

**COMPETITION EFFECTS OF PURPLE NUTSEDGE  
(*Cyperus rotundus* L.) ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF TEF  
(*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter) IN ETHIOPIA**

**By**

**AHMED MOHAMMED SHERIF**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti  
Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the  
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**November 2004**

Dedicated to  
My Wife Samia

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**COMPETITION EFFECTS OF PURPLE NUTSEDGE (*Cyperus rotundus* L.)  
ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF TEF[*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter]  
IN ETHIOPIA**

By

**AHMED MOHAMMED SHERIF**

**November 2004**

**Chairman: Abdul-Shukor Juraimi, Ph. D.**

**Faculty: Agriculture**

Tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] is the staple food of Ethiopians, and purple nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus* L.) is one of the commonest and most abundant weeds in tef. Thus, two experiments were undertaken at three locations, namely, Alem Tena, Debre Zeit and Tullu Bollo in Ethiopia to investigate competition effects of purple nutsedge on tef and determine combinations of seeding rates, sowing dates, fertilizer rates and time of weeding for effective control of nutsedge without the use of herbicides. The first experiment in each of the three locations consisted of three levels of tef seeding rates (10, 30 and 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), fertilizer at rates of 0, 10 & 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0, 10.5 & 21 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>, in the form of Diammonium Phosphate (DAP) treatments, and nutsedge density

(0, 30 and 60 plants m<sup>-2</sup>). The experiment was 3<sup>3</sup> factorial in a completely randomized block design with four replicates. The second experiment consisted of three sowing dates delayed by 0, 7 and 15 days and five weed removal treatments (no-weeding, weeded at 2, 4 & 6 weeks after crop emergence and a weed-free check). It was a 3x5 factorial in a completely randomized block design with four replications. The results obtained from this study indicated that tef was more competitive than nutsedge under conditions of stress at low soil fertility and high crop and weed densities. Tef plants under these conditions had fewer tillers, were much taller and produced higher yields. Highest seed rate of 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> offered a greater competitive advantage to tef. The higher tef seeding rates were associated with higher biomass production, which was strongly and positively correlated with higher grain yield. Under conditions of higher soil fertility with applied fertilizer, purple nutsedge resulted in slightly more aggressive growth and reduced tef plant height and grain yield. A detailed analysis of the specific responses in tef plant height to nutsedge density and biomass in relation to fertilizer levels, tef seeding rates and nutsedge sowing densities showed that at the highest seed rate of 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> tef was more competitive. There was a stronger trend in tef height increase with an increase in nutsedge density, and there was a strong positive correlation between tef plant height and grain yield ( $R^2=0.75$ ). The data also showed that conditions favoring increase in tiller production was associated with lower grain yield. Increase in panicle length was associated with an increase in spikelet numbers and a corresponding increase in tef grain yields, provided there was no delay in

sowing. Sowing delays resulted in shorter panicles, and sowing delays of 7 and 15 days resulted in yield reductions of 59.60 and 68.39%, respectively. In general, tef was more competitive than purple nutsedge at all three experimental sites. In summary, crop seeding rates of between 30 and 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, fertilizer rates of 10 & 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 10.5 & 21 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>, and keeping the tef field free of weeds for at least six weeks and early sowing of tef would enhance farm yields. However, the prevailing environmental conditions may have also favored the survival and more aggressive growth of tef compared to nutsedge, probably due to the remarkable tolerance of tef to low moisture stress than nutsedge. Therefore, the competitiveness of tef against nutsedge needs to be further investigated under more favorable soil moisture conditions.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**COMPETITION EFFECTS OF PURPLE NUTSEDGE (*Cyperus rotundus* L.)  
ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF TEF  
[*Eragrostis tef* (ZUCC.) TROTTER] IN ETHIOPIA**

