



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**DEVELOPMENT OF A SUB-SURFACE STORMWATER  
STORAGE-INFILTRATION SYSTEM**

**ABDULLAH ALI NASSER AL-HAMATI**

**FK 2007 35**



**DEVELOPMENT OF A SUB-SURFACE STORMWATER  
STORAGE-INFILTRATION SYSTEM**

**By**

**ABDULLAH ALI NASSER AL-HAMATI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**July 2007**



*Dedicated to my Parents,  
to my wife  
to my Son; Imad and daughter; Reem  
to my family.*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**DEVELOPMENT OF A SUB-SURFACE STORMWATER  
STORAGE-INFILTRATION SYSTEM**

By

**ABDULLAH ALI NASSER AL-HAMATI**

**July 2007**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Abdul Halim Ghazali, PhD**

**Faculty: Engineering**

Floodings due to the increase in impervious areas as a result of urbanization are still a main problem in many countries, including Malaysia. The lack of open space in urban areas may hinder the use of typical stormwater detention/retention systems, which are normally constructed above ground. Systems installed below the surface (subsurface) have great potential in such areas. Subsurface detention/retention systems such as pipe systems, arch chamber systems, and storage tanks systems are available in some countries abroad, such as Australia and the U.S.A, and importing such systems is not cheap and it involves the outflow of funds from the country. In this research a new subsurface detention/retention system has been developed for the purposes of reduction of volume and flow rate of stormwater runoff and recharging groundwater. It may also be able to minimise the environmental impact on water quality. Developing a new system that is manufactured locally and based on a readily available material in the local market also encourages the growth of local industry and faster achievement for the aim to reduce the flooding and pollution in urbanized areas in the country. The system that has been developed in this research is called the Stormwater Infiltration Block (SWIB)



system and the following criteria have been considered in its development; the system is designed to be installed in subsurface, it has high structural strength and storage capacity, it allows water to infiltrate at high rates, it is light in weight and cost effective, it requires low maintenance, and it is simple and easy to install. The SWIB system is composed of the Stormwater Infiltration Blocks (SWIBs) to store and infiltrate stormwater runoff, geotextile, geogrid, adequate soil cover, and porous pavement surface. The SWIB is formed by nine hollow plastic pipes held vertically together by two plastic holders, one each at the top and the bottom. Both, the pipes and holders are made from rigid polyvinyl chloride (PVC-U). The design of the holder takes into consideration the following criteria; the holder must be strong enough to sustain, transfer and distribute the loads applied on the holder to the pipes, it is able to allow for SWIBs to be stacked above each other to achieve the desired height and provide firmly connected SWIBs, it must hold the pipes tightly without fasteners, and it should have high percentage of open space to allow water to flow into the SWIB very easily.

Experimental tests were carried out in the laboratory to investigate the structural and hydraulic performance of the SWIB system. A total of 20 experimental tests were carried out to investigate the structural performance of the SWIB system under different conditions. Some of these tests were done to evaluate the system ability to sustain the design axial compression load of 93 kN, which is the maximum load expected when the system is installed in a parking area and the results demonstrate that the system has the strength to sustain the load applied. Ultimate strength tests were also conducted and they proved that the SWIB has an ability for support the axial compression loads up to 486 kN, which is five times larger than the design load. No significant reduction in the SWIB strength was found when its height was increased from 348 mm to 648 mm. Lateral loads tests reveal that the SWIB has good ability for supporting lateral loads

equivalent to the lateral soil pressure of up to 3 m depth below the ground surface without any damage observed in the SWIB system. For all the tests performed under the design load no critical stress that may lead to the SWIB failure occurred and no failure was observed in the SWIB geogrid or geotextile.

