

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

THE BUSINESS CYCLE SYNCHRONISATION IN EAST ASIA

LEE HOOI YEAN

FEP 2007 19



THE BUSINESS CYCLE SYNCHRONISATION IN EAST ASIA

By

LEE HOOI YEAN

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2007



Dedication

To the loving memory of my father

To my mother, for her love and undying dedication

To my husband, for his support and patience

To my son and daughter, who make life fun and meaningful



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

THE BUSINESS CYCLE SYNCHRONISATION IN EAST ASIA

By

LEE HOOI YEAN

October 2007

Chairman : Professor Azali bin Mohamed, PhD

Faculty : Economics and Management

This study examines the business cycle synchronisation in East Asia as satisfying one of the preconditions for forming an Optimum Currency Area (OCA). We extend the existing literature by improving the methodology of assessing the business cycle synchronisation in evaluating the suitability of a common currency area for East Asia employing the Bayesian State-Space Based model. This model allows us to decompose aggregate shocks into country-specific, regional and world common business cycles. The importance of studying all three different shocks in one model is that studying a subset of countries can lead one to believe that observed co-movement is particular to that subset of countries when it in fact is common to a much larger group of countries. Understanding the sources of international economic fluctuations is important for making policy decisions. For example, if a country exhibits a large value of the share accounted by the region common factor, then its business cycle movement is largely synchronised to the region, indicating that a regional common monetary policy is more effective to respond to the disturbances. As a benchmark of comparison, the business cycle synchronisation in the European Union (EU) and the North American countries



are also examined. The empirical results suggest that the cost of renouncing individual currencies to advance into a currency union in East Asia could be significant as the output variation explained by country-specific factors is significant. It is worth noting that while we do not find evidence of an East Asian cycle, no evidence of an EU cycle is found either. This study also examines the endogeneity of OCA criteria. Theoretically, the effect of increased trade integration (after the elimination of exchange fluctuations among the countries in the region) on the business cycle synchronisation is ambiguous. We assess the dynamic relationships between trade, finance, specialisation and business cycle synchronisation for East Asia using the Generalised Method of Moments (GMM) approach. The dynamic panel approach improves on previous efforts to examine the business cycle synchronisation – trade link using panel procedures, which control for the potential endogeneity of all explanatory variables. The overall effect of trade on business cycles synchronisation is found to be positive, implying that increased trade leads to more synchronised business cycles. As such, policy makers may have little to worry about the region being unsynchronised in their business cycles as the business cycles will become more synchronised after the monetary union is formed.



PENYELARASAN KITARAN PERNIAGAAN DI ASIA TIMUR

Oleh

LEE HOOI YEAN

October 2007

Pengerusi : Profesor Azali bin Mohamed, PhD

Fakulti : Ekonomi dan Pengurusan

Kajian ini meneliti penyelarasan kitaran perniagaan di Asia Timur sebagai memenuhi salah satu daripada prasyarat bagi pembentukan Kawasan Mata Wang Optimum (Optimum Currency Area). Kami menambah maklumat yang ada dengan memperbaiki kaedah menaksir bagi penyelarasan kitaran perniagaan untuk menilai kesesuaian kawasan mata wang bersama bagi Asia Timur dengan menggunakan Bayesian State-Space Based model. Model ini membolehkan kita menyelerai kejutan agregat ke kejutan tertentu di peringkat negara, kawasan dan global. Penelitian terhadap kumpulan negara pengganti dapat mendorong sesebuah negara untuk menerima bahawa pergerakan bersama yang dikaji adalah khusus untuk negara pengganti, yang sebenarnya sama berbanding dengan kumpulan negara yang lebih besar. Oleh itu, ia adalah penting untuk mengaji kesemua kejutan tersebut dalam satu model. Kefahaman sumber perubahan ekonomi antarabangsa adalah penting untuk membuat keputusan dasar. Contohnya, jikalau variasi output sesebuah negara adalah dipengaruhi oleh kejutan di kawasan Asia Timur, dasar monetari di peringkat kawasan Asia Timur akan lebih berkesan untuk mengatasi masalah makroekonomi. Sebagai penanda aras perbandingan, penyelarasan



