Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci. 17(3): 185-190 (1994)

# Heritability and Response to Recurrent Selection in Two Sweet Corn Varieties

GHIZAN SALEH, MOHD. RAFII YUSOP<sup>1</sup> and YAP THOO CHAI Department of Agronomy and Horticulture Universiti Pertanian Malaysia 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

> <sup>1</sup>Palm Oil Research Institute of Malaysia (PORIM) Research Station, 86000 Kluang, Johor, Malaysia

Keywords: Zea mays (L.), heritability, selection response, simple recurrent selection, reciprocal recurrent selection

# ABSTRAK

Kajian pemilihan berulang mudah dan pemilihan berulang salingan penuh-sib di dalam pusingan pertama telah dijalankan ke atas dua varieti jagung manis, Manis Madu dan Bakti-1, di Universiti Pertanian Malaysia. Objektif kajian ini ialah untuk membandingkan kesan kedua-dua prosedur pemilihan tersebut, dan menganggarkan kebolehwarisan untuk ciri-ciri penting dalam kedua-dua populasi. Kewujudan varian genetik yang lebih tinggi di dalam populasi Bakti-1  $S_1$  (B1 $S_1$ ) berbanding dengan populasi Manis Madu  $S_1$  (MMS<sub>1</sub>) menunjukkan bahawa B1 $S_1$  mengandungi variabiliti genetik yang lebih tinggi dari MMS<sub>1</sub>. Anggaran kebolehwarisan-luas untuk ciri-ciri yang dikaji adalah sederhana hingga tinggi (42.6% hingga 65.7%) dalam populasi progeni penyendirian, tetapi rendah hingga sederhana (20.0% hingga 49.2%) dalam populasi progeni kacukan. Selepas satu pusingan pemilihan, pemilihan berulang mudah didapati lebih berkesan di dalam meningkatkan hasil dalam kedua-dua populasi. Pemilihan berulang mudah telah meningkatkan hasil tongkol segar dalam Bakti-1 dan Manis Madu, masing-masing sebanyak 16.7% dan 10.2%, manakala pemilihan berulang salingan penuh-sib telah meningkatkannya dalam Bakti-1 sebanyak 5.9%, tetapi mengurangkannya dalam Manis Madu sebanyak 6.4%.

### ABSTRACT

One cycle of simple and full-sib reciprocal recurrent selection programme was conducted on two sweet corn varieties, Manis Madu and Bakti-1, at Universiti Pertanian Malaysia. The objectives of the study were to compare the response to the two selection procedures, and to estimate heritability of some important characters in the two populations. The presence of higher genetic variance in Bakti-1  $S_1$  population (B1S<sub>1</sub>) compared to that of Manis Madu (MMS<sub>1</sub>) showed that B1S<sub>1</sub> possessed higher genetic variability than MMS<sub>1</sub>. Broad-sense heritability estimates for the characters studied were moderate to high (42.6% to 65.7%) in the selfed progeny populations, but were low to moderate (20.0% to 49.2%) in the crossed progeny populations. After one cycle of selection, simple recurrent selection was found to be more effective in increasing yield in both populations. Simple recurrent selection increased fresh ear yield in Bakti-1 and Manis Madu by 16.7% and 10.2% respectively, while full-sib reciprocal recurrent selection increased it in Bakti-1 by 5.9%, but decreased it in Manis Madu by 6.4%.

#### INTRODUCTION

In Malaysia, growing of corn (*Zea mays* (L.)) for human consumption of the fresh ears started with the use of field corn varieties, Local Flint and Metro. The beginning of the utilization of sweet corn varieties in the country was marked by the introduction of the variety Chinta in the sixties. Subsequently, many varieties were introduced, developed and selected for local utilization, among which were Bakti-1, Manis Madu and Mas Madu. The country's average yield production, however, has been low i.e. less than 5 metric tons of fresh ears per ha. (Abdul Rahman *et al.* 1987). Research efforts are continously carried out to develop high yielding varieties, with acceptable eating quality and suitable to the local conditions.