oleh

**AHMED MOHAMMED SHERIF**

**September 2004**

**Pengerusi: Abdul-Shukor Juraimi, Ph. D.**

**Fakulti: Pertanian**

Tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.)] merupakan makanan utama orang-orang Ethiopia dan *Cyperus rotundus* pula merupakan salah satu rumput biasa dan paling banyak di kawasan tef. Dua kajian telah dijalankan di tiga kawasan iaitu Debre Zeit, Alem Tena dan Tullo Bollo untuk mengkaji kesan persaingan *Cyperus rotundus* terhadap tef dan juga untuk menentukan kombinasi kadar biji benih ditanam, tarikh menanam, kadar baja dan masa merumpai untuk kawalan *Cyperus rotundus* yang efektif tanpa menggunakan herbisid. Eksperimen pertama yang dilakukan di ketiga-tiga lokasi merangkumi tiga kadar biji benih ditanam (10, 30 dan 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), rawatan baja (0, 50 dan 100 Diammonium fosfat) dan densiti *C. rotundus* (0, 30 dan 60 pokok m<sup>-2</sup>) menggunakan

rekabentuk factorial  $3^3$  dengan empat replikasi. Eksperimen kedua pula merangkumi tiga tarikh menyemai (0, 7 dan 15 hari lewat berbandig yang disyorkan) dan lima rawatan merumpai (0, 2, 4 dan 6 minggu selepas penanaman dan satu pemeriksaan tanpa merumpai). Experimen ini menggunakan rekabentuk faktorial  $3 \times 5$  dengan empat replicasi. Keputusan kajian mendapati tef lebih kompetitif berbanding *Cyperus rotundus* dalam keadaan tekangan iaitu pada kesuburan tanah yang rendah dan densiti tef dan *Cyperus rotundus* yang tinggi. Tef dalam keadaan ini mempunyai tiler yang sedikit, tumbuh lebih tinggi dan mempunyai hasil yang lebih banyak. Kadar biji benih ditanam yang tertinggi ( $50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) menjadikan tef lebih kompetatif. Dalam keadaan ini tef didapati menghasilkan biomas yang paling tinggi dan mempunyai korelasi positif yang kuat ke atas hasil bijirin tef. Dalam keadaan kesuburan tanah yang tinggi oleh rawatan pembajaan, *C. rotundus* didapati agak agrasif menyebabkan ketinggian dan hasil bijirin tef berkurangan. Analisis mendalam mengenai tindakbalas spesifik tinggi pokok tef terhadap biomas dan densiti *Cyperus rotundus* yang berkaitan dengan kadar baja, kadar biji benih tef ditanam dan densiti *Cyperus rotundus* yang ditanam menunjukkan kadar kepadatan tef yang tinggi ( $50 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ) telah menghasilkan tef yang lebih kompetatif. Terdapat tren yang kuat ke atas peningkatan ketinggian tef dengan peningkatan densiti *Cyperus rotundus* dan juga terdapat korelasi positif yang kuat antara ketinggian tef dengan hasil bijirin. Data juga menunjukkan keadaan yang menyebabkan peningkatan tiler akan juga menyebabkan penurunan hasil bijirin. Peningkatan panjang panikel berkait rapat dengan peningkatan bilangan

spikelet yang seterusnya meningkatkan hasil bijirin tef dengan syarat penanaman tef dilakukan awal tanpa tangguh. Penanganan masa menanam menghasilkan panikel yang lebih pendek dan penangguhan menanam selama 7 dan 15 hari menyebabkan penurunan hasil sebanyak 59.6 dan 68.39% setiap satu. Secara umumnya tef didapati lebih kompetatif berbanding *C. rotundus* di ketiga-tiga lokasi kajian. Secara ringkasnya kadar densiti tef yang ditanam antara 30 hingga  $50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ , kadar pembajaan antara  $50 - 100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ , kawalan rumpai dalam masa empat minggu selepas menanam, dan tarikh menanam tef yang lebih awal telah meningkatkan hasil tef. Walau bagaimanapun keadaan persekitaran juga didapati menyebabkan tef lebih sesuai hidup dan lebih agresif berbanding *C. rotundus*, mungkin disebabkan tef lebih toleran terhadap tekanan kelembapan yang rendah berbanding *C. rotundus*. Kajian lanjut perlu dilakukan untuk mengkaji keupayaan persaingan tef terhadap *C. rotundus* pada kelembapan tanah yang lebih tinggi.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who were directly or indirectly involved in the completion of this study.

