioreactor	119
		4.4.3 10-L Stirred Tank Bioreactor	134
	4.5	Cell Preservation of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 by	142
		Spray-Drying and Freeze-Drying	
		4.5.1 Spray-Drying	142
		4.5.2 Freeze-Drying	147

REFERENCES	156
APPENDICES	175
<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	180

5

CONCLUSION



153

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Microbial flora in different parts of the gastrointestinal tract.	7
2.2	Microorganisms commonly used as human probiotic.	16
2.3	Composition of milk-based media used for the maintenance and cultivation of probiotic microorganisms.	34
2.4	Biomass production of bifidobacteria using different growth media and condition.	46
3.1	List of bacterial strains used.	56
3.2	The sequences of oligonucleotides for genus and species-specific PCR primers.	58
3.3	Geometry dimension and operating condition of 2-L and 10-L stirred tank bioreactors	66
3.4	Different protective medium used prior freeze drying of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4.	74
4.1	Effect of simulated gastric pH (1.0, 2.0, 3.0 and 6.5) on cell viability.	80
4.2	Viability of bacterial strains according to their resistance to low pH.	84
4.3	Summary of probiotic screening studies done on <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 by previous researchers.	87
4.4	Definition of terms used for the description of bifidobacteria.	94
4.5	Screening of factors using $2^3$ full factorial design with maximum biomass as the response.	102
4.6	Regression analysis of $2^3$ full factorial design with maximum biomass as the response.	102



4.7	Face-centered central composite design of skim milk and yeast extract in coded and actual units with maximum biomass as the response.	108
4.8	Regression analysis of face-centered central composite design with maximum biomass as the response.	111
4.9	Comparison of ANOVA results between initial quadratic model and final adjusted model of face-centered central composite design.	111
4.10	Performance of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 cultivation at different impeller tip speed in 2-L stirred tank bioreactor.	120
4.11	Performance of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 cultivation at different pH control in 2-L stirred tank bioreactor.	128
4.12	Comparison of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 batch cultivation performance in different fermentation scales.	135
4.13	Mixed-growth associated product formation based on Luedeking -Piret equations in different fermentation scales.	139
4.14	Viability, percent survival and moisture content of spray-dried <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4.	143
4.15	Viability, percent survival and moisture content of freeze-dried <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4.	148



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Large-scale fermentation system commonly employed in the industry.	38
3.1	Experimental workflow.	62
3.2	500-mL glass bottle (standing culture)	63
3.3	2-L stirred tank bioreactor.	66
3.4	Schematic drawing of a typical stirred tank bioreactor.	67
3.5	10-L stirred tank bioreactor.	70
4.1	Surviving population of bacterial strains after 1 h exposure in pH 1.0 HCl acid solution.	83
4.2	Maximum viable cell count of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 and D19 in TPY broth fermentation	85
4.3	Gel electrophoresis of PCR products using genus-specific primers Lm26/ Lm3.	91
4.4	Gel electrophoresis of PCR products using species-specific primers BiCATg-1/ BiCATg-2.	92
4.5	Electron micrograph of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 grown on milk-based medium.	95
4.6	Electron micrograph of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 grown on milk-based medium.	96
4.7	Electron micrograph of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 grown on milk-based medium.	97
4.8	Response surface plot of maximum biomass as a function of: (A) skim milk and yeast extract; (B) yeast extract and glucose; (C) glucose and skim milk.	104
4.9	Sugar metabolism of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 in different skim milk concentrations supplemented with 1.0% yeast extract.	105



4.10	Response surface plot of maximum biomass count from the adjusted quadratic mathematical model.	112
4.11	Sugar Metabolism of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 in the Optimized Medium (2.8% Skim Milk; 2.2% Yeast Extract)	115
4.12	Effect of different media composition on the biomass production of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 after 20 h fermentation.	116
4.13	Comparison between model predicted plot and actual experimental data at different yeast extract concentrations (with fixed skim milk concentration of 2.8% w/v).	118
4.14	Effect of impeller tip speed on the growth and lactose consumption of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 in 2-L stirred tank bioreactor.	123
4.15	Time course fermentation of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 in 2-L stirred tank bioreactor at different impeller tip speed.	125
4.16	Time course fermentation of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 in TPY broth in 2-L stirred tank bioreactor.	129
4.17	Time course fermentation of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 in 2-L stirred-tank bioreactor at different pH controls.	130
4.18	Growth and lactose consumption of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 in different fermentation scales.	136
4.19	Mixed –growth associated product formation in different Fermentation scale	138
4.20	Effect of different heat-adaptation and air outlet temperature on the viability of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 following spray-drying and subsequent refrigeration storage.	144
4.21	Viability of <i>B. pseudocatenulatum</i> G4 in different protective medium before and after freeze-drying and subsequent refrigeration storage.	149



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

μL	Micro liter
μΜ	Micro molar
$\mu_{ m max}$	Maximum specific growth rate (h <sup>-1</sup> )
$A_{\rm max}$	Maximum acetic acid concentration (g $L^{-1}$ )
AOAC	Association of Official Analytical Chemists
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
bp	Base pair
BSE	Bovine spongiform encephalopathy
BSH	Bile salt hydrolase
CCD	Central composite design
cfu	Colony forming unit
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
$C_5H_8O_2$	Glutaraldehyde
d	Day
DGGE	Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	Deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
e.g.	Example gratia (for example)
et al.	Et cetera (and company)
GIT	Gastrointestinal tract