Selection based on S<sub>1</sub> progeny performance in simple recurrent selection was found effective in increasing the frequency of favourable genes in corn populations by Comstock (1964) and Wright (1980). The full-sib reciprocal recurrent selection was found effective in population improvement involving crosses between two diverse populations (Hallauer 1967). West et al. (1980) found that after two cycles, selection based on S<sub>1</sub> progeny performance was more effective than that based on full-sib progeny performance in increasing yield of selfed populations; but both methods gave the same effects in populations developed from crosses between the improved populations. In another study, after five cycles, selection based on the S<sub>1</sub> progeny performance was found to be more effective in increasing yield in crossed populations between the improved populations (Odhiambo and Compton 1989).

This study was conducted to compare the response to one cycle of simple recurrent selection ( $S_1$  progeny) and full-sib reciprocal recurrent selection in improving the base population of each of the two sweet corn varieties, Manis Madu and Bakti-1, and to determine the genetic variation and heritability of some important traits in the populations.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the Faculty of Agriculture Research Farm, Universiti Pertanian Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor. The source populations were two open-pollinated local sweet corn varieties, Bakti-1 and Manis Madu.

One cycle of simple recurrent selection based on the  $S_1$  progeny performance and reciprocal recurrent selection based on the full-sib progenies was performed on the two source populations, involving four phases of planting: selfing and fullsib crossing in the first phase; evaluation of  $S_1$ and full-sib progenies in the second; intermating among the selected  $S_1$  families based on the  $S_1$  or full-sib progeny performance in the third; and evaluation of the improved populations in the fourth phase. In the first phase about 200 selfed and 200 full-sib families were formed from each population. After the second phase, 20% of the  $S_1$  families in each evaluation (the male  $S_1$  families in case of full-sib evaluation) were selected based on their superiority in fresh largest ear weight per plant, for intermating in the third phase. At the end of the first cycle, four improved populations were formed, i.e. two from simple recurrent selection: B1SC<sub>1</sub> from Bakti-1 and MMSC<sub>1</sub> from Manis Madu, and two from the fullsib reciprocal recurrent selection: B1RFSC, from Bakti-1 and MMRFSC<sub>1</sub> from Manis Madu. Evaluations of the improved populations were conducted in comparison with the respective source populations Bakti-1 (B1C<sub>0</sub>) and Manis Madu  $(MMC_0)$  to determine the improvement in performance of each population per se. The randomised complete block design was used in all evaluations. The  $S_1$  and the full-sib progeny evaluations in the second phase were conducted separately, each in two replications at one environment, Field 2. Each progeny family was planted in three-metre-long, one-row plots, with a planting density of 0.75 m x 0.25 m. Six plants were sampled randomly from each plot for the measurements. The improved population evaluations in the fourth phase were conducted in four replications each at two environments, Field 2 and Field 10B. Each plot comprised eight four-meterlong rows of plants, with the same planting density as in the progeny evaluations. Plants in the middle portion of the inner six rows in each plot were used for data collection.

Broad-sense heritability was estimated using the variance components in the analysis of variance table in the selfed and full-sib progeny evaluations following the equation:

$$h_B^2(\%) = \frac{\dot{o}_F^2}{(\dot{o}_e^2 + r\dot{o}_F^2)/r} \times 100;$$

where  $h_B^2$ ,  $\dot{\sigma}_F^2$ ,  $\dot{\sigma}_e^2$  and r are broad-sense heritability, variance among families, error variance and number of replications, respectively.

Response to selection was calculated using the following equation:

Selection response (%) = 
$$\frac{C_1 - C_0}{C_0} \times 100;$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_0$  are means for the respective improved and source populations, respectively.

