



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**COMPARISON OF MICROWAVE EXTRACTION TECHNIQUE AND
CONVENTIONAL EXTRACTION TECHNIQUE FOR EXTRACTING
ESSENTIAL OILS FROM LEMONGRASS, TUMERIC AND GINGER**

NOR AZILA BINTI ABD AZIZ

FS 2012 8

**COMPARISON OF MICROWAVE EXTRACTION TECHNIQUE AND
CONVENTIONAL EXTRACTION TECHNIQUE FOR EXTRACTING
ESSENTIAL OILS FROM LEMONGRASS, TUMERIC AND GINGER**



**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

June 2012

DEDICATION

Specially dedicated to:

**My Father,
My Mother,
My Brothers,
My Sisters,
My Friends,
My Lecturers,**

for their encouragement and support.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of
the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**COMPARISON OF MICROWAVE EXTRACTION TECHNIQUE AND
CONVENTIONAL EXTRACTION TECHNIQUE FOR EXTRACTING
ESSENTIAL OILS FROM LEMONGRASS, TUMERIC AND GINGER**

By

NOR AZILA ABD AZIZ

June 2012

Chairman : Assoc. Prof. Jumiah Hassan, PhD

Faculty : Science

The purpose of this research is to compare the performance between two techniques of extraction which are Microwave Extraction Technique (MET) and Conventional Extraction Technique (CET) in extracting essential oils from Lemongrass, Ginger and Turmeric by using wet distillation (WD), dry distillation (DD) and hydro distillation (HD) methods in term of efficiency, rapidity, the yield of oil and the quality or composition of oil. The important parameters in the extraction process such as time, temperature and microwave power output were controlled to obtain high yield essential oils. The MET is performed at 450 W of irradiation power and temperature about 100°C for 1 hour using WD and DD. The CET is performed using HD at 450 W of extraction power and temperature 100°C for 4 hours. The absorbed power of microwave irradiation for WD and DD are also estimated to determine the method with higher value of absorbed power. A higher absorbed power means it is more efficient. The MET provides a rapid extraction 4 times faster compared to CET. After 1 hour of extraction using

MET, the total yield of essential oils is about the same with 4 hours extraction using CET. During WD, it requires only 8 to 12 minutes to achieve the boiling point of water ($100.00 \pm 1.00^\circ\text{C}$) whereas DD requires 8 to 15 minutes. However, HD requires 15 to 17 minutes to achieve the boiling point of water. From the $300.000 \pm 0.001\text{g}$ actual weight of Lemongrass, the highest yield of essential oils per second is using the DD method which gives $10.4 \times 10^{-5}\%$ compared to WD with $8.97 \times 10^{-5}\%$ and DD with $2.09 \times 10^{-5}\%$. It is different with Ginger and Turmeric, the WD method gives them the highest yield of essential oil per second. For Ginger, the total yield of essential oil per second using WD is $3.85 \times 10^{-5}\%$, higher than DD ($1.06 \times 10^{-5}\%$) and HD ($0.96 \times 10^{-5}\%$). For Turmeric, the total yield per second using WD is $19.79 \times 10^{-5}\%$, which is very high compared to DD with $4.17 \times 10^{-5}\%$ and HD with $3.65 \times 10^{-5}\%$. The absorbed power of fresh sample mixture, $(PA/Vol)_{mfs}$ during DD is estimated to be higher than WD and this implies that DD is the more efficient method for the heating process. Another parameter is number of days to soak the samples. Generally, the longer time taken to soak the sample will produce more yields but this depends on the type of sample. Lemongrass and Turmeric gave the highest yield (0.49% and 0.69%) after 3 days of soaking. However it is vice versa for ginger. Ginger gives the highest yield of essential oils (0.14%) without soaking. The chemical component of the samples was identified using GC-MS. From the results, it was found that MET produced good quality of essential oils compared to CET where the oxygenated compound dominated in all the samples used. From the three samples used, Lemongrass is of better quality of essential oil followed by Turmeric and Ginger with oxygenated fraction of 94.73%, 90.56% and 46.69%.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi syarat keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**PERBANDINGAN PENGEKSTRAKAN TEKNIK MIKROGELOMBANG DAN
TEKNIK PENGEKSTRAKAN KONVENTSIONAL UNTUK MENGEKSTRAK
MINYAK PATI DARI SERAI, KUNYIT DAN HALIA**

