



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**SHEAR STRENGTH CHARACTERISTICS AND MICROCRACK PATTERN OF
GRANITE FROM POS SELIM, PERAK, MALAYSIA**

NUR IRFAH MOHD PAUZI

T FK 2007 36



**SHEAR STRENGTH CHARACTERISTICS AND MICROCRACK PATTERN
OF GRANITE FROM POS SELIM, PERAK, MALAYSIA**

NUR IRFAH MOHD PAUZI

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

2007



**SHEAR STRENGTH CHARACTERISTICS AND MICROCRACK PATTERN
OF GRANITE FROM POS SELIM, PERAK, MALAYSIA**

By

NUR IRFAH MOHD PAUZI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia
in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

May 2007



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.

**SHEAR STRENGTH CHARACTERISTICS AND MICROCRACK PATTERN
OF GRANITE FROM POS SELIM, PERAK, MALAYSIA**

By

NUR IRFAH BINTI MOHD PAUZI

May 2007

Chairman : Associate Professor Husaini bin Omar, PhD.

Faculty : Engineering

Granite bodies can fracture in various characteristic ways relative to the density of fractures, the number of joints sets and their distribution, and the type of fractographic features on fracture surfaces. Granite commonly involves complex composite microcrack systems which are caused by different geologic processes under varying condition. Microscopic studies of cracks under shear stress in granite samples have been carried out using Robertson shear testing and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) to study the shear strength parameters and their interaction between microcrack propagation patterns. Shear strength parameters such as friction angle and cohesion, Joint Roughness Coefficient (JRC) and Joint Compressive Strength (JCS) has been studied on granite at Pos Selim area. Microcrack propagation patterns for granite at Pos Selim has been proposed to be categorized into two type which are type A and type B. Type A is microcrack propagation pattern for granite grade II and type B is microcrack propagation pattern for granite grade III. Griffith theory states that fracture material is caused by stress concentration, causing the crack to propagate and ultimately



contributing to microscopic failure of the material. The result show that type A and type B microcrack propagation patterns do comply with Griffith theory. Type A microcrack propagation pattern does not lead to failure and consists of minor crack surface. This is because type A material has higher strength compared to type B. Type B material show microcrack pattern that propagates from the left side of the sample leading towards the right side of the sample which caused failure of the material. The relationship between the microcrack pattern and the shear strength parameters proves that an increase in shear stress and normal stress would result in increase of microcrack area. Therefore, the knowledge from these studies show that the understanding in microcrack propagation patterns can improve our understanding of damaging process and failure of intact rock.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**CIRI-CIRI KEKUATAN RICIH DAN CORAK REKAHAN MIKRO PADA
BATUAN GRANIT DARI POS SELIM, PERAK, MALAYSIA**

Oleh

NUR IRFAH BINTI MOHD PAUZI

Mei 2007

Pengerusi : Professor Madya Husaini bin Omar, PhD

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Batuan granit boleh merekah dengan pelbagai cara bergantung kepada ketumpatan rekahan, jumlah set-set belahan dan taburannya, dan ciri-ciri permukaan fraktografiknya pada permukaan retak. Lazimnya, granit mempunyai proses komposit yang kompleks pada sistem retakan mikronya kerana perbezaan proses geologi yang berlaku disebabkan oleh pelbagai situasi. Kajian retakan mikro yang disebabkan oleh tekanan ricih telah diuji dengan menggunakan ujian kekuatan ricih Robertson dan mikroskop pengimbas elektron pada sampel granit untuk menguji parameter-parameter kekuatan ricih dan kaitannya dengan bentuk retakan mikro. Parameter-parameter kekuatan ricih ini termasuklah sudut geseran dan kejeleketan, pemalar kasar rekahan (JRC) dan kekuatan mampatan rekahan (JCS) yang dikaji pada batuan granit di kawasan Pos Selim. Bentuk retakan mikro telah dicadangkan untuk dibahagikan kepada dua kategori iaitu jenis A dan jenis B. Jenis A ialah bentuk retakan mikro untuk granit gred II and jenis B ialah bentuk rekahan mikro untuk granit gred III. Teori Griffith telah menyatakan bahawa retakan pada bahan adalah disebabkan oleh konsentrasi tekanan, yang boleh menyebabkan retakan menular dan akhirnya terbentuklah retakan mikroskopik pada