#### HERITABILITY AND RESPONSES TO RECURRENT SELECTION IN TWO SWEET CORN VARIETIES

| populations  |  |                              |   |                                     |   |                |  |
|--|--|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|--|
| Character  | Population                               | Mean s                       | Mean squares                                  |                                     | Variance                                |                |  |
|  |  | Family                       | Error   | ${\dot{\mathbf{o}}_{\mathrm{g}}}^2$ | б́р <sup>2</sup>                        |                |  |
| Fresh largest-ear<br>weight per plant (g)          | $\mathbf{B1S}_{1}$<br>$\mathbf{MMS}_{1}$ | 2641.2**<br>na               | 1376.7<br>na                                  | 632.3<br>na                         | 1320.6<br>na                            | 47.9<br>na     |  |
| Fresh dehusked largest-ear<br>weight per plant (g) | $\mathbf{B1S}_{1}$<br>MMS $_{1}$         | na<br>1244.8**               | na<br>553.9                                   | na<br>345.4                         | na<br>622.4                             | na<br>55.5     |  |
| Days to tasselling (days)                          | $\mathbf{B1S}_{1}$<br>MMS $_{1}$         | $6.1^{**}$<br>$6.1^{**}$     | 2.2<br>2.7                                    | $1.9 \\ 1.7$                        | $\begin{array}{c} 3.1\\ 3.0\end{array}$ | $63.3 \\ 55.3$ |  |
| Ear diameter (mm)                                  | $\mathbf{B1S}_{1}$<br>MMS $_{1}$         | $14.0^{**} \\ 15.5^{**}$     | 5.6 $6.4$                                     | 4.2<br>4.6                          | 7.0<br>7.7                              |                |  |
| Ear length (cm)                                    | $\mathbf{B1S}_{1}$<br>$\mathbf{MMS}_{1}$ | $4.2^{**}$<br>$7.3^{**}$     | 2.2<br>2.8                                    | 1.1<br>2.2                          | 2.1<br>3.6                              | 48.3<br>61.6   |  |
| Plant height (cm)                                  | $\frac{\mathbf{B1S}_1}{\mathbf{MMS}_1}$  | 468.1**<br>na                | 268.6<br>na                                   | 99.8<br>na                          | 226.0<br>na                             | 65.7<br>na     |  |
| Ear height (cm)                                    | $B1S_1$<br>MMS_1                         | $240.6^{**}$<br>$261.5^{**}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 122.1 \\ 100.3 \end{array}$ | 59.3<br>80.6                        | $120.3 \\ 130.8$                        | $49.3 \\ 61.7$ |  |

TABLE 1

Genotypic and phenotypic variances, and heritability  $(h_B^2)$  for characters measured in B1S<sub>1</sub> and MMS<sub>1</sub>

 $\delta_{g}^{2}$ ,  $\delta_{p}^{2}$ ,  $h_{B}^{2}$  = genotypic variance, phenotypic variance, and broad-sense heritability, respectively.

\*\* Significant at p < 0.01.

na =Data not available.

## TABLE 2

Genotypic and phenotypic variances, and heritability  $(h_B^2)$  for characters measured in MMB1 and B1MM populations

| Character  | Population   | Mean                               | Mean squares                                 |   | ance                       | $h_{B}^{2}(\%)$                            |
|--|--------------|------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|--|
|  |              | Family                             | Error  | ${\dot{0}_{\mathrm{g}}}^2$                | ${\mathbf{\acute{O}_p}^2}$ |  |
| Fresh largest-ear<br>weight per plant (g)          | MMB1<br>B1MM | $2379.2^{*}$<br>$4281.2^{**}$      | $1654.3 \\ 2567.8$                           | $362.4 \\ 856.7$                          | $1189.6 \\ 2140.6$         | $\begin{array}{c} 30.5\\ 40.0\end{array}$  |
| Fresh dehusked largest-ear<br>weight per plant (g) | MMB1<br>B1MM | $1214.6^{*}$<br>$1953.2^{*}$       | $\begin{array}{c} 866.8\\ 1413.0\end{array}$ | 173.9<br>270.1                            | 607.3<br>976.6             | 28.6<br>27.7                               |
| Days to tasselling (days)                          | MMB1<br>B1MM | $4.7^{**}$<br>10.2 <sup>**</sup>   | $\begin{array}{c} 2.4 \\ 6.6 \end{array}$    | 1.2<br>1.8                                | 2.4 5.1                    | $49.2 \\ 35.4$                             |
| Ear diameter(mm)                                   | MMB1<br>B1MM | $34.7^{**}$<br>$14.2^{**}$         | 48.4<br>8.8                                  | 6.9<br>2.7                                | · 24.2<br>7.1              | $\begin{array}{c} 28.4\\ 38.2 \end{array}$ |
| Ear length (cm)                                    | MMB1<br>B1MM | $4.9^{**}$<br>$7.9^{**}$           | 2.6<br>4.2                                   | $\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$ | $2.5 \\ 4.0$               | $47.7 \\ 47.1$                             |
| Plant height (cm)                                  | MMB1<br>B1MM | $453.8^{ m ns}$<br>$709.4^{ m **}$ | $363.3 \\ 357.1$                             | 45.3<br>176.2                             | $226.9 \\ 354.7$           | $\begin{array}{c} 20.0\\ 49.7\end{array}$  |
| Ear height (cm)                                    | MMB1<br>B1MM | $211.5^{**}$<br>$318.8^{**}$       | $120.7 \\ 175.9$                             | 45.4<br>71.5                              | $105.7 \\ 159.4$           | 42.9<br>44.8                               |

 $\delta_{g}^{2}, \delta_{p}^{2}, \mathbf{h}_{B}^{2}$  = genotypic variance, phenotypic variance, and broad-sense heritability, respectively.