Oleh

NOR AZILA BINTI ABD AZIZ

Jun 2012

Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Jumiah Hassan, PhD

Fakulti : Sains

Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk membandingkan prestasi antara dua teknik pengekstrakan iaitu Teknik Pengekstrakan Mikro Gelombang (MET) dan Teknik Pengekstrakan Biasa (CET) dalam mengekstrak minyak pati daripada Serai, Halia dan Kunyit menggunakan kaedah penyulingan basah (WD), penyulingan kering (DD) dan penyulingan hidro (HD) dari segi kecekapan, kepantasan, penghasilan minyak dan kualitinya atau kandungan minyak. Parameter-parameter penting dalam proses pengekstrakan seperti masa, suhu dan kuasa output gelombang mikro dikawal untuk menghasilkan minyak pati pada kuantiti yang tinggi. MET beroperasi pada kuasa sinaran 450 W dan suhu sekitar 100°C selama 1 jam menggunakan WD dan DD. CET menggunakan kaedah HD beroperasi pada kuasa sinaran 450 W dan suhu 100°C selama 4 jam. Kuasa penyerapan sinaran gelombang mikro semasa WD dan DD dikira untuk menentukan kaedah yang memberikan nilai kuasa serapan tertinggi. Kuasa penyerapan yang lebih tinggi bermakna ia lebih cekap. MET menyediakan pengekstrakan yang pantas iaitu 4 kali lebih cepat berbanding CET. Selepas 1 jam pengekstrakan

menggunakan MET, jumlah hasil minyak pati adalah hampir sama dengan 4 jam pengekstrakan menggunakan CET. Semasa WD, ia memerlukan hanya 8 hingga 12 minit mencapai takat didih air ($100.00 \pm 1.00^{\circ}\text{C}$) manakala DD memerlukan 8 hingga 15 minit. Walau bagaimanapun, HD memerlukan 15 hingga 17 minit untuk mencapai takat didih air. Dari berat $300.000 \pm 0.001\text{g}$ sebenar Serai, ia memberikan hasil minyak pati dalam sesaat yang tinggi menggunakan kaedah DD iaitu sebanyak $10.4 \times 10^{-5}\%$ berbanding WD dengan $8.97 \times 10^{-5}\%$ dan DD dengan $2.09 \times 10^{-5}\%$. Berbeza dengan Halia dan Kunyit, kaedah WD memberikan pulangan minyak pati yang tinggi dalam tempoh sesaat masa pengekstrakan. Jumlah hasil minyak pati dalam sesaat untuk Halia menggunakan WD adalah $3.85 \times 10^{-5}\%$, tinggi daripada DD ($1.06 \times 10^{-5}\%$) dan HD ($0.96 \times 10^{-5}\%$). Jumlah hasil dalam sesaat untuk Kunyit menggunakan WD adalah $19.79 \times 10^{-5}\%$, sangat tinggi berbanding dengan DD dengan $4.17 \times 10^{-5}\%$ dan HD dengan $3.65 \times 10^{-5}\%$. Penyerapan kuasa campuran sampel segar, semasa DD dianggarkan lebih tinggi daripada WD dan ini menunjukkan bahawa DD adalah kaedah yang lebih cekap untuk proses pemanasan. Parameter lain ialah masa merendam sampel. Pada amnya, masa rendaman yang panjang akan menghasilkan lebih minyak tetapi ia bergantung kepada jenis sampel. Serai dan Kunyit memberikan hasil yang paling tinggi (0.49% and 0.69%) selepas 3 hari rendaman. Walau bagaimanapun, ia berlainan bagi Halia. Halia memberikan hasil minyak pati yang tinggi (0.14%) tanpa direndam. Komponen kimia sampel telah dikenal pasti menggunakan GC-MS. Daripada keputusan, di dapati bahawa MET menghasilkan kualiti minyak pati yang baik berbanding CET di mana sebatian oksigen didominasi dalam semua jenis sampel yang digunakan. Daripada tiga jenis sampel yang digunakan, Serai menunjukkan kualiti yang lebih baik diikuti oleh

Kunyit dan Halia dan masing-masing mempunyai pecahan sebatian oksigen 94.73%, 90.56% dan 46.69%.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdullilah, thanks to Allah who give me the strength and patience to finish this Masters degree until the end. I would like to dedicate my gratitude to my beloved supervisor and also the chair of the supervisory committee, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jumiah binti Hassan for her patience and guidance throughout the progression of my studies. I would like to thank my co-supervisors, Prof. Dr. Kaida bin Khalid and Dr. Zulkifly bin Abbas for their knowledge, suggestions and support throughout the study. I also like to thank all the lecturers and staff of the Physics Department, UPM especially Encik Roslim, and Puan Raziah and also staff of the Chemistry Department for their help. Special thanks to my colleagues who always be there when I need them. Last but not least, I would like to thank my family especially my beloved parents, Abd Aziz bin Yaacob and Siti Ngajah binti Ngadiman for their prayers and blessings, loves and supports from the beginning and throughout my studies. May Allah reward them all the best of the rewards in the Hereafter. Amin.

I certify that a Thesis Examination has met on **28 JUNE 2012** to conduct the final examination of **NOR AZILA BINTI ABD AZIZ** on her thesis entitled "**A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ESSENTIAL OIL EXTRACTION FROM LEMONGRASS, GINGER AND TURMERIC**" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U. (A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the relevant degree.