sampel. Hasil daripada kajian menunjukkan jenis A dan jenis B memenuhi kehendak teori Griffith. Retakan mikro Jenis A tidak mengalami kegagalan dan terdiri daripada permukaan retakan yang minor. Ini adalah kerana jenis A mempunyai kekuatan batuan yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan jenis B. Retakan mikro pada bahan jenis B menunjukkan retakan menular daripada satu sudut tepi kepada satu sudut tepi yang lain dan memecahkan sampel kepada dua bahagian. Hubungan di antara bentuk retakan mikro dengan parameter kekuatan ricih menunjukkan dengan meningkatnya tekanan ricih dan tekanan normal akan menyebabkan peningkatan pada keluasan bentuk retakan mikro. Dengan ini, pengetahuan daripada kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa kajian retakan mikro boleh menambahkan lagi kefahaman kita dalam mengkaji proses kerosakan dan kegagalan pada batuan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, thanks to God, the most Gracious and most Merciful

I wish to acknowledge my supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Husaini Omar, Professor Bujang Kim Huat and Mr. Shukri Maail for their supervision and encouragement. The patience and contribution of my beloved husband, Mr. Mohd Shahril Mat Radhi, who is constantly giving guidance and motivation, is highly appreciated. I wish to thank my father, Mr. Mohd Pauzi Abdul Hamid and my mother, Dr. Nik Nawal Nik Mohd Adeeb who are constantly praying for my success, health and wealth.

I also extended my acknowledgement to the following: Mr. Ahmad Zaidi (Principal Geologist of Jabatan Mineral & Geosains) and Mr. Ferdaus Ahmad (Geologist Officer of Jabatan Mineral & Geosains), Ir. Dr. Mohd Asbi Othman (Director of Mohd Asbi and Associate), Ir. Saaidin (Director of ZMS Consultant), Mr. Ayob Mat Noor (Engineer of Terratech Consultant), for their support.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 9th May 2007 to conduct the final examination of Nur Irfah Mohd Pauzi on her Master of Science thesis entitled “Shear Strength Characteristics and Microcrack Pattern of Granite from Pos Selim, Perak, Malaysia” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

Mohd Salleh b. Jaafar, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Jamaloddin b. Noorzaei, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Azlan b. Abdul Aziz,

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Faisal b. Haji Ali, PhD

Professor
Civil Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Malaya
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD.

Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 3 August 2007



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Husaini Omar, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Bujang Kim Huat, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Shukri Maail

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD.

Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 August 2007



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citation which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

NUR IRFAH MOHD PAUZI

Date: 25 June 2007



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
APPROVAL	vii
DECLARATION	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Aims and Objectives	5
1.4 Scope and Limitation	6
1.5 Expected Outcome of the Research	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Shear Strength Parameters	8
2.2.1 Friction Angle and Cohesion	9
2.2.2 Joint Roughness Coefficient (JRC)	12
2.2.3 Joint Compressive Strength (JCS)	16
2.3 Microcrack Propagation	17
2.3.1 Process of Microcrack Propagation	18
2.3.2 Griffith Theory	19
2.3.3 Microcrack Loading Modes	22
2.3.4 Types of Microcrack	25
2.3.5 Microcrack Mechanism in Granitic Rock	27
2.4 Case Study – Rock Shear Strength Determination	28
3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
3.1 Introduction	30
3.2 The Methodology of the Study	31
3.3 Field Data Collection	33
3.4 Surface Texture Analysis	43



3.5	Shear Tests	43
3.5.1	Shear Tests Equipment	44
3.5.2	Preparation of Samples	48
3.5.3	Testing Procedure	50
3.6	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Image Analysis	56
3.6.1	SEM Testing Equipment	56
3.6.2	Preparation of Samples	57
3.6.3	SEM Testing Procedure	59
3.7	The Establishment of Shear Strength Parameters of Granite in Pos Selim Area	62
3.8	Proposed Microcrack Pattern of Granite in Pos Selim Area	63
3.9	Relationship between Microcrack Pattern and the Shear Strength Parameters of Granite	64
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	65
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Field Data Collection	66
4.2.1	Geological Setting	66
4.2.2	Granite Samples	67
4.3	Surface Texture Analysis	68
4.4	Shear Strength Parameters of Pos Selim Granite	72
4.4.1	Shear Stress versus Shear Displacement	73
4.4.2	Shear Stress versus Normal Stress	80
4.4.3	Friction Angle and Cohesion	83
4.4.4	Joint Roughness Coefficient (JRC)	84
4.4.5	Joint Compressive Strength and Basic Friction	87
4.5	Microcrack Pattern Analysis	92
4.5.1	Pre-Shear	92
4.5.2	Post-Shear	96
4.5.3	Microcrack Pattern	101
4.6	Microcrack Pattern of Granite at Pos Selim Area	120
4.6.1	Microcrack Pattern Type A	120
4.6.2	Microcrack Pattern Type B	124
4.7	Relationship between Microcrack Pattern and the Shear Strength Parameters	127
4.8	Conclusion	134
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	140
5.1	Major Findings	142
5.2	Future Studies	143
	REFERENCES	144
	APPENDICES	151
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	219