\*\*; \*; ": "= Significant at p < 0.01; significant at p < 0.05; and non-significant, respectively.

#### GHIZAN SALEH, MOHD RAFII YUSOP AND YAP THOO CHAI

#### RESULTS

#### Heritability

A summary of the results of the analyses of variance and estimates of broad-sense heritability from the  $S_1$  and full-sib progeny evaluations is shown in Tables 1 and 2. Broad-sense heritability values estimated from the B1S<sub>1</sub> progeny population were high for days to tasselling (63.3%) and ear diameter (60.1%); moderate for ear height (49.3%), ear length (48.3%) and fresh largest ear weight per plant (47.9%). In MMS<sub>1</sub> progeny population, estimates of broad-sense heritability were high for plant height (65.7%), ear height (61.7%), ear length (61.6%), ear diameter (58.9%), fresh dehusked largest ear weight per plant (55.5%) and days to tasselling (55.3%) (Table 1).

Broad-sense heritability estimates in the MMB1 progeny population were moderate for days to tasselling (49.2%), ear length (47.7%), ear height (42.9%), fresh largest ear weight per plant (30.5%) and fresh dehusked largest ear weight per plant (28.6%). Heritability for plant height was, however, low (20.0%). In the B1MM progeny population, all traits showed moderate broadsense heritability estimates, ranging from 27.7% to 49.7% (Table 2).

### Response to Selection

Selection responses on fresh ear yield, fresh dehusked ear yield and number of ears per hectare are shown in Table 3.

| IADLE 3 | TABI | E | 3 |
|---------|------|---|---|
|---------|------|---|---|

Response to one cycle of simple and full-sib reciprocal recurrent selection on populations B1C<sub>0</sub> and MMC<sub>0</sub>, for yield characters

| Population   | At Field 2                   |                 | At Field 10B                 |                 | Average<br>Response (%)                    |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
|  | Mean                         | Response<br>(%) | Mean                         | Response<br>(%) |  |
| Fresh ear yield (ton/ha)   |                              |                 |                              |                 |  |
| $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{B1C}_0^{\ \#}\\ \mathbf{B1SC}_1\\ \mathbf{B1RFSC}_1 \end{array}$ | 6.11c<br>8.21a<br>7.63ab     | 34.2<br>24.8    | 6.60a<br>6.55a<br>5.74a      | -0.8<br>-13.1   | 16.7 $5.9$                                 |
| $\mathbf{MMC}_{0}^{#}$<br>$\mathbf{MMSC}_{1}$<br>$\mathbf{MMRFSC}_{1}$                     | 7.12abc<br>7.35abc<br>6.61bc | 3.2<br>-7.2     | 5.59a<br>6.56a<br>5.29a      | 17.2<br>-5.5    | 10.2<br>-6.4                               |
| Mean   | 7.17                         |                 | 6.05                         |                 |  |
| Fresh dehusked ear yield   | l (ton/ha)                   |                 |                              |                 |  |
| $B1C_0^{\#}$<br>$B1SC_1$<br>$B1RFSC_1$   | 4.12c<br>5.76a<br>5.25ab     | 39.8<br>27.6    | 4.55a<br>4.69a<br>3.96a      | 3.2<br>-12.9    | 21.5<br>7.4                                |
| $MMC_0^{\#}$<br>$MMSC_1$<br>$MMRFSC_1$   | 5.17abc<br>5.07abc<br>4.55bc | -2.0<br>-12.1   | 3.97a<br>4.56a<br>3.87a      | 14.6<br>-2.7    | 6.3<br>-7.4                                |
| Mean   | 4.99                         |                 | 4.27                         |                 |  |
| Number of ears/ha  |                              |                 |                              |                 |  |
| $B1C_0^{\#}$<br>$B1SC_1$<br>$B1RFSC_1$   | 34223b<br>42667a<br>41556a   | 24.7<br>21.4    | 40148ab<br>41926ab<br>33778b |                 | $\begin{array}{c} 14.6 \\ 2.8 \end{array}$ |
| $MMC_0^{\#}$<br>$MMSC_1$<br>$MMRFSC_1$   | 40222a<br>39889a<br>39445a   | -0.8<br>-1.9    | 36741ab<br>43408a<br>36000ab | 18.1            | 8.7<br>-2.0                                |
| Mean   | 39667                        |                 | 38667                        |                 |  |

<sup>#</sup> source population

Means followed by the same letter in the same column of each character are not significantly different at p < 0.05, following DNMRT.