kitaran perniagaan dalam Kesatuan Eropah (EU) dan Negara-negara Amerika Utara juga diteliti. Hasil empirik menunjukkan bahawa kos pelepasan mata wang individu yang dijadikan pendahuluan dalam kesatuan mata wang mungkin besar kerana majoriti variasi output di Asia Timur adalah dipengaruhi oleh faktor tertentu negara. Walaupun Asia Timur tidak memenuhi criteria OCA, ia perlu diingati bahawa EU juga tidak memenuhi criteria tersebut. Kajian ini juga meneliti endogeniti kriteria OCA. Secara teorinya, kesan integrasi perdagangan yang meningkat (selepas penyingkiran perubahan mata wang di kalangan negara-negara serantau) terhadap penyelarasan kitaran perniagaan, adalah tidak jelas. Kajian ini menaksir hubungan dinamik antara perdagangan, kewangan, dan pengkhususan dengan penyelarasan kitaran perniagaan bagi Asia Timur, menggunakan pendekatan Kaedah Momen Teram (Generalised Method of Moments). Pendekatan panel dinamik memperbaiki usaha terdahulu untuk meneliti penyelarasan kitaran perniagaan – hubungan perdagangan dengan menggunakan prosedur panel yang mengawal kemungkinan endogeniti bagi semua perubahan keterangan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa peningkatan perdagangan di Asia Timur akan menambahkan penyelarasan kitaran perniagaan. Ini menandakan bahawa perdagangan yang meningkat mendorong ke arah lebih kitaran perniagaan terselaras, pembuat dasar tidak perlu bimbang tentang kawasan yang tidak selaras dalam kitaran perniagaan mereka kerana kitaran perniagaan akan menjadi lebih selaras selepas kesatuan kewangan ditubuhkan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The completion of this research has involved an enormous amount of contribution from many people. Juggling work, family and study makes completing this Ph.D thesis an even more challenging task. First and foremost, my heartily profound gratitude and appreciation are addressed to my chief supervisor, Professor Dr. Azali bin Mohamed for his continued guidance, motivation, support, and encouragement, and for his ability to remain positive and energetic throughout the ups and downs of research. It is with appreciation that I acknowledge the members of my supervisory committee, Professor Dr. Ahmad Zubaidi Baharumshah and Professor Dr. Fatimah Mohd Arshad for their guidance at various stages of the research process which has remarkably improved the quality of the work. My gratitude also goes to Professor Dr. Muzafar Shah Habibullah and Professor Dr. Zulkornain Yusop for their valuable comments and suggestions during the proposal presentation of this research.

I am indebted to my employer (2000-2008), HELP University College for the steadfast encouragement and generous financial support these many years. I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge several colleagues, coursemates and friends who encouraged and motivated me at different stages of the study. I would like to thank Dr. Lee Chin for providing me valuable advises and tips that helped me a lot in staying on the right track. I am grateful to Lilian Chan and Michelle Phang, who were my constant intellectual companions on this PhD journey. We started the PhD journey together. We supported and encouraged each other.



Last, but not least, my indebtedness to my family cannot be put in words. I am most grateful to parents in-law and my brother in-law for all their support that made graduate studies possible. My deepest gratitude goes to my husband for his love and patience during the PhD period. One of the best experiences that we lived through in this period was the birth of our son Ooi Zi Xuan and our daughter Ooi Xiang Yi, who provided an additional and joyful dimension to our life mission. I owe a debt of gratitude to my late father who inspired me with his love and faith in me; to my mother, my brother and sisters for their love and support. There are no words to express the love and gratitude I feel for all of you. Thank you from the bottom of my heart!



