

## **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER MODEL WITH ENABLING PERFORMANCE FACTORS FOR THE LIBYAN PETROLEUM INDUSTRY

**AL MABRUK S. MOHAMED** 

**ITMA 2010 7** 



# DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER MODEL WITH ENABLING PERFORMANCE FACTORS FOR THE LIBYAN PETROLEUM INDUSTRY

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ 

AL MABRUK S. MOHAMED

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2010



#### **DEDICATION**

S also want to dedicate this thesis especially to my precious wife, my dearest daughter Sude, and my cheering son Mohamed. Without my wife help and encouragement it simply never would have been.

Sinal dedication goes to a friend and mentor memory, my uncle the late colonel

Abdul Salam Sultan, may his soul rest in peace.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER MODEL WITH ENABLING PERFORMANCE FACTORS FOR THE LIBYAN PETROLEUM **INDUSTRY** 

By

AL MABRUK S. MOHAMED

October 2010

Supervisor: Professor Mohd Sapuan b Salit, PhD, PEng.

**Faculty:** 

**Institute of Advanced Technology** 

Technology Transfer (TT) is increasingly being used on petroleum industry. The evaluation of TT significant indicators and their effect on TT performance for Libyan petroleum industry were investigated. The study main objective is to develop a TT model for the Libyan oil industry with performance factors.

This study defined four categories of enabling factors that could have an effect on the TT process and its results for the petroleum industry: TT support, TT infrastructure, industry learning capability, and TT environment. The resultant TT performance and the relationship between enabling and performance factor give the level of TT performance to local petroleum industry through the TT process. Realizing the study objective would require requesting the petroleum industry input through questionnaire surveys in pilot, main studies, and validated by case studies.

For the main study, 300 questionnaire surveys were distributed and 205 were collected, offering a response rate of 68 per cent. Statistical analysis techniques, including, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) were used to examine the survey data. Analysis resulted in a TT model encompassing three TT enabling factors, namely, government support, host characteristics, technology learning capability, and the resultant performance factor named TT performance.

The baseline formulation for standardization of TT measurement in the petroleum industry was undertaken using series of measurements. Case studies from three Libyan companies involved with foreign partners were applied to validate the standardization.

The study provided evidence that the UK is the leading petroleum investor in Libyan petroleum industry. However, at TT performance perspective, German and Italian corporations have the leading edge on TT petroleum projects.

TT model was created to help both researchers and practitioners to understand the TT process in petroleum industry. The model provided an evident design on main variables influenced TT issues. The structural model consisted of four factors and five paths, representing the interrelationships between the four enabling and one outcome factor. Positively, the research results empirically validated that factors referring to technology learning capability, technology characteristics, and technology support could be incorporated to evaluate the TT performance.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebgai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah.

PEMBANGUNAN MODEL PEMINDAHAN TEKNOLOGI DENGAN FAKTOR PRESTASI BAGI INDUSTRI PETROLEUM LIBYA

Oleh

AL MABRUK S. MOHAMED

Oktober 2010

Penyelia: Profesor Mohd Sapuan b Salit, PhD, PEng.

Fakulti: Institut Teknologi Maju

Pemindahan Teknologi (PT) semakin banyak digunakan dalam industri petroleum.

Penilaian petunjuk signifikan PT dan kesannya terhadap prestasi PT untuk industri

petroleum Libya diselidiki. Tujuan kajian utama adalah untuk membangunkan model

PT untuk industri minyak Libya dengan faktor prestasi.

Kajian ini menetapkan empat kategori faktor pendukung yang mempengaruhi proses

PT dan hasilnya untuk industri petroleum: sokongan PT, infrastruktur PT,

kemampuan pembelajaran industri, dan persekitaran PT. Keputusan prestasi PT dan

hubungan antara pembolehan dan faktor prestasi memberikan tingkat prestasi PT

untuk industri minyak tempatan melalui proses PT. Untuk mencapai objektif kajian

memerlukan input industri petroleum melalui rintis borang soal selidik, kajian utama,

dan pengesahan melalui kajian kes.

Untuk kajian utama, 300 tinjauan soalselidik telah diedarkan dan 205 dikumpulkan,

memberikan kadar maklumbalas sebanyak 68 peratus. Teknik analisis statistik,

termasuk, Analisis Faktor Tinjauan (EFA), Analisis Pengesahan Faktor (CFA) dan

Pemodelan Persamaan Struktur (SEM) digunakan untuk mengkaji data kaji selidik. Hasil analisis model PT mengandungi tiga faktor pemboleh, seperti sokongan kerajaan, ciri-ciri hos, dan kebolehan pembelajaran teknologi, manakala hasilan faktor prestasi dinamakan prestasi PT.