GRAS	Generally regarded as safe
h	Hour
$\mathrm{H}^{+}$	Hydrogen ion
$H_2SO_4$	Sulphuric acid
$H_2O_2$	Hydrogen peroxide
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
i.e.	<i>id est</i> (that is)
JCM	Japan Collection of Microorganism
kb	Kilo base pair
kV	Kilo volt
L	Liter
L <sub>max</sub>	Maximum lactic acid concentration (g L <sup>-1</sup> )
LAB	Lactic acid bacteria
Log	Logarithm
м	
Μ	Molar
M min	Molar Minute
min	Minute
min MIT	Minute Microbial interference therapy
min MIT Mg	Minute Microbial interference therapy Magnesium
min MIT Mg MgCl <sub>2</sub>	Minute Microbial interference therapy Magnesium Magnesium chloride
min MIT Mg MgCl <sub>2</sub> mL	Minute Microbial interference therapy Magnesium Magnesium chloride Milliliter
min MIT Mg MgCl <sub>2</sub> mL mM	Minute Microbial interference therapy Magnesium Magnesium chloride Milliliter Millimolar



ng	Nano gram
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
$P_{\mathrm{A}}$	Acetic acid productivity (g $L^{-1} h^{-1}$ )
$P_{\rm L}$	Lactic acid productivity (g $L^{-1} h^{-1}$ )
P <sub>x</sub>	Biomass productivity (cfu mL <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> )
RAPD	Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA
rDNA	Ribosomal deoxyribonucleic acid
rRNA	Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rpm	Revolution per minute
RSM	Response surface methodology
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
S	Second
S.D.	Standard deviation
spp.	Species
TPY	Trypticase-Phytone-Yeast Extract
WHO	World Health Organization
w/v	Weight per volume
X <sub>max</sub>	Maximum biomass $(\log_{10} \text{ cfu mL}^{-1})$
Y <sub>A/s</sub>	Acetic acid yield (g acetic g lactose <sup>-1</sup> )
Y <sub>L/s</sub>	Lactic acid yield (g acetic g lactose <sup>-1</sup> )
$Y_{ m x/s}$	Biomass yield (cfu g lactose <sup>-1</sup> )



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The complex ecosystem of the gut microflora plays a significant role in the gastrointestinal health of humans and animals. This has attracted worldwide interest in conducting intensive researches on issues pertaining to gut health. It is desirable to have gut microbial ecosystem that exists in equilibrium, that contains predominance of beneficial bacteria over harmful ones. However, many factors that include aging, stress, diet and antibiotic therapy may easily upset this balance (Gibson and Fuller, 2000).

This has paved the way to the concept of probiotic. Probiotic are describe as live beneficial microorganisms that when administered orally, helps to promote the growth of "friendly" bacteria in the gut. A good probiotic is able to prevent colonization of pathogens, regulate intestinal motility, reduce risk of carcinogenesis in the intestine and alleviate lactose intolerance (Marks, 2004).

Lately, there is rising concern on the increase of bacterial resistance to antibiotics which has become a major public health problem. A disturbing scenario as quoted by Mainous *et al.* (1997) that 71% of *Enterococcus faecium*, the second most common lethal pathogen isolated in the intensive-care environment are vancomycin-resistant. This give rise to high infection rates and pose a serious medical challenge. As the concern heightened, the World Health Organization (WHO) has urged for immediate reduction in the use of antibiotics in animals and human medicine. Instead, application of



microbial interference therapy (MIT), the use of beneficial bacterial to destroy pathogens, as a natural alternative disease control strategy was strongly recommended (Bengmark, 2000). These developments create the need to explore new potential probiotic strain.

Among the widely used bifidobacteria species as probiotic are *Bifidobacterium bifidum*, *Bifidobacterium breve*, *Bifidobacterium lactis* and *Bifidobacterium longum* (Kopp-Hoolihan, 2001). One of the least studied but commonly found species in local breast-fed infants is *Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum* (Kleesen *et al.*, 1995; Shuhaimi *et al.*, 2002), that remain to be further unexplored for its potential. The Probiotic Laboratory, Faculty of Food Science and Technology, Universiti Putra Malaysia has carried out extensive study of this species, specifically in the classification of *Bifidobacterium* isolates using PCR-based and 16S rDNA Partial Sequences Analysis methods (Shuhaimi *et al.*, 2002), generation of genomic DNA fingerprints for *B. pseudocatenulatum* isolates by RAPD (Shuhaimi *et al.*, 2001a), deconjugation of bile acids (Mariam *et al.*, 2004), antibacterial activity, antimicrobial susceptibility, and adherence properties (Shuhaimi *et al.*, 1999b). Thus, this project would further explore on the acid tolerance, biomass production and cell preservation of this particular species as a probiotic candidate.

Probiotic is now effectively being applied in the food industry, human and animal medicine (O'Brien *et al.*, 1999; Shortt, 1999). The probiotic bacteria that are of commercial interest mainly belong to the genus bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria (LAB), where they are commonly incorporated as functional food in yogurt, cultured milk drink, sour cream, buttermilk, cheese and also as pharmaceutical application in