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Some basic friction angles of granite rock reported by different researchers	12
2.2	Description of joint type and corresponding range of JRC	14
2.3	Rock Material Strength	17
3.1	Weathering grade classification system for granite and volcanic rocks	40
4.1	Surface Texture of Sample Grade II	69
4.2	Surface Texture of Sample Grade III	71
4.3	Shear stress and normal stress at failure point	81
4.4	Friction Angle and Cohesion values	83
4.5	Friction Angle and Cohesion based on Slope Location	84
4.6	Joint Roughness Coefficient for Pre-Shear and Post-Shear	86
4.7	Schmidt hammer value	87
4.8	JCS and basic friction angle data	87
4.9	SEM analysis data (Sample S1 to S5 in Grade III)	114
4.10	SEM analysis data (Sample S6 to S10 in Grade III)	115
4.11	SEM analysis data (Sample S11 to S15 in Grade III)	116
4.12	SEM analysis data (Sample S21 to S25 in Grade II)	117
4.13	SEM analysis data (Sample S26 to S30 in Grade II)	118
4.14	SEM analysis data (Sample S31 to S35 in Grade II)	119
4.15	Summary the Result of Shear Analysis	135
4.16	Some basic friction angles of granite rock reported by different researchers	135



4.17	Microcrack pattern analysis and the characteristic of shear parameters	137
------	------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Shear stress versus normal stress curve showing the friction angle and cohesion	9
2.2 The plane discontinuity surface is inclined at angle i	11
2.3 Typical discontinuity roughness profiles and associated JRC values	15
2.4 Schematic diagram illustrating the process of fracture. (a) An initial crack of size C_0 . (b) Crack propagation under the influence of a tensile load P ; the crack reaches a critical size C_c and the part becomes overloaded because of the corresponding reduction in cross-sectional area supporting the load. (c) Final separation due to an overloading condition.	19
2.5 Stress distribution around an elliptical hole in a plate composed of a homogeneous material	20
2.6 Basic modes of fracture (a) Mode I (b) Mode II (c) Mode III. The arrows indicate the direction of displacement of crack surfaces.	23
2.7 Schematic illustrating the macroscopic appearance of fracture surfaces (a) Square (b) Slant (single crystal) (c) Slant (polycrystalline aggregate) (d) Mixture of square and slant.	25
2.8 Transgranular and intergranular fracture of rock	26
3.1 The methodology of the study	32
3.2 Geological mapping of the peninsular Malaysia, which shows the location of igneous rocks	36
3.3 Type of texture (a) phaneritic texture, (b) porphyritic-phaneritic texture, (c) aphanitic texture, (d) porphyritic-aphanitic texture, (e) glassy texture and (f) fragmental texture	38
3.4 Typical weathering profile of granite at Karak Highway	41
3.5 The process of sample collection, (a) the coring machine, (b) the process of insertion the coring in three stages, (c) the coring is done on rock surface and (d) sample taken out from the coring machine.	42