Rumusan asas untuk piawaian pengukuran PT dalam industri petroleum dijalankan menggunakan siri-siri pengukuran. Kajian kes daripada tiga syarikat Libya terlibat dengan rakan asing telah dilaksanakan untuk mengesahkan piawaian.

Kajian ini memberikan bukti bahawa UK adalah pelabur petroleum yang terkemuka dalam industri petroleum Libya. Namun, pada perspektif prestasi PT, Jerman dan syarikat Itali merupakan pendahulu kepada projek-projek petroleum PT.

Model PT diciptakan untuk membantu penyelidik dan pengamal untuk memahami proses PT dalam industri petroleum. Model ini memberikan rekabentuk yang nyata pada pembolehubah utama yang mempengaruhi masalah PT. Model struktur terdiri daripada empat faktor dan lima laluan, yang mewakili hubungan timbal balik antara empat pembolehan dan salah satu faktor hasil. Secara positif, hasil kajian membuktikan secara empirik bahawa faktor yang merujuk pada kemampuan teknologi pembelajaran, ciri-ciri teknologi, dan sokongan teknologi boleh digabungkan untuk menilai prestasi PT.



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful, all praise and thanks are due to Allah, and peace and blessings be upon His Messenger. I would like to express the most sincere appreciation to those who made this work possible: supervisory committee and my family.

First of all, I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to the main supervisor, Professor Mohd Sapuan B Salit, Head of the Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University Putra Malaysia. His wide knowledge and his logical way of thinking have been of great value for me. His understanding, encouraging and personal guidance have provided a good basis for the present thesis. In addition to co-authoring and reviewing some of my publications, where his practical experience and technical knowledge made this research and those publications more interesting and relevant.

I am deeply grateful to the supervisory committee members, Professor Megat Mohamad Hamdan B Megat Ahmad, Dean of Faculty of Engineering, National Defence University of Malaysia for his detailed and constructive comments, and for his important support throughout this work. In addition, I wish to express my warm and sincere thanks to Professor Abdel Majid Salem Hamouda, Head of the Department of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering, College of Engineering, Qatar University who introduced me to the field of technology transfer and Dr. B.T. Hang Tuah Bin Baharudin, senior lecturer at Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University Putra Malaysia. Their ideals and concepts have



had a remarkable influence on my entire career in the field of technology transfer modeling.

The questionnaire surveys and case studies for this research were facilitated by numerous employees and respondents in the Libyan petroleum industry. I am extremely grateful for all of them. Without their support and hard work this study would not have been possible.

Thanks and acknowledgements are meaningless if not extended to my parents who deserve my deepest appreciation. I am grateful for the countless sacrifices they made to ensure that I could pursue my dreams and for always being there for me. Real and deepest thanks to them (May ALLAH bless and protect them and may live long and healthy life). All praise and thanks words said to them will not be enough.

I owe my loving thanks to my wife, my daughter Jude and my son Mohamed. They have lost a lot due to my research time. Without their encouragement and understanding it would have been impossible for me to finish this thesis. My special gratitude is due to my brothers, my sisters and their families for their support.

The Libyan government scholarship is gratefully acknowledged.



#### APPROVAL SHEETS

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on **08/10/2010** to conduct the final examination of **Al Mabruk S. Mohamed** on his PhD thesis entitled "**Development of Technology Transfer Model with Performance Factors for Libyan Petroleum Industry**" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (HIGHER Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (High Degree) Regulation 1981. The committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

#### Tang Sai Hong, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### Mohd Khairol Anuar Mohd Ariffin, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Faieza Abdul Aziz, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Mohammed Sarwar Jang Hashmi, PhD

Professor Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Dublin City University (External Examiner)

**BUJANG KIM HUAT. PhD** 

Professor/ Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 08/10/2010



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment on the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the supervisory committee were as follows:

#### Mohd Sapuan b Salit, PhD, PEng.

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### B.T. Hang Tuah bin Baharudin, PhD

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

#### Megat Mohamad Hamdan b Megat Ahmad, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (Member)

#### Abdel Majid Salem Hamouda, PhD

Professor Faculty of Engineering Qatar University (Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



#### **DECLARATION**

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or other institutions.