# Fresh Ear Yield

Evaluation at Field 2 showed that both populations  $B1SC_1$  and  $B1RFSC_1$  had fresh ear yield significantly higher than that of the source population,  $B1C_0$ , with increases of 34.2% and 24.8%, respectively. Evaluation at Field 10B, however, showed that both populations had lower yields than the source population, with decreases of 0.8% and 13.1%, respectively. The mean of both locations for fresh ear yield indicated that there were increases of 16.7% and 5.9% in B1SC and B1RFSC<sub>1</sub>, respectively, over the source population B1C<sub>0</sub>.

For Manis Madu, results at Field 2 showed that population  $\text{MMSC}_1$  had a fresh ear yield 3.2% higher than the source population  $\text{MMC}_0$ , but population  $\text{MMRFSC}_1$  had a fresh ear yield 7.2% lower than the source population. At Field 10B, fresh ear yield of  $\text{MMSC}_1$  was 17.2% higher, but fresh ear yield of  $\text{MMRFSC}_1$  was 5.5% lower than that of  $\text{MMC}_0$ . The means of both locations for  $\text{MMSC}_1$  and  $\text{MMRFSC}_1$  increased by 10.2% and decreased by 6.4% respectively, compared with the yields of the source populations.

### Fresh Dehusked Ear Yield

For the Bakti-1 population, evaluation at Field 2 showed that both  $B1SC_1$  and  $B1RFSC_1$  had higher yields than the source population,  $B1C_0$ , with 39.8% and 27.6% increases, respectively. At Field 10B, however, fresh dehusked ear yield of  $B1SC_1$ was 3.2% higher than that of the source population, but the yield of  $B1RFSC_1$  was 12.9% lower than that of the source population. The means of the yield increments were 21.5% in  $B1SC_1$  and 7.4% in  $B1RFSC_1$ .

For selection on Manis Madu, from evaluation at Field 2, it was found that both  $MMSC_1$ and  $MMRFSC_1$  had a fresh dehusked ear yield lower than that of the source population,  $MMC_0$ , with decreases of 2.0% and 12.1%, respectively. At Field 10B, fresh dehusked ear yield was 14.6% higher in  $MMSC_1$ , but was 2.7% lower in  $MMRFSC_1$ , compared to the yield of the source population. The means were 6.3% higher in  $MMSC_1$  but 7.4% lower in  $MMRFSC_1$ .

### Number of Ears per Hectare

For selection on Bakti-1, evaluation at Field 2 showed that both  $B1SC_1$  and  $B1RFSC_1$  had a higher number of ears per hectare than the source population,  $B1C_0$ , with increases of 24.7% and

21.4%, respectively. At Field 10B, the number of ears per hectare was 4.4% higher in  $B1SC_1$ , but was 15.9% lower in  $B1RFSC_1$ , compared to that of the source population. The mean values, however, showed increases of 4.6% and 2.8%, respectively for  $B1SC_1$  and  $B1RFSC_1$ .

For selection on Manis Madu at Field 2 the number of ears per hectare in both the improved populations,  $MMSC_1$  and  $MMRFSC_1$  were reduced by 0.8% and 1.9%, respectively, when compared to that of the source population,  $MMC_0$ . At Field 10B, however, the number of ears per hectare was 18.1% higher in  $MMSC_1$ , but was 2.0% lower in  $MMRFSC_1$ , compared to that of the source population. The mean values were 8.7% higher in  $MMSC_1$ , but 2.0% lower in  $MMRFSC_1$ , when compared to the source population.