Another 20 experimental tests were carried out to investigate the hydraulic performance of the SWIB system under different conditions. Some of these tests were done to evaluate the infiltration rate through the system which revealed that the system has high infiltration rate and demonstrates an excellent efficiency in preventing the ponding of water on the surface area even under high rainfall intensities that varied from 300 mm/hr to 420 mm/hr for 5 min and 10 min rainfall durations. The type of block pavement surface used on top of the system significantly affect its infiltration rate, therefore, the correct pavement surface should be selected. The block pavement type which can give the highest infiltration rate is the open-celled type. Evaluation of the system capacity to store water demonstrates that the system has high storage capacity that reaches a value of 93% of the total volume of the SWIB.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMBANGUNAN SISTEM PENYIMPANAN-PENYUSUPAN AIR HUJAN  
BAWAH PERMUKAAN**

Oleh

**ABDULLAH ALI NASSER AL-HAMATI**

**Julai 2007**

**Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Abdul Halim Ghazali, PhD**

**Fakulti: Kejuruteraan**

Kejadian banjir yang disebabkan oleh pertambahan kawasan tak telap air hasil daripada pembandaran sesuatu kawasan merupakan satu masalah utama dalam banyak negara, termasuk Malaysia. Kekurangan ruang terbuka dalam kawasan bandar boleh menghalang penggunaan sistem tahanan air hujan tipikal, yang biasanya dibina di atas permukaan tanah. Sistem yang diwujudkan di bawah permukaan (bawah tanah) mempunyai potensi yang besar bagi kawasan seumpama itu. Sistem tahanan bawah tanah seperti sistem paip, sistem kebuk arka, dan sistem tangki simpanan boleh diperolehi daripada beberapa negara luar, seperti Australia dan Amerika Syarikat, tetapi untuk mengimpot sistem tersebut bukanlah murah dan ia melibatkan aliran keluar ringgit daripada negara. Di dalam penyelidikan ini, satu sistem tahanan/penyusupan baharu dibangunkan bagi tujuan mengurangkan isipadu dan kadar alir larian air hujan dan mengecas semula air bumi. Membangunkan satu sistem yang bersandarkan bahan yang boleh didapati dalam pasaran tempatan juga menggalakkan pertumbuhan industri tempatan dan mempercepatkan pencapaian matlamat untuk mengurangkan banjir dan pencemaran di kawasan bandar dalam negara.

Sistem yang dibangun dalam penyelidikan ini dipanggil sistem Blok Simpanan-Penyusupan (SWIB), dan kriteria berikut telah diambil kira dalam pembangunannya: sistem ini direka bentuk untuk digunakan di bawah permukaan, ia mempunyai kekuatan dan kapasiti simpanan yang tinggi, ia membenarkan air menyusup pada kadar yang tinggi, ia adalah ringan dan menjimatkan, ia memerlukan penyenggaraan yang rendah, dan ia adalah mudah untuk ditempatkan. Sistem SWIB mengandungi Blok Simpanan-Penyusupan (SWIB), geotekstil, geogrid, tutup tanah yang mencukupi, dan permukaan turapan telap air. SWIB dibentuk oleh sembilan batang paip plastik yang dipegang secara menegak oleh dua pemegang, satu di atas dan satu lagi di bawah. Kedua-dua paip dan pemegang diperbuat daripada klorida polyvinyl tegap (PVC-U). Reka bentuk pemegang mengambil kira kriteria berikut: ia mesti mempunyai kekuatan mencukupi untuk menanggung beban, serta memindah dan menagihkan beban tersebut kepada paip, ia boleh membenarkan SWIB disusun secara bertingkat untuk mencapai ketinggian yang dikehendaki dan menghasilkan SWIB yang bersambung, ia mesti dapat memegang paip dengan kemas tanpa sebarang bahan pelekat, dan ia mesti mempunyai peratusan ruang terbuka yang tinggi untuk membenarkan air mengalir ke dalam SWIB dengan mudah.