My appreciation goes to my Supervisor Dr. Abdul Shukor Juraimi, for his sincere support, guidance, and encouragement, as well as for traveling to Ethiopia to supervise the field study. I am deeply indebted to Dr. Anuar Abdul Rahim who had given me special tutoring in statistics and for his step-by-step follow-up of the statistical analysis of the data. Sincere appreciation is also extended to Dr. A. Rajan, who contributed a lot in shaping up my thesis, and to Dr. Gezahegn Ayele who also served as a member of my Advisory Committee,

My sincere appreciation also goes to Dr. Seyfu Ketema, Dr. Abera Debelo and Dr. Seid Ahmed, who assisted in my securing the candidature for the Ph. D. program.

I would like to heartily thank my colleagues and brothers, Mr. Mengistu Demissie, Mr. Gebre-Hiwot Abraha, Mr. Gizaw Wondimu, Mr. Amanu Legesse and Mr. Tayu Shewaseged of Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center, without whose tireless efforts in executing the research and collecting the data in the field, this thesis would never have materialized.

My special thanks go to my friend Dr. Mekasha Chichaybelu for his constructive advice all along writing this thesis.

I would like to thank the ARTP (Agricultural Research and Training Program) and EARO (Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization) for financial support and facilitating my study in Malaysia and Tef Research Program of Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center as well as the Management of Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center for providing me research facilities for fieldwork, workers and transport.

Finally and, above all, my wife Samia Zakaria deserves a lot of thanks and appreciation not only for her understanding, love and care, but also for bearing the burden and responsibility of taking care of our children in my absence, in addition to her huge professional commitments.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 4<sup>th</sup> of November,2004 to conduct the final examination of Ahmed Mohammed Sherif on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Competition Effects of Purple Nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus* L.) on Growth and Yield of tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] in Ethiopia” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Chairman**

Prof. Dr. Dzolkhifli Bin Omar  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
**(Chairman)**

**Examiner 1**

Prof. Dr. Rosli Mohamad  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
**(Member)**

**Examiner 2**

Dr. Siti Aishah Hassan  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
**(Member)**

**Independent Examiner, Ph.D.**

Prof. Dr. Ismail Sahid  
Pusat Pengajian Siswazah  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
**(Independent Examiner)**

---

**GULAM RUSUL RAHMAT ALI, Ph.D.**  
Professor/Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Univesiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. Members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Abdul Shukor Juraimi, Ph.D.**

Lecturer

Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Anuar Abdul Rahim, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor

Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Amartalingam Rajan, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor

Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Food  
Bintulu Campus, Sarawak  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Gezahegn Ayele, Ph.D.**

Senior Researcher

Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center  
Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization  
Ethiopia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, Ph.D**

Professor/Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any degree at UPM or other institutions.

---

**AHMED MOHAMMED SHERIF**

Date:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
DECLARATION	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF PLATES	xxiv

## **CHAPTER**

1      INTRODUCTION	1
2      LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1     Tef [ <i>Eragrostis tef</i> (Zucc.) Trotter]	6
2.1.1    Taxonomy and Biology	6
2.1.2    Agronomic, Physiological and Economic Characteristics of Tef	8
2.1.3    Importance of Tef in Ethiopia	11
2.1.4    Agronomic Practices and Problems in Tef	14
2.2     Weeds and Weed Control in Tef	20
2.2.1    Weed Types, Problems and Control Practices	20
2.2.2    Importance of Purple Nutsedge ( <i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L.)	25
2.3     Competition Effects in Tef	31
2.3.1    Definition of Weed Crop Competition	31
2.3.2    Research Priorities in Weed-Crop Competition	35
2.3.3    Competition between Nutsedge and Tef	37
2.3.4    Environmental Conditions and Competitive ability	39
2.3.5    Plant Characteristics and Competitive ability	43
2.3.6    Agronomic Practices and Competitive Ability of tef	46
3      EXPERIMENT I: EFFECTS OF TEF SEEDING RATE, PURPLE NUTSEDGE DENSITY AND FERTILIZER RATE ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF TEF	48