ALMABRUK S. MOHAMED

Date: 08/10/2010



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
DEDICATION	ii	
ABSTRACT	iii	
ABSTRAK	iv	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		
APPROVAL SHEETS		
DECLARATION	ix xi	
LIST OF TABLES	xvi	
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii	
LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		
LIST OF ADDREVIATIONS	XX	
CHAPTER		
1 INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1 Background	1	
1.2 TT Definition	4	
1.3 Problem Statement	6	
1.4 Research Objective	6	
1.5 Scope of the Study	7	
1.6 Significance of the Study	8	
1.7 Research Method	9	
1.7.1 Conceptual Model Development	9	
1.7.2 Pilot Study	10	
<ul><li>1.7.3 Main Study</li><li>1.7.4 Model Refinement and Validation</li></ul>	10 11	
1.7.4 Model Remement and Vandation  1.8 Thesis Layout	12	
1.6 Thesis Layout	12	
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	14	
2.1 Introduction	14	
2.2 Libyan Oil Industry	16	
2.3 Critical Review of TT Existing Models	22	
2.3.1 Calantone's TT Framework (1988)	23	
2.3.2 Simkoko TT Model (1992)	28	
2.3.3 Kumar TT Model Study (1999)	31	
2.3.4 Lin and Berg TT Research Model (2001)	37	
<ul><li>2.3.5 Malik's TT Broadcast Model (2002)</li><li>2.3.6 Wang TT Model (2004)</li></ul>	39 43	
2.3.7 Waroonkun and Stewart TT Model Study (2008)	45 46	
2.4 Comparative Analysis	50	
2.4.1 Similarities	50	
2.4.2 Differences	51	



2.5.2 TT Infrastructure       57         2.5.3 TT Environment       61         2.5.4 Petroleum Industry Learning Capability       68         2.5.5 TT Performance       73         2.6 Summary       79         3 METHODOLOGY       79         3.1 Introduction       79         3.2 Research Design       79         3.3 Research Method       80         3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development       82         3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design       82         3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       93         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research		2.5 Conceptual Model for TT in Libyan Petroleum Industry	52
2.5.3 TT Environment       61         2.5.4 Petroleum Industry Learning Capability       68         2.5.5 TT Performance       73         2.6 Summary       77         3 METHODOLOGY       79         3.1 Introduction       79         3.2 Research Design       79         3.3 Research Method       80         3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development       82         3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design       82         3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       103         <		2.5.1 TT Support	54
2.5.4 Petroleum Industry Learning Capability       68         2.5.5 TT Performance       73         2.6 Summary       77         3 METHODOLOGY       79         3.1 Introduction       79         3.2 Research Design       79         3.3 Research Method       80         3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development       82         3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design       82         3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103			
2.5.5 TT Performance 73 2.6 Summary 77  3 METHODOLOGY 79 3.1 Introduction 79 3.2 Research Design 79 3.3 Research Method 80 3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development 82 3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design 82 3.3.3 Respondents Selection 83 3.4 Data Collection 87 3.4 Pilot Study 88 3.5 Main Study 90 3.5.1 Questionnaire 990 3.5.2 Data Screening 91 3.5.2 Data Screening 92 3.6 Analysis Methods 93 3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) 93 3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) 94 3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures 95 3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement 98 3.7 Software Packages 98 3.7.1 SPSS 98 3.7.2 AMOS 99 3.8 Model Validation Process 100 4.9 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY 101 4.1 Introduction 102 4.2 Research Approach 102 4.3 Classification of Respondents 103 4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation 113 4.5 Correlation 116 4.6 Regression 118 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 121 4.8 Summary 122			
2.6 Summary       77         3 METHODOLOGY       79         3.1 Introduction       79         3.2 Research Design       79         3.3 Research Method       80         3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development       82         3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design       82         3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
3 METHODOLOGY 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Research Design 3.3 Research Method 3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development 3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design 3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design 3.3.3 Respondents Selection 3.3.4 Pilot Study 3.5 Main Study 3.5.1 Questionnaire 3.5.2 Data Screening 3.6 Analysis Methods 3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) 3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) 3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures 3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement 3.7 Software Packages 3.7.1 SPSS 3.7.2 AMOS 3.8 Model Validation Process 3.9 Summary  4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Research Approach 4.3 Classification of Respondents 4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation 4.5 Correlation 4.6 Regression 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 4.8 Summary  123 5.1 Introduction 123 5.1 Introduction 123			
3.1 Introduction       79         3.2 Research Design       79         3.3 Research Method       80         3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development       82         3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design       82         3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         4.2 Research Approach       100         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116		2.0 Summary	77
3.2 Research Design       79         3.3 Research Method       80         3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development       82         3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design       82         3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Qu	3	METHODOLOGY	79
3.3 Research Method       80         3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development       82         3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design       82         3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       103         4.5 Correlation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       123         5 RES		3.1 Introduction	79
3.3.1 Conceptual Model Development       82         3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design       82         3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122 <tr< td=""><td></td><td>3.2 Research Design</td><td>79</td></tr<>		3.2 Research Design	79