Ujikaji dilakukan di makmal untuk menilai prestasi struktur dan hidraulik sistem SWIB. Sejumlah 20 ujikaji telah dilaksanakan untuk menilai kekuatan struktur sistem SWIB di dalam keadaan berlainan. Sebahagian daripada ujian ini dilakukan untuk menilai keupayaan sistem SWIB untuk menanggung beban mampatan reka bentuk sebanyak 93 kN, iaitu beban jangkaan maksimum apabila sistem ini digunakan sebagai kawasan letak kereta dan keputusan menunjukkan sistem ini mempunyai kekuatan yang mampu menanggung beban itu. Ujian kekuatan muktamad telah juga dilakukan dan hasilnya menunjukkan sistem ini mampu menanggung beban sehingga



486 kN, iaitu lima kali ganda lebih besar daripada beban jangkaan. Tiada pengurangan ketara telah didapati apabila ketinggian blok ditambah daripada 348 mm kepada 648 mm. Ujian beban sisi menunjukkan bahawa SWIB mempunyai keupayaan yang baik untuk menanggung beban sisi yang bersamaan dengan tekanan tanah sehingga 3 m dalam, tanpa sebarang kerosakan pada sistem SWIB ini. Bagi semua ujian yang dilakukan menggunakan beban reka bentuk tiada tegasan kritikal yang boleh menyebabkan kegagalan SWIB berlaku dan tiada kegagalan didapati pada geogrid dan geotekstil.

Sebanyak 20 ujikaji yang lain telah dilakukan untuk menilai prestasi hidraulik sistem SWIB di dalam keadaan yang berlainan. Sebahagian daripada ujian itu dilakukan untuk menentukan kadar penyusupan melalui sistem ini, yang mana hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa sistem ini mempunyai kadar penyusupan yang tinggi dan keupayaan yang baik untuk mengelak air bertakung di atas permukaan walaupun dalam keadaan keamatan hujan yang tinggi, iaitu di antara 300 mm/jam dan 420 mm/jam, dalam tempoh hujan selama 5 minit dan 10 minit. Jenis permukaan turapan yang digunakan pada bahagian atas sistem ini memberi kesan yang besar ke atas kadar penyusupan, oleh itu permukaan turapan yang betul mesti digunakan. Turapan yang menghasilkan kadar penyusupan yang paling tinggi adalah jenis sel terbuka. Penilaian ke atas keupayaan sistem ini untuk menyimpan air menunjukkan bahawa ia boleh mencapai 93% daripada keseluruhan isipadu SWIB.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of ALLAH, who instilled in giving me the strength, patience, and motivation to complete this research. I would like to take this opportunity to record my deepest appreciation and gratitude to the research committee led by Associate Prof. Dr. Abdul Halim Ghazali. He took time to listen to my ideas, and he has patiently answered my questions. His invaluable guidance, fruitful discussion, and who is always provides the golden recommendations and suggestions to my inquiries.

I would like also to introduce my great thanks to all the members of my Ph.D. supervision committee; Associate Prof. Dr. Thamer Ahmed Mohammed for his support, attention, and the guidance during this research; Associate Prof. Jamaludin Noorzarei for his virtuous guidance and encouragement and Associate Prof. Mohammed Razali Abdul Kadir for his valuable advices during the time of doing the research.

I would also like to thank my great university in my country, University of Tamar for its financial support during my research work.

Great thanks to the Civil Engineering Department, the university library and Universiti Putra Malaysia that provide the working environment for performing this work.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 18<sup>th</sup> July 2007 to conduct the final examination of Abdullah Ali Nasser AL-Hamati on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Development of a Sub-Surface Stormwater Storage-Infiltration System" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

**Bujang Kim Huat, PhD**

Title (Professor)  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohd Amin Mohd Soom, PhD**

Title (Professor)  
Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Ratnasamy Muniandy, PhD**

Title (Associate Professor)  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Salim bin Said, PhD**

Title (Professor)  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Malaysia Sarawak  
(External Examiner)

---

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: / / 2007



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Abdul Halim Ghazali, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Thamer Ahmed Mohamed, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Jamaludin Noorzaie, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Mohammed Razali Abdul Kadir, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13 September 2007



## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

---

**ABDULLAH ALI NASSER AL-HAMATI**

Date: 1 March 2007

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	vi
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	ix
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	viii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	x
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxi
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxxix
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1.1
1.2 Effect of Urbanization on Stormwater Runoff	1.1
1.3 Urban Stormwater Management	1.4
1.4 Subsurface Stormwater Detention/Retention Systems	1.6
1.5 Problem Statement	1.7
1.6 Research Objectives	1.8
1.7 Scope of Works	1.8
1.8 Organization of Thesis	1.9
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	2.1
2.2 Stormwater Facilities in Urban Area	2.1
2.2.1 Stormwater Detention Facilities	2.3
2.2.2 Stormwater Retention Facilities	2.4
2.3 On-site Subsurface Stormwater Detention/Retention Systems	2.8
2.3.1 Pipe Systems	2.8
2.3.2 Arched Chamber Systems	2.11
2.3.3 Storage Tanks Systems	2.13
2.4 Design of Stormwater System	2.15
2.4.1 System Design Criteria	2.15
2.4.2 System Design Rainfall	2.18
2.4.3 System Runoff Estimation	2.20
2.4.4 Stormwater Retention Systems	2.21
2.5 Subsurface Flow Model of Infiltrating Trench	2.25
2.6 PVC Material, Geotextiles, Geogrids, and Porous Pavement Surfaces	2.26
2.6.1 PVC Material	2.26
2.6.2 Geotextiles	2.36
2.6.3 Geogrids	2.54
2.6.4 Porous Surfaces	2.61
2.7 Loading on Subsurface Stormwater Storage Systems	2.72
2.7.1 Earth Load on the System	2.73
2.7.2 Live Loads on the System	2.74
2.8 Bedding and Backfilling Soils of Subsurface Storage Systems	2.76



2.9	Reasons for Developing the SWIB System	2.77
2.10	Summary	2.78

### **3 DEVELOPMENT OF STORMWATER INFILTRATION BLOCK (SWIB)**

3.1	Introduction	3.1
3.2	Loads Calculation of the SWIB System	3.2
	3.2.1 Vertical and Lateral Earth Load	3.3
	3.2.2 Live Loads	3.4
3.3	Description of the Proposed System	3.4
3.4	SWIB Configuration	3.6
	3.4.1 SWIB Pipes Selection Criteria	3.7
	3.4.2 SWIB Holder Configuration	3.8
3.5	SWIB Material	3.10
3.6	Properties of PVC-U Material	3.11
3.7	Structural Analysis and Design of the SWIB Components	3.12
	3.7.1 Pipes Analysis and Design	3.13
	3.7.2 SWIB Holder Design and Analysis	3.41
3.8	Finite Element Analysis of the SWIB	3.56
	3.8.1 Analysis of One Layer of the SWIB	3.57
	3.8.2 Analysis of Two Layers of the SWIBs	3.66
	3.8.3 Conclusions of SWIB Finite Element Analysis	3.75
3.9	Derivation of SWIB System Formulas	3.77
	3.9.1 Storage Capacity of the SWIB System	3.77
	3.9.2 Dimensions and Quantities of the SWIB System	3.81
	3.9.3 Area of Flow of the SWIB System	3.83
	3.9.4 Drain Time of the SWIB System	3.85
3.10	Fabrication of the SWIB	3.88
3.11	Experimental Works	3.90
3.12	Summary	3.91

### **4 EXPERIMENTAL WORKS**

4.1	Introduction	4.1
4.2	Tests on Bedding and Backfilling Soils	4.1
	4.2.1 Sieve Analysis	4.2
	4.2.2 Results of Soil Samples Tests	4.3
4.3	Geotextile and Geogrid of the SWIB System	4.5
	4.3.1 Selection of the Geotextile	4.5
	4.3.2 Selection of the Geogrid	4.9
4.4	Porous Surface on the SWIB System	4.10
4.5	Structural Tests on the SWIB System	4.12
	4.5.1 Experimental Setup	4.13
	4.5.2 Strains and Dial Gauges Notations	4.17
	4.5.3 Test Procedure of Axial Compression Loads	4.24
	4.5.4 Structural Tests on the SWIB System	4.26
4.6	Hydraulic Tests on the SWIB System	4.40
	4.6.1 Laboratory SWIB System Design	4.40
	4.6.2 Experimental Setup	4.44
	4.6.3 Hydraulic Tests on the SWIB System	4.51