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 29 October 2007 to conduct the final examination of Lee Hooi Yean on her Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Optimum Currency Areas in East Asia: Examination of Business Cycle Synchronisation" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) regulations in 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidates be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination committee are as follows:

Muzafar Shah Habibullah, Ph.D

Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Zakariah Abdul Rashid, PhD

Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Zaleha Mohd Noor, PhD

Head of Department Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Mansor Ibrahim, PhD

Professor Kulliyyah of Economics and Management Sciences International Islamic University Malaysia (External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Azali Mohamed, PhD

Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Ahmad Zubaidi Baharumshah, PhD

Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Fatimah Mohd Arshad, PhD

Professor Faculty of Economics and Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 February 2008



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

LEE HOOI YEAN

Date: 21 November 2008



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
DEI	DICATI	ON	ii
ABS	STRAC	Γ	iii
ABS	STRAK		iv
ACI	KNOWI	LEDGEMENTS	v
APF	PROVA	${f L}$	vi
DEC	CLARA	TION	viii
LIS	T OF TA	ABLES	xii
LIS	T OF FI	IGURES	xiv
LIS	T OF A	PPENDICES	
LIS	T OF A	BBREVIATIONS/GLOSSARY OF TERMS	xix
CH	APTER		
1	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Overview	1
	1.2	Brief History of Regionalism	8
		1.2.1 Regional Trading Agreements	9
		1.2.2 Past and Recent Failures of Regionalism in East Asia	11
	1.3	The East Asian Financial Crisis and Regional Cooperation	16
	1.4	Problem Statement	21
	1.5	Objectives of Study	29
	1.6	Significance of the Study	30
	1.7	Plan and Organisation of the Study	33
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	34
	2.1	Introduction	
	2.2	The Review of Optimal Currency Area (OCA) in Theory –	
		The Traditional Approaches	34
		2.2.1 Mundellian Approach to OCA Theory	35
		2.2.2 McKinnon's Approach to OCA Theory	37
	2.3	The Literature Review of OCA Criteria	46
		2.3.1 Measurement of Business Cycle	46
		2.3.2 Symmetry of Business Cycle in OCA Context	53
	2.4	The New OCA Theory	67
		2.4.1 Krugman Specialisation Hypothesis	69
		2.4.2 The "Endogeneity of OCA" Hypothesis	72
	2.5	The Literature Review of the New OCA Theory	74
	2.6	Concluding Remarks	78



3	MET	THODOLOGY	80
	3.1	Introduction	80
	3.2	The Hypothesis	81
		3.2.1 Symmetry of Business Cycles	81
		3.2.2 Endogeneity of OCA	82
	3.3	Methodology-Symmetry of Business Cycles	85
		3.3.1 The Model Specification	85
		3.3.2 State-Space Model	87
		3.3.3 The Bayesian Estimation	94
		3.3.4 Bayesian Model with Autocorrelation	101
		3.3.5 The Posterior Simulators	111
		3.3.6 Bayesian Computation in the State-Space Model	121
		3.3.7 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (<i>MCMC</i>) Diagnostics	122
		3.3.8 Other Estimation Issues	127
	3.4	Methodology-Endogeneity of OCA	132
		3.4.1 The Model Specification	132
		3.4.2 The Measurement	133
		3.4.3 Potential Problems of Estimation	139
		3.4.4 The Generalised Method of Moments (GMM) Estimation	139
		3.4.5 Test for the Validity of the GMM Estimators	140
		3.4.6 Nonstationary Dynamic Panel and Panel Unit Root Tests	142
		3.4.7 System GMM	145
	3.5	The Source of Data	153
4	EMI	PIRICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	155
	4.1	Introduction	155
	4.2	Symmetry of Business Cycles	156
		4.2.1 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (<i>MCMC</i>) Diagnostics	156
		4.2.2 Test for Heteroskedasticity	166
		4.2.3 Bayesian Computation in the State-Space Model	167
	4.3	Endogeneity of OCA	197
		4.3.1 Nonstationary Dynamic Panel and Panel Unit Root Tests	197
		4.3.2 Test for the Validity of the System GMM Instruments	200
		4.3.3 System GMM Estimation	202
	4.4	Concluding Remarks	211
5		IMARY, CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATION	214
	5.1	Introduction	214
	5.2	Summary	214
		5.2.1 Symmetry of Business Cycle	216
		5.2.2 Endogeneity of OCA	219
	5.3	Lessons from EMU	222
	5.4	Policy Implications	226
	5.5	Limitation of Study and Suggestions for Future Research	228
	5.6	Conclusions	231