3.6	The shear box apparatus (a) upper box and (b) lower box	45
3.7	Hydraulic pump (a) measuring the shear pressure (b) measuring the normal load	46
3.8	Dial gauge to measure shear displacement	47
3.9	Drawing of shear machine showing the position of the specimen and the shear surface	48
3.10	The apparatus needed for mixing the gypsum plaster.	49
3.11	Shear specimen preparations, (i) the casting that have already set are stripped from the mould (ii) the casting is transferred to shear machine.	51
3.12	The value of cohesion is the initial value of the graph normal stress versus shear stress	53
3.13	RocData 3.0 Software Analysis	54
3.14	Schematic diagram of RocData Analysis	55
3.15	SEM equipment used for testing	57
3.16	Aluminum stub used for the testing	58
3.17	Two experiments involved in the testing of microcrack pattern	59
3.18	Crack measurement on the SEM testing	61
3.19	The sheared surface areas are round in circle showing 55% of sheared surface.	62
4.1	Location of Pos Selim Highway, Perak	67
4.2	Shear Stress versus Shear Displacement for sample S1 to S5	74
4.3	Shear Stress versus Shear Displacement for sample S6 to S10	75
4.4	Shear Stress versus Shear Displacement for sample S11 to S15	76
4.5	Shear Stress versus Shear Displacement for sample S16 to S20	77
4.6	Shear Stress versus Shear Displacement for sample S21 to S25	78



4.7	Shear Stress versus Shear Displacement for sample S26 to S30	78
4.8	Shear Stress versus Shear Displacement for sample S31 to S35	79
4.9	Shear Stress versus Shear Displacement for sample S36 to S40	80
4.10	Normal Stress versus Shear Stress (S1 to S40)	82
4.11	Joint Roughness Coefficient (JRC) obtained in RocData software	85
4.12	Relationship between Schmidt hammer value and the JCS values	88
4.13	Typical Analysis of shear strength using RocData for Grade III	90
4.14	Typical Analysis of shear strength using RocData for Grade II	91
4.15	Pre-shear SEM microcrack fracture of grade II (a) Sample A (b) Sample B, (c) Sample C, (d) Sample D, (e) Sample E	93
4.16	Pre-shear SEM microcrack fracture of grade III (a) Sample F (b) Sample G, (c) Sample H, (d) Sample I, (e) Sample J	95
4.17	Post-shear SEM microcrack fracture of grade II (a) Sample A (b) Sample B, (c) Sample C, (d) Sample D, (e) Sample E	98
4.18	Post-shear SEM microcrack fracture of grade III (a) Sample F (b) Sample G, (c) Sample H, (d) Sample I, (e) Sample J	100
4.19	Percentage of sheared and crack length for grade III	103
4.20	Percentage of sheared and crack length for grade II	104
4.21	SEM testing during pre-shear and post-shear for sample grade III	108
4.22	SEM testing during pre-shear and post-shear for sample grade II	110
4.23	Microcrack pattern Type A	121
4.24	Microcrack pattern Type B	125
4.25	Percentage of Sheared Surface vs. Normal Load at Post Shear Stage	129
4.26	Shear Stress versus Area of microcrack for Type A	130
4.27	Shear Stress versus Area of microcrack for Type B	131



4.28	Normal Stress versus Area of microcrack for Type A	132
4.29	Normal Stress versus Area of microcrack for Type B	133



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

c	cohesion
CH	Chainage
FOS	Factor of safety
Ft	feet
H, h	Height
i	Roughness Angle
inch	inches
JCS	Joint Compressive Strength
JRC	Joints Roughness Coefficient
km	kilometers
kN	kilo Newton
L, l	Length
MPa	Mega Pascal
m	meters
mm	millimeters
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
w	width
τ	shear stress
σ_n	normal stress
α	Slope angle
Φ_b	Basic friction angle
ϕ	Angle of internal friction



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Granite is characterized by microstructures including microcrack and microcavities whose evolution and interaction under stress called the micro-damage process that determine the macroscopic mechanical response. Granite commonly involves complex composite microcrack systems which are caused by different geologic processes under varying conditions (Kranz, 1983).

Granite bodies can fracture in various characteristic ways relative to the density of fractures, the number of joints sets and their distribution and the types of fractographic features on fracture surfaces. These microcrack fracture studies are of increasing interest in geophysics and engineering related to underground radioactive waste repositories. Physical properties of rocks are not only affected by the constituent minerals and their preferred orientation, but also by the microcracks (Ahrens and Rubin, 1993; Shen et al, 1995; Li et al, 1998; Wong and Chau, 1998; Eberhardt et al, 1999, and David et al, 1999). Since microcracks play an important role in physical properties of rock, microcracks are also studied to know its relation with the engineering properties such as the shear strength parameters that could affect the stability of slopes.