4.7	Summary	4.57
<b>5</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
5.1	Introduction	5.1
5.2	Results of Structural Tests on the SWIB System	5.1
5.2.1	Effect of Orifices Orientation on the SWIB Strength (Tests 1 to 2)	5.1
5.2.2	SWIB System with One Layer under Compression Loads (Tests 3 to 8)	5.4
5.2.3	Summary of Results for Tests 3 to 8	5.17
5.2.4	SWIB System with Two Layers under Compression Loads (Tests 9 to 12)	5.19
5.2.5	Summary of Results for Tests 9 to 12	5.26
5.2.6	SWIB System Subjected to Lateral Pressure (Tests 13 to 15)	5.28
5.2.7	Summary of Results for Tests 13 to 15	5.34
5.2.8	Bonding Strength of the SWIB (Tests 16 to 18)	5.36
5.2.9	Summary of Results for Tests 16 to 18	5.39
5.2.10	Ultimate Strength Tests of the SWIB (Tests 19 and 20)	5.40
5.3	Conclusions of the SWIB System Structural Tests	5.44
5.4	Results of Hydraulic Tests on the SWIB System	5.46
5.4.1	Drain Time of the SWIB Pipes (Tests 1 and 2)	5.46
5.4.2	Drain Time of the SWIB System (Tests 3 to 5)	5.47
5.4.3	Infiltration Rate of the SWIB System	5.51
5.4.4	Comparison of Infiltration Rates	5.64
5.4.5	Water Ponding Time of the SWIB System (Tests 16 to 20)	5.68
5.4.6	Comparison of Water Ponding Time	5.72
5.5	Conclusion of the SWIB System Hydraulic Tests	5.73
5.6	Summary	5.76
<b>6</b>	<b>PROPOSED DESIGN AND INSTALLATION OF SWIB SYSTEM</b>	
6.1	Introduction	6.1
6.2	SWIB System Design	6.1
6.2.1	SWIB System as Detention Facility	6.2
6.2.2	SWIB System as Retention Facility	6.7
6.3	SWIB System Operation and Maintenance	6.16
6.4	SWIB System Design and Installation Procedures	6.19
6.4.1	System Location	6.20
6.4.2	System Purposes	6.20
6.4.3	Storage Volume Required	6.21
6.4.4	System Depth	6.21
6.4.5	System Width	6.21
6.4.6	System Quantities	6.21
6.4.7	System Linear Quantity	6.22
6.4.8	System Geogrid Quantity	6.24
6.4.9	Volume of Excavation Required	6.24
6.4.10	Volume of Bedding and Backfilling Soils	6.24
6.4.11	Porous Surface above the SWIB System	6.26
6.4.12	SWIB System Inlet/Outlet Location	6.26
6.5	SWIB System Designer Computer Program	6.27



6.5.1	Description of SWIB System Designer Program	6.27
6.5.2	Design Example using SWIB System Designer Program	6.28
6.6	SWIB System Competitive Advantages	6.32
6.7	SWIB System Performance Analysis	6.35
6.8	SWIB System Applications	6.37
6.8.1	Subsurface Infiltration Tanks	6.37
6.8.2	Subsurface Storage Tanks	6.37
6.8.3	Roadway and Parking Area Drainage	6.38
6.8.4	Subsurface Channels	6.39
6.8	Summary	6.39
<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS</b>	
7.1	Conclusion	7.1
7.1.1	Structural Performance	7.2
7.1.2	Hydraulic Performance of the SWIB system	7.6
7.2	Research Contribution	7.8
7.3	Recommendations for Further Works	7.9
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	R.1
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	A.1
	<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	B.1
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	P.1