3.1	INTRODUCTION	48
3.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	49
	3.2.1 Description of Experimental Sites	49
	3.2.1.1 Alem Tena Research Site	49
	3.2.1.2 Debre Zeit Research Site	50
	3.2.1.3 Tullu Bollo Research Site	50
	3.2.2 Land Preparation	51
	3.2.3 Experimental Design and Treatments	52
	3.2.4 Data Collection	54
	3.2.5 Statistical Analysis	56
3.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	58
	3.3.1 Alem Tena Research Site (Experiment)	58
	3.3.1.1 Effect of Treatments on Tef Height	58
	3.3.1.2 Effect of Treatments on Tef Density	75
	3.3.1.3 Effect of Treatments on Tiller Production in Tef	79
	3.3.1.4 Effect of Treatments on Tef Root Length	82
	3.3.1.5 Effect of Treatments on Tef Grain Yield	84
	3.3.2 Debre Zeit Research Site (Experiment I)	90
	3.3.2.1 Effect of Treatments on Tef Height	90
	3.3.2.2 Effect of Treatments on Tef Biomass	99
	3.3.2.3 Effect of Treatments on Tiller Production in Tef	107
	3.3.2.4 Effect of Treatments on Leaf Production in Tef	110
	3.3.2.5 Effect of Treatments on Tef Grain Yield	112
	3.3.3 Tullu Bollo Research Site (Experiment I)	116
	3.3.3.1 Effect of Treatments on Days to Maturity in Tef	116
	3.3.3.2 Effect of Treatments on Leaf Production in Tef	118
	3.3.3.3 Effect of Treatments on Tiller Production in Tef	120
	3.3.3.4 Effect of Treatments on Tef Height	126
	3.3.3.5 Effect of Treatments on Tef Grain Yield	133
3.4	SUMMARY OF RESULTS - Experiment I	136
4	EXPERIMENT II: COMPETITION EFFECTS OF DATE OF SOWING TEF AND WEEDING PURPLE NUTSEDGE ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF TEF	142

4.1	INTRODUCTION	142
4.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	143
4.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	147
	4.3.1 Alem Tena Research Site (Experiment II)	147
	4.3.1.1 Effect of Treatments on Tef Biomass Production	147
	4.3.1.2 Effect of Treatments on Tef Density	152
	4.3.1.3 Effect of Treatments on Tef Panicle Length	153
	4.3.1.4 Effect of Treatments on Tef Height	157
	4.3.1.5 Effect of Treatments on Tef Grain Yield	162
	4.3.2 Debre Zeit Research Site (Experiment II)	167
	4.3.2.1 Effect of Treatments on Tef Panicle Length	167
	4.3.2.2 Effect of Treatments on Spikelet Numbers of Tef	171
	4.3.2.3 Effect of Treatments on Tef Height	174
	4.3.2.4 Effect of Treatments on Tef Biomass	177
	4.3.2.5 Effect of Treatments on Tef Grain Yield	183
	4.3.3 Tullu Bollo Research Site (Experiment II)	185
	4.3.3.1 Effect of Treatments on Tef Panicle Length	185
	4.3.3.2 Effect of Treatments on Tiller Production in Tef	188
	4.3.3.3 Effect of Treatments on Tef Density	189
	4.3.3.4 Effect of Treatments on Tef Height	192
	4.3.3.5 Effect of Treatments on Tef Grain Yield	196
4.4	SUMMARY OF RESULTS – Experiment II	201
4.5	GENERAL CONCLUSION	205
	REFERENCES	210
	APPENDICES	221
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	234