REFERENCES/BIBLIOGRAPHY	234
LIST OF APPENDICES	251
APPENDIX A	
APPENDIX B	252
APPENDIX C	263
APPENDIX D	267
APPENDIX E	271
APPENDIX F	275
APPENDIX G	279
APPENDIX H	286
APPENDIX I	293
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	300
LIST OF PURLICATIONS	



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Milestones towards greater and deeper economic and financial integration in East Asia	7
1.2	FTAs involving East Asian countries	13
1.3	Trends of Intra-Regional Trade (Selected Years)	22
1.4	Trends of Intra-Regional Trade Intensity Index (Selected Years)	22
1.5	Volatility of Bilateral Exchange Rates in ASEAN5 Plus Three (1973-2002)	24
1.6	Average Volatility of Exchange Rates in ASEAN5 Plus Three (1973-2002)	25
2.1	Distribution of Auto Production	40
2.2	Selected Literature Review on the Study of Business Cycle Correlations in OCA Literature	63
4.1	Posterior Results for β_i	158
4.2	Posterior Results for σ_i^2	159
4.3	Posterior Results for ϕ_i	160
4.4	Geweke's CD for β_i , σ_i^2 and ϕ_i	162
4.5	Posterior Mean and 95% <i>HPDI</i> for β_i	163
4.6	Posterior Mean and 95% <i>HPDI</i> for σ_i^2	164
4.7	Posterior Mean and 95% <i>HPDI</i> for ϕ_i	165
4.8	Test for Heteroskedasticity	166
4.9	Variance Decomposition for East Asia	169



4.10	Presence of World, Regional and Country-Specific Factors during Major Events for East Asian Countries	192
4.11	Variance Decomposition for European Union	194
4.12	Variance Decomposition for North America	196
4.13	The Panel Unit Root Tests	199
4.14	System GMM Estimation	206
4.15	Comparative Study of the Endogeneity of OCA Criteria	209
A.1	Posterior Results for β_i (40,000 draws)	286
A.2	Posterior Results for σ_i^2 (40,000 draws)	287
A.3	Posterior Results for ϕ_i (40,000 draws)	288
A.4	Geweke's CD for β_i , σ_i^2 and ϕ_i (40,000 draws)	289
A.5	Posterior Mean and 95% <i>HPDI</i> for β_i (40,000 draws)	290
A.6	Posterior Mean and 95% <i>HPDI</i> for σ_i^2 (40,000 draws)	291
A.7	Posterior Mean and 95% <i>HPDI</i> for ϕ_i (40,000 draws)	292
A.8	Posterior Results for β_i (50,000 draws)	293
A.9	Posterior Results for σ_i^2 (50,000 draws)	294
A.10	Posterior Results for ϕ_i (50,000 draws)	295
A.11	Geweke's CD for β_i , σ_i^2 and ϕ_i (50,000 draws)	296
A.12	Posterior Mean and 95% <i>HPDI</i> for β_i (50,000 draws)	297
A.13	Posterior Mean and 95% <i>HPDI</i> for σ_i^2 (50,000 draws)	298
Δ 14	Posterior Mean and 95% HPDI for ϕ (50 000 draws)	299