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Advantages and Disadvantages of Source Control and Downstream Control Facilities	1.6
2.1 Design Storm ARI for Conveyance System	2.16
2.2 Flow Control Performance Criteria	2.17
2.3 Pollutant Retention/Load Reduction Criteria	2.17
2.4 Values of $F$ for Equation (2.2)	2.19
2.5 Coefficients of the Fitted IDF Equation for Kuala Lumpur	2.20
2.6 Design Criteria for On-site Retention Facilities	2.24
2.7 Design Criteria for Community Retention Facilities	2.24
2.8 Typical Hydraulic Gradient	2.48
2.9 AASHTO M288-96 Geotextile Strength Property Requirements	2.50
2.10 AASHTO M288-96 Subsurface Filtration Geotextile Requirements	2.50
2.11 Recommended Reduction Factor Values	2.57
2.12 Reduction Factor ( $F_r$ ) Relating to Installation Damage for Polyfelt Geogrids	2.59
2.13 ASTM D 2940 Gradation for Dense-Graded Base	2.70
2.14 ASTM C33 Grading Requirements for Bedding Sand	2.70
2.15 ASTM No. 57 Gradation for Open-Graded Base	2.71
2.16 ASTM No. 8 Gradation for Fill or Bedding Course	2.71
2.17 Typical Maintenance Activities for Porous Surfaces	2.72
2.18 Coefficients of Earth Pressure at Rest	2.74
2.19 Impact Factors for Traffic Loads	2.76
3.1 SWIB System used as Subsurface Drainage System in a Parking Areas	3.3
3.2 Selection Criteria of the Pipe Dimensions	3.7
3.3 Design Criteria of the SWIB Holder Configuration	3.9

3.4 Factors Made the PVC-U the Best Material Selection	3.11
3.5 Material Properties of the Selected PVC-U	3.11
3.6 Dimensions of PVC-U Pipes Specimens Chosen for the Analysis	3.13
3.7 Stress Concentration (Maximum Stress) around the Pipe Orifice	3.29
3.8 Dimensions and Orifice Locations of Pipes	3.33
3.9 Different SWIB Holder Configurations Proposed	3.42
3.10 Maximum Displacements and Stresses of the SWIB Holder	3.55
3.11 SWIB Dimensions used in the Analysis	3.57
3.12 Maximum Displacements and Stresses of the SWIBs	3.75
4.1 Summary of Soil Samples Tests Results	4.5
4.2 Geotextile Requirements of the SWIB system	4.6
4.3 Continue of Geotextile Requirements of the SWIB system	4.7
4.4 Calculation of Maximum Opening Size ( $O_{95}$ ) of the Geotextile	4.7
4.5 Calculation of Minimum Geotextile Permeability	4.8
4.6 Properties of the Selected Geotextiles	4.8
4.7 Properties of the Selected Geogrids	4.10
4.8 Specifications of Concrete Blocks used in the Experimental Tests	4.11
4.9 Strain Gauges Notations and Locations of Tests 1 to 8	4.19
4.10 Dial Gauges Notations and Locations of Tests 1 to 8	4.19
4.11 Strain Gauges Notations and Locations of Tests 9 to12	4.21
4.12 Dial Gauges Notations and Locations of Tests 9 to12	4.21
4.13 Strain Gauges Notations and Locations of Tests 13 to 18	4.23
4.14 Effect of the SWIB Orifices Orientation on the SWIB Strength	4.27
4.15 Tests of the SWIB System with One Layer of SWIB	4.28
4.16 Tests of the SWIB System with Two Layers of the SWIBs	4.31

4.17 Lateral Load Tests of One Layer of the SWIB	4.34
4.18 Tests of the SWIB Bonding Strength	4.37
4.19 Ultimate Strength Tests of the SWIB	4.39
4.20 Information for the Laboratory SWIB system Design	4.41
4.21 Calculation Results of the Laboratory SWIB System	4.41
4.22 Intensities and Discharges of the SWIB System	4.43
4.23 Drain Time Tests of the SWIB Pipes	4.52
4.24 Drain Time Tests of the SWIB System	4.53
4.25 Infiltration Rate Tests of the System without using SWIBs	4.54
4.26 Infiltration Rate Tests of the System using SWIBs	4.55
5.1 Measured Vertical Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at the Orifices (Parallel Direction) (Test 1)	5.2
5.2 Measured Vertical Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at the Orifices (Perpendicular Direction)(Test 2)	5.2
5.3 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 3)	5.5
5.4 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 3)	5.6
5.5 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 4)	5.8
5.6 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 4)	5.9
5.7 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 5)	5.10
5.8 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 5)	5.11
5.9 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 6)	5.12
5.10 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 6)	5.12
5.11 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 7)	5.14
5.12 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 7)	5.14
5.13 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 8)	5.16
5.14 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 8)	5.16
5.15 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB of Tests 3 to 8	5.18