## DISCUSSION

The moderate to high heritability estimates obtained from the B1S<sub>1</sub> and MMS<sub>1</sub> progeny evaluations indicated the presence of a substantial amount of genetic variability in the populations; and selection carried out on the populations should be able to isolate favourable genes to be recombined in the new genetic background. Similar estimates of heritability were also obtained in similar studies on other corn populations (Obilana and Hallauer 1974; Silva 1974; Bartual and Hallauer 1976). The generally higher heritability estimates obtained in the B1S<sub>1</sub> population compared to those of the MMS<sub>1</sub> population, might have led to the generally higher response to the simple recurrent selection revealed by the Bakti-1 as compared to Manis Madu.

In this study, comparisons of selection response were only made between the improved populations and their respective source populations, and not between the crosses of the improved populations and the respective source populations. This was because the main intention was to observe the direct effect of the selection, and to make inferences on the superiority of one selection method over the other in accumulating additive genes in the populations.

The significant increase in fresh ear yield in  $B1SC_1$  and  $B1RFSC_1$  also indirectly increased fresh dehusked ear yield and the number of ears per hectare, indicating the presence of positive correlations among these characters. From the selection response, simple recurrent selection based on fresh largest ear weight per plant was

#### GHIZAN SALEH, MOHD RAFII YUSOP AND YAP THOO CHAI

found to be more effective in increasing population yield than in the full-sib reciprocal recurrent selection, and the response was higher on Bakti-1 than in Manis Madu, although differences were significant only in one environment (Field 2). Previous researchers have also reported a higher response of selection based on S<sub>1</sub> progeny evaluation in bulked inbred or random-mated populations compared to full-sib reciprocal recurrent selection to increase yield in corn. (West et al. 1980; Odhiambo and Compton 1989). Genter (1971), Jinahyon and Moore (1973) and Hable (1985) also reported that simple recurrent selection showed significant effects in improving genetic composition of the traits used as the criteria of selection.

The Bakti-1 population showed a higher response to both selection procedures compared to Manis Madu in improving fresh ear yield, fresh dehusked ear yield and number of ears per hectare.

Further selection using both procedures in the succeeding cycles should produce higher responses in the population improvement.

#### REFERENCES

- ABDUL RAHMAN, H., K.C. MOOI and W.Z. RUSHIDAH. 1987. Sweet corn production in Malaysia. In: *Proc. Nat. Maize Workshop*, eds P. Mansor and L.J. Wong 21-23 July 1987, Penang, Malaysia. pp.105-113.
- BARTUAL, R. and A.R. HALLAUER. 1976. Variability among unselected maize inbred lines developed by full-sibbing. *Maydica* **21**:49-60.
- COMSTOCK, R.E. 1964. Selection procedures in corn improvement. In: Proc. 19 th. Annu. Hybrid Corn Ind. Res. Conf. Amer.Seed Trade Assoc., Washington D.C. pp. 87-95.

- GENTER, C.F. 1971. Yield distribution of S<sub>1</sub> lines from original and advanced synthetic varieties of maize. *Crop Sci.* 11:821-824.
- HABLE, B.J. 1985. Changes in physiological traits associated with five cycles of S<sub>1</sub> recurrent selection in two maize populations. *Plant Breed. Abstr.* 59:1986.
- HALLAUER, A.R. 1967. Development of single cross hybrids from two-eared maize populations. *Crop Sci.* 7:192-195.
- JINAHYON, S. and C.L. MOORE. 1973. Recurrent selection techniques for maize improvement in Thailand. *Agron. Abstr.* **7**:220.
- OBILANA, A.T. and A.R. HALLAUER. 1974. Estimation of variability of quantitative traits in BSSS by using unselected maize inbred lines. *Crop Sci.* 14:99-103.
- Орнамво, M.O. and W.A. Сомртол. 1989. Five cycles of replicated S<sub>1</sub> vs. reciprocal full-sib index selection in maize. *Crop Sci.* **29:**314-319.
- SILVA, J.C. 1974. Genetic and environment variances and covariances estimated in the maize (Zea mays L.) variety Iowa Stiff Stalk Synthetic. Ph.D. dissertation. Iowa State Univ. Ames, USA.
- WEST, D.R., W.A. COMPTON and M.A. THOMAS. 1980. A comparison of replicated S<sub>1</sub> per sevs. reciprocal full-sib index selection in corn. I: Indirect response to population densities. Crop Sci. 20:35-41.
- WRIGHT, A.R. 1980. The expected efficiencies of halfsib, testcross and S<sub>1</sub> progeny testing methods in single population improvement. *Heredity* 45:361-376.

(Received 30 July 1993)