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Aggregate Demand and Supply in Nation A and Nation B	36
2.2	The European Commission View	41
2.3	The Krugman View	41
2.4	Effectiveness of Devaluation as a Function of Openness	44
2.5	The Cost of a Monetary Union and the Openness of a Country	45
2.6	Business Cycle Symmetry, Trade Integration and the Monetary Regime	68
2.7	The Effect of a Country Joining Monetary Union (The Krugman Specialisation Hypothesis)	70
2.8	The Effect of a Country Joining Monetary Union (The "Endogeneity of OCA" Hypothesis)	72
3.1	Summary of Dynamic Relationships between Trade, Finance, Specialisation and Business Cycle Synchronisation	84
4.1	The Median of Output Growth, World, Regional and Country-Specific Factor for China (1970-2000)	171
4.2	The Median of Output Growth, World, Regional and Country-Specific Factor for Indonesia (1970-2000)	174
4.3	The Median of Output Growth, World, Regional and Country-Specific Factor for Japan (1970-2000)	177
4.4	The Median of Output Growth, World, Regional and Country-Specific Factor for Korea (1970-2000)	178
4.5	The Median of Output Growth, World, Regional and Country-Specific Factor for Malaysia (1970-2000)	181
4.6	The Median of Output Growth, World, Regional and Country-Specific Factor for The Philippines (1970-2000)	185



4.7	The Median of Output Growth, World, Regional and Country-Specific Factor for Singapore (1970-2000)	187
4.8	The Median of Output Growth, World, Regional and Country-Specific Factor for Thailand (1970-2000)	190
4.9	Summary of Dynamic Relationships between Trade, Finance, Specialisation and Business Cycle Synchronisation	213
A.1	The Ricardian Model of International Trade	254
A.2	Capital Market Equilibrium (Open Goods but Closed Capital Markets)	260
A.3	Capital Market Equilibrium (Open Goods and Capital Markets)	262
A.4	HP-Filtered Real GDP for China	263
A.5	BP-Filtered Real GDP for China	263
A.6	HP-Filtered Real GDP for Indonesia	263
A.7	BP-Filtered Real GDP for Indonesia	263
A.8	HP-Filtered Real GDP for Japan	264
A.9	BP-Filtered Real GDP for Japan	264
A.10	HP-Filtered Real GDP for Korea	264
A.11	BP-Filtered Real GDP for Korea	264
A.12	HP-Filtered Real GDP for Malaysia	265
A.13	BP-Filtered Real GDP for Malaysia	265
A.14	HP-Filtered Real GDP for the Philippines	265
A.15	BP-Filtered Real GDP for Philippines	265
A.16	HP-Filtered Real GDP for Singapore	266
A.17	BP-Filtered Real GDP for Singapore	266
A.18	HP-Filtered Real GDP for Thailand	266
A.19	BP-Filtered Real GDP for Thailand	266
A.20	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^l between China and East Asian Countries	267



A.21	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^l between Indonesia and East Asian Countries	267
A.22	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^l between Japan and East Asian Countries	268
A.23	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^l between Korea and East Asian Countries	268
A.24	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^{l} between Malaysia and East Asian Countries	269
A.25	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^l between the Philippines and East Asian Countries	269
A.26	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^l between Singapore and East Asian Countries	270
A.27	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^l between Thailand and East Asian Countries	270
A.28	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^2 between China and East Asian Countries	271
A.29	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^2 between Indonesia and East Asian Countries	271
A.30	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^2 between Japan and East Asian Countries	272
A.31	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^2 between Korea and East Asian Countries	272
A.32	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^2 between Malaysia and East Asian Countries	273
A.33	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^2 between the Philippines and East Asian Countries	273
A.34	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^2 between Singapore and East Asian Countries	274
A.35	Bilateral Trade Intensity T^2 between Thailand and East Asian Countries	274
A.36	Similarity of Production Structures and Specialisation (S) between China and East Asian Countries	275
A.37	Similarity of Production Structures and Specialisation (S) between Indonesia and East Asian Countries	275
A.38	Similarity of Production Structures and Specialisation (S) between Japan and East Asian Countries	276
A.39	Similarity of Production Structures and Specialisation (S) between Korea and East Asian Countries	276
A.40	Similarity of Production Structures and Specialisation (S) between Malaysia and East Asian Countries	277
A.41	Similarity of Production Structures and Specialisation (<i>S</i>) between the Philippines and East Asian Countries	277