5.16 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 9)	5.19
5.17 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 9)	5.20
5.18 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 10)	5.21
5.19 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 10)	5.22
5.20 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 11)	5.23
5.21 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 11)	5.24
5.22 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 12)	5.25
5.23 Measured Displacements (mm) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 12)	5.26
5.24 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB of Tests 9 to12	5.27
5.25 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 13)	5.30
5.26 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 14)	5.32
5.27 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB (Test 15)	5.34
5.28 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB of Tests 13 to15	5.35
5.29 Measured Strains ( $\mu\epsilon$ ) at Different Locations in the SWIB of Tests 16 to 18	5.38
5.30 Drain Time Tests Results of the SWIB Pipes	5.46
5.31 Values used in Equation 3.39	5.50
5.32 Comparison of Horton Equation Parameter Values for Sandy Soils and the Measured Values in this Research	5.68
6.1 Required Input Data of the SWIB System Designer Program	6.27
6.2 Output Data from the SWIB System Designer Program	6.28
6.3 Input Data of SWIB System Design Example	6.29
6.4 Output Data of the Design SWIB System Example	6.32
6.5 Performance Analysis of SWIB System, Arched Chamber System, and Pipe System	6.36

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.1 Hydrologic Cycle	1.2
1.2 Surface Runoff Volume Increases by Increasing the Impervious Surface	1.2
1.3 Urbanization Increase Downstream Runoff Volume and Peak Flow Rates	1.3
1.4 Potential Pollutants Typically Available in Urban Areas	1.4
2.1 Classification of Storage Facilities	2.2
2.2 On-site Detention Facilities	2.4
2.3 Community and Regional Detention Facilities	2.4
2.4 Porous Pavement Surfaces	2.6
2.5 Infiltration Trench	2.6
2.6 Dry wells Installation	2.7
2.7 Infiltration Basin	2.7
2.8 Subsurface Concrete Pipes Detention System	2.9
2.9 Subsurface Steel Pipes Detention/ Retention System	2.10
2.10 Plastic Pipes used as Subsurface Detention/Retention System	2.11
2.11 Arched Chambers used as Subsurface Detention/Retention System	2.12
2.12 Subsurface Concrete Tanks Detention System	2.13
2.13 Subsurface StormTrap Retention System	2.14
2.14 Subsurface Storage Plastic Tank	2.14
2.15 Subsurface Atlantis Tank System	2.15
2.16 IDF Curves for Kuala Lumpur	2.20
2.17 Subsurface Flow Model of Infiltrating Trench	2.25
2.18 PVC Resin Powder	2.27
2.19 Some Applications of PVC-U Material	2.29

2.20 Applications of PVC-U Pipes in Soil Erosion Control	2.30
2.21 PVC-U Pipes as Well Casing and Screen Pipes	2.30
2.22 Applications of PVC-U Pipes as Decorative Column System	2.31
2.23 Density of PVC-U, HDPE, and PP	2.33
2.24 Fire Performance of PVC-U, HDPE, and PP	2.33
2.25 Mechanical Properties of PVC-U, HDPE, and PP	2.34
2.26 Service Temperatures of PVC-U, HDPE, and PP	2.35
2.27 Radiation Resistance of PVC-U, HDPE, and PP	2.35
2.28 Dimension Stability of PVC-U, HDPE, and PP	2.35
2.29 Woven and Nonwoven Geotextiles	2.36
2.30 Different Soil-Geotextile Mechanisms of Long –Term Flow Compatibility	2.43
2.31 Typical Soils Hydraulic Conductivity Values	2.47
2.32 Polyfelt TS Geotextiles	2.51
2.33 Polyfelt TS Geotextiles - Grade Selection Chart	2.52
2.34 Polyfelt TS Geotextiles Installation	2.53
2.35 Uniaxial and Biaxial Geogrids	2.55
2.36 Geogrids used for Base Reinforcement	2.55
2.37 Applications of Geogrids	2.56
2.38 Polyfelt Rock GX Geogrids	2.58
2.39 Factor of Safety for Creep of Polyfelt Rock GX Geogrids	2.60
2.40 Concrete Paver (open-celled) Block	2.62
2.41 Interlocking Concrete Blocks	2.63
2.42 Shapes of Solid Unit Pavers	2.63
2.43 Solid Unit Pavers Placed on Aggregate Bases	2.64
2.44 Types of Pervious Surfaces Designs	2.68