Many researches [Hoek and Bray (1981), Barton (1971) and Rengers (1971), Barton (1973) Barton and Choubey (1977) Zainuddin et al (2001), Lan et al (2003), Komoo et al., 2004) and Jiang et al (2006)] have done studies on the shear strength parameters of rock. However their rock strength parameters data are based on the rock from their respective countries. It is not known whether their rock has the same shear strength parameters as the rock in Malaysia. To ensure the safety of cut slopes in Malaysia, there is a dire need to establish Malaysian data of shear strength parameters of rock. This research is carried out to fulfill this need. The outcome from this research will provide data on the shear strength parameters of Malaysian rock required by slope designers.

When analyzing the stability of a rock slope, there are three important factors that need to be considered, namely the geometry of the rock mass behind the slope face, the shear strength of potential failure surface and the groundwater flow in rock masses. The determination of reliable shear strength values is a critical part of a slope design because relatively small changes in shear strength can result in significant changes in the safe height or angle of a slope. Thus, an appropriate choice of shear strength values depends not only upon the availability of test data but also upon interpretation of these data in the light of the behaviour of the rock mass. This research hopes to provide the reliable shear strength data for Malaysian rock slope.

The stability of slopes varies with the inclination of discontinuity surfaces. Examples of discontinuity surface are faults, joint and bedding planes which are found within the



rock mass. When these discontinuities are vertical or horizontal, simple sliding cannot take place and the slope failure will involve fracture of intact blocks of rock as well as movement along some of the discontinuities. However, if the rock mass contains discontinuities surface dipping towards the slope face at angles of between 30 degrees to 70 degrees, simple sliding can occur and the stability of this slope is significantly unstable compared to those slopes which contain horizontal and vertical discontinuities.

Clearly, it can be said that the presence or absence of discontinuities has a very important influence upon the stability of rock slopes and the detection of these geological features are the most critical part of stability investigation. After recognizing the discontinuities on slope, the material properties such as friction angle and cohesion are the next most relevant properties in slope stability analysis. The friction angle and cohesion are determined in this study. Other than that the Joint Roughness Coefficient and Joint Compressive Strength are also determined in this study. These parameters are the main parameters to be used in the design of cut rock slope.

Shear stress mechanisms on the saw-cut surface which produce the crack surfaces after the shear stress is applied are studied. These crack surfaces may have relation to the shear strength parameters and contribute to the reliability of the design of the cut slope. The presence of crack surfaces, porosity and fractured characteristic which are considered as microscopic flaws can be observed microscopically with a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). SEM has become available as a commercial instrument

with a capability of imaging surface topography and morphology with unprecedented advantage of depth of field and a capability for studying any surface in its original, unaltered surface (Murr, 1991).

Thus, to investigate the microcrack propagation patterns and the shear strength parameters, SEM has been used as a tool. The microcrack pattern before and after the shear test is observed using SEM. The microcrack pattern that contains physical constituent of rock has been studied in detail to relate it with the shear strength parameters which are important for the analysis of slope stability. The knowledge from this studies show that the understanding in microcrack can improve our understanding of damaging process and failure of intact rock, and to prove that microcrack pattern does also contribute to the shear strength characteristics.

1.2 Problem Statement

Shear strength parameters such as friction angle and cohesion are the main parameters studied in this research. The reliability of this data is very important since the parameters affect the design of slope angle and height. Currently, the design shear parameters are assumed by the slope designer. This research hopes to give the range of data for the slope designer to use as a guide when selecting the friction angle and cohesion for the design of cut rock slopes in Malaysia.

In this research, granitic core samples tested. The two experiments done in this study were shear strength test and the microscopic fracture test. The shear strength test was carried out to obtain the shear strength parameters data and the microscopic fracture test was carried out to determine the crack fracture surface on sheared core sample using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). The SEM image would give the fracture characteristic and crack propagation on granitic rock. Then, this image was analyzed to obtain a microcrack propagation pattern analysis which can be used for fracture mechanics studies that must comply with Griffith theory of crack propagation.

1.3 Research Aim and Objectives

The main aim of this research is to investigate the shear strength parameters and its microcrack pattern on granite in Pos Selim, Perak. The objectives of the research are:-

1. To determine the shear strength parameters such as friction angle, cohesion, Joint Roughness Coefficient (JRC) and Joint Compressive Strength (JCS) of granitic rock
2. To study and analyze the microscopic crack and fracture using Scanning Electron Microscope
3. To propose the microcrack pattern of granite in Pos Selim area
4. To study the relationship between microcrack pattern and the shear strength parameters of granite at Pos Selim area