Member of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Azmi Zakaria, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mansor Hashim, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Chen Soo Kien, PhD
Faculty of Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Muhammad Yahaya, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
(External Examiner)

PROF. DR. SEOW HENG FONG
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of **Master of Science**. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Jumiah Hassan, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Science

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairmain)

Kaida Khalid, PhD

Professor

Faculty of Science

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

Zulkifly Abbas, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Science

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

NOR AZILA BINTI ABD AZIZ

Date: 28 JUNE 2012



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
APPROVAL	x
DECLARATION	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xix
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Research Benefit and Potential	3
1.3 Essential Oils	3
1.4 Microwave Extraction Technique (MET)	4
1.5 Problem Statement	6
1.6 Limitation	6
1.7 Research Objective	7
1.8 Thesis Outline	8
2 LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORY	
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Literature Review	9
2.3 Microwave	11
2.3.1 Microwave Fundamental	14
2.3.2 Microwaves Generator	15
2.4 Dielectric Material and Electric Polarizability	16
2.5 Microwave Heating Mechanism	19
2.6 Drying Process inside the Microwave Oven Cavity	20
2.7 Absorbed Power	23
2.8 Dielectric Mixture Model	24
2.9 Moisture Content	26
2.10 Density of Sample	28
2.11 Estimation of absorbed power of fresh sample mixture, during DD and WD in MET	29
2.12.1 Input Data	30
2.12.2 Power Output of Microwave Oven	30
2.12.3 Dielectric Properties of Water at Specific	31

Frequency ($0.2 \text{ GHz} < f = 2.45 \text{ GHz} < 20 \text{ GHz}$) and Temperature ($26^\circ\text{C} < T_w = 90^\circ\text{C} < 100^\circ\text{C}$)	
2.12.4 Electric Field Strength inside Microwave Oven Cavity during MET	32
2.12.5 Dielectric Properties of Fresh Sample Mixture	33
2.12.6 Dielectric Properties of Fresh Sample Mixture during DD and WD	33
2.12.7 Electric Field Strength inside the Fresh Samples Mixture during DD and WD in MET	34
2.12.8 Absorbed Power inside the Fresh Samples Mixture during DD and WD in MET	35
3 MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Introduction	37
3.2 Sample Preparation	39
3.3 Sample Characterization	40
3.3.1 Moisture Content of Fresh Sample	40
3.3.2 Wet and Dry Density of Lemongrass, Ginger and Turmeric	42
3.3.3 Dielectric Properties of Water, Fresh and Dry Samples	43
3.4 Essential Oils Extraction	46
3.4.1 Tools for Essential Oils Extraction	
3.4.1.1 Microwave Extraction Laboratory System (MELs)	46
3.4.1.2 Microwave Controller	47
3.4.1.3 Heating Mantle	48
3.4.2 Setup for Essential Oils Extraction	
3.4.2.1 Microwave Extraction Technique (MET)	49
3.4.2.2 Conventional Extraction Technique (CET)	50
3.4.3 Essential Oils Extraction Procedure	
3.4.3.1 Dry-distillation (DD) and Wet-distillation (WD) Methods for MET	51
3.4.3.2 Hydro-distillation (HD) Method for CET	52
3.5 Essential Oils Quality and Quantity Identification	54
3.5.1 Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Standard Identification Method	54
3.6 Power Output of Heating Source by Water Heating Process Measurement	54
3.6.1 Water Heating Process Procedure	
3.6.1.1 Using Microwave Extraction Lab Station System (MELs)	55
3.6.1.2 Using Heating Mantle (HM)	56
3.7 Experimental Errors	56

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Introduction	58
4.2 Determining the Absorbed Power of Fresh Sample Mixture during MET using WD and DD.	
4.2.1 Moisture Content of Fresh Samples	59
4.2.2 Dry and Wet Density of Lemongrass, Ginger and Turmeric	60
4.2.3 Volume Fraction of Water in Fresh Sample Mixture; Volume Fraction of Air during DD and Volume Fraction of Water during WD	62
4.2.4 Dielectric Properties of Water, Fresh and Dry Samples	63
4.2.5 Power Output of Heating Source by Water Heating Process	73
4.2.6 Electric Field Strength Inside and Outside (air) of Water	80
4.2.7 Absorbed Power of Fresh Samples Mixture, Lemongrass, Ginger and Turmeric Essential Oils Extraction	83
4.3 Lemongrass, Ginger and Turmeric Essential Oils Extraction	
4.3.1 Lemongrass, Ginger and Turmeric Essential Oils Preparation	84
4.3.2 Lemongrass, Ginger and Turmeric Essential Oils Quality Analysis	89
5 CONCLUSIONS	92
5.1 Further Research	96
REFERENCES	98
APPENDICES	103
BIODATA OF STUDENT	130
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	131