2.45	Typical Concrete Grid Surface over Dense-Graded Base	2.70
2.46	Concrete Grid Surface over Open-Graded Aggregate Bases	2.71
2.47	Vertical and Horizontal Earth Loads Acting on Subsurface System	2.73
2.48	Design Live Loads of the System	2.75
2.49	Distributed Load Area of Single Dual Wheel	2.76
3.1	General Layout of the Development of the SWIB	3.2
3.2	Components of the SWIB System	3.5
3.3	Final Design of the SWIB Configuration	3.6
3.4	Plastic Pipe with Circular Cross-section	3.7
3.5	SWIB Holder Configuration	3.8
3.6	Top and Side View of the SWIB Holder	3.8
3.7	PVC-U Pipe Specimens Prepared	3.14
3.8	Placement of a Specimen on the Testing Machine	3.15
3.9	Effect of Pipe Height and Thickness on the Maximum Compression Load	3.16
3.10	Load-Displacement Relationship for the Pipe with 89.1 mm Diameter	3.16
3.11	Buckling Occurring with Long Pipe Specimens	3.17
3.12	Effect of Pipe Height and Thickness on the Maximum Compression Load	3.18
3.13	Load-Displacement Relation of the Pipe with 114.3 mm Diameter	3.18
3.14	Most of Pipe Specimens Failure occurred at Pipe Bottom	3.19
3.15	Finite Element Modeling of Pipe Specimens	3.21
3.16	Vertical and Radial Displacements in the Pipe Wall, UZ and UX	3.22
3.17	Vertical Stress Distribution along the Pipe Wall Height, $\sigma_z$	3.23
3.18	Horizontal Stress Distribution along the Pipe Wall Height, $\sigma_x$	3.24
3.19	Experimental and Theoretical Results of the 89.1 mm Diameter Pipe Specimen Tests	3.25



3.20	Experimental and Theoretical Results of the 114.3 mm Diameter Pipe Specimen Tests	3.25
3.21	Radial Hole in a Hollow Circular Tube Subject to Axial Tension Load	3.27
3.22	Displacements along the Pipe Height, $U_z$	3.31
3.23	Stress Around the Pipe Orifice for Different Orifice Locations, $\sigma_z$	3.31
3.24	Stress Concentration around the Pipe Orifice, $\sigma_z$	3.32
3.25	Stress Around the Pipe Orifice, $\sigma_x$	3.32
3.26	Pipes Specimens Prepared for Tests	3.33
3.27	Measuring Specimen Axial Displacements and Strains	3.34
3.28	Tests of the Effect of Pipe Orifice Location in the Pipe Strength	3.35
3.29	Load-Displacement Relation of the Pipes with Different Orifice Locations	3.36
3.30	Two Pipes Testing under Axial Compression Loads	3.37
3.31	Failure of Pipes under Axial Compression Loads	3.38
3.32	Vertical Pipes Displacements due to Axial Compression Loads Applied	3.39
3.33	Experimental Testing of Pipes with Orifices	3.40
3.34	Load-Displacement Relation of the Pipes with Orifice	3.41
3.35	Simplification of the SWIB Holder Geometry	3.42
3.36	Variation of Max. Stress with Holder Dimensions at Different Holder Thicknesses and Depths	3.45
3.37	Variation of Deflection with Holder Dimensions at Different Holder Thicknesses and Depths	3.45
3.38	Variation of Weight with Holder Dimensions at Different Holder Thicknesses and Depths	3.46
3.39	Variation of Volume Voids with Holder Dimensions at Different Holder Thicknesses and Depths	3.46
3.40	Variation of Max. Stress with Holder Dimensions at Different Holder Thickness and Constant Depth	3.48
3.41	Variation of Deflection with Holder Dimensions at Different Holder Thickness and Constant Depth	3.48