A.42	Similarity of Production Structures and Specialisation (S) between Singapore and East Asian Countries	278
A.43	Similarity of Production Structures and Specialisation (S) between Thailand and East Asian Countries	278
A.44	Financial Integration Index (F) between China and Indonesia	279
A.45	Financial Integration Index (F) between China and Japan	279
A.46	Financial Integration Index (F) between China and Korea	279
A.47	Financial Integration Index (F) between China and Malaysia	279
A.48	Financial Integration Index (F) between China and the Philippines	280
A.49	Financial Integration Index (F) between China and Singapore	280
A.50	Financial Integration Index (F) between China and Thailand	280
A.51	Financial Integration Index (F) between Indonesia and Japan	280
A.52	Financial Integration Index (F) between Indonesia and Korea	281
A.53	Financial Integration Index (F) between Indonesia and Malaysia	281
A.54	Financial Integration Index (F) between Indonesia and the Philippines	281
A.55	Financial Integration Index (F) between Indonesia and Singapore	281
A.56	Financial Integration Index (F) between Indonesia and Thailand	282
A.57	Financial Integration Index (F) between Japan and Korea	282
A.58	Financial Integration Index (F) between Japan and Malaysia	282
A.59	Financial Integration Index (F) between Japan and the Philippines	282
A.60	Financial Integration Index (F) between Japan and Singapore	283
A.61	Financial Integration Index (F) between Japan and Thailand	283
A.62	Financial Integration Index (F) between Korea and Malaysia	283
A.63	Financial Integration Index (F) between Korea and the Philippines	283
A.64	Financial Integration Index (F) between Korea and Singapore	284
A.65	Financial Integration Index (F) between Korea and Thailand	284



A.66	Financial Integration Index (F) between Malaysia and the Philippines	284
A.67	Financial Integration Index (F) between Malaysia and Singapore	284
A.68	Financial Integration Index (F) between Malaysia and Thailand	285
A.69	Financial Integration Index (F) between the Philippines and Singapore	285
A.70	Financial Integration Index (F) between the Philippines and Thailand	285
A.71	Financial Integration Index (F) between the Singapore and Thailand	285



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	251
Appendix B	252
Appendix C	263
Appendix D	267
Appendix E	271
Appendix F	275
Appendix G	279
Appendix H	286
Appendix I	293



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/GLOSSARY OF TERMS

ABF Asian Bond Fund

ABF2 Asian Bond Fund 2

ABMI Asian Bond Markets Initiative

ACU Asian Currency Unit

AD Aggregate Demand

ADB Asian Development Bank

AFTA ASEAN Free Trade Area

ALADI Latin American Integration Association

AMF Asian Monetary Fund

APEC Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation

APT ASEAN Plus Three (10 members of ASEAN plus China, Japan and Korea)

AR Autoregressive

ARCH Autoregressive Conditionally Heteroscedastic

AREAER Annual Report on Exchange Arrangements and Exchange Restrictions

ARMA Autoregressive Moving-Average

AS Aggregate Supply

ASA ASEAN Swap Arrangement

ASEAN Association of Southeast Asian Nations

ASEAN5 Founding members of ASEAN (Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore

and Thailand)

BACC Bayesian Analysis, Computation and Communication

BP Band-Pass



BSA Bilateral Swap Arrangements

BUGS Bayesian Inference Using Gibbs Sampling

CACM Central American Common Market

CD Convergence Diagnostic

CEAO Communaute Economique de l'Afrique Occidentale

CID Covered Interest Differential

CMI Chiang Mai Initiative

COMESA Common Market of Eastern and Southern Africa

CPI Consumer Price Index

CUSFTA Canadian-US Free Trade Area

EAEC East Asian Economic Caucus

EAEG East Asian Economic Group

EAS East Asian Summit

EC European Commission

EEC European Economic Community

EFTA European Free Trade Area

EMEAP Executives' Meeting of East-Asia-Pacific Central Banks

EMS European Monetary System

EMU The European Economic and Monetary Union

ERM Exchange Rate Mechanism

ERPD Economic Review and Policy Dialogue

EU European Union

FDI Foreign Direct Investment