3.3.2 Questionnaire Survey Design       82         3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       123      <		3.3 Research Method	80
3.3.3 Respondents Selection       83         3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
3.3.4 Data Collection       87         3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123		• •	
3.4 Pilot Study       88         3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       123         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123			
3.5 Main Study       90         3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       123         5.1 Introduction       123			
3.5.1 Questionnaire       91         3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123		•	
3.5.2 Data Screening       92         3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3.6 Analysis Methods       93         3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123			
3.6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)       93         3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123			
3.6.2 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)       94         3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123			
3.6.3 Goodness of Fit Indices and Measures       95         3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123			
3.6.4 Standardization of TT Performance Measurement       98         3.7 Software Packages       98         3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123			
3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123			
3.7.1 SPSS       98         3.7.2 AMOS       99         3.8 Model Validation Process       100         3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123		3.7 Software Packages	98
3.8       Model Validation Process       100         3.9       Summary       100         4       RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1       Introduction       101         4.2       Research Approach       102         4.3       Classification of Respondents       103         4.4       Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5       Correlation       116         4.6       Regression       118         4.7       Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8       Summary       122         5       RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1       Introduction       123			98
3.9 Summary       100         4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY       101         4.1 Introduction       101         4.2 Research Approach       102         4.3 Classification of Respondents       103         4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation       113         4.5 Correlation       116         4.6 Regression       118         4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study       121         4.8 Summary       122         5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY       123         5.1 Introduction       123		3.7.2 AMOS	99
4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: PILOT STUDY 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Research Approach 4.3 Classification of Respondents 4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation 4.5 Correlation 4.6 Regression 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 4.8 Summary  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 5.1 Introduction  101 102 103 104 105 105 107 108 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109		3.8 Model Validation Process	100
4.1 Introduction 101 4.2 Research Approach 102 4.3 Classification of Respondents 103 4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation 113 4.5 Correlation 116 4.6 Regression 118 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 121 4.8 Summary 122  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 123 5.1 Introduction 123		3.9 Summary	100
4.1 Introduction 101 4.2 Research Approach 102 4.3 Classification of Respondents 103 4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation 113 4.5 Correlation 116 4.6 Regression 118 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 121 4.8 Summary 122  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 123 5.1 Introduction 123	1	DECLIFE AND ANALYCIC, DILOT CELIDA	101
4.2 Research Approach 4.3 Classification of Respondents 4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation 4.5 Correlation 4.6 Regression 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 4.8 Summary  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 5.1 Introduction  102 103 113 114 115 115 116 117 118 118 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 110 110 110	4		
4.3 Classification of Respondents 4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation 4.5 Correlation 4.6 Regression 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 4.8 Summary  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 5.1 Introduction  103 114 115 116 117 118 118 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119			
4.4 Mean and Standard Deviation 4.5 Correlation 4.6 Regression 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 4.8 Summary  122  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 5.1 Introduction  13 123 123		**	
4.5 Correlation 116 4.6 Regression 118 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 121 4.8 Summary 122  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 123 5.1 Introduction 123		•	
4.6 Regression 4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 4.8 Summary  122  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 5.1 Introduction  123			
4.7 Questionnaire Refinement for Main Study 4.8 Summary  121  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 5.1 Introduction  123			
4.8 Summary 122  5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 123 5.1 Introduction 123		8	
5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY 5.1 Introduction 123		Ş	
5.1 Introduction 123		4.8 Summary	122
5.1 Introduction 123	5	RESULTS AND ANALYSIS: MAIN STUDY	123
		5.2 Research Approach	



	5.2.1 Data Collection	124
	5.2.2 Data Screening	126
	5.3 Classification of Respondents	127
	5.4 Rating of TT Variables	140
	5.4.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	140
	5.4.2 Mean and Standard Deviation	141
	5.5 Exploratory Factor Analysis	143
	5.6 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)	145
	5.6.1 Measurement Model	146
	5.6.2 The Path Analysis of the Model	148
	5.6.3 Respecifying the Path Model	150
	5.6.4 SEM Discussion	152
	5.7 Limitation of the Main Study	155
	5.8 Standardization of Petroleum Industry Technology Transfer in Libya	156
	5.8.1 TT Perspectives	158
	5.8.2 Evaluating TT Indictors	160
	5.8.3 Methods for Standardization TT Performance	163
	<ul><li>5.8.4 Future Developments</li><li>5.9 Summary</li></ul>	174 175
	3.5 Summary	173
6	CASE STUDY: MODEL VALIDATION	177
	6.1 Introduction	177
	6.2 Research Approach	178
	6.3 TT Performance Indictors	179
	6.4 Case Studies	179
	6.4.1 Project 1 – Tripoli - Mellitah Pipeline	179
	6.4.2 Project 2 – Sarir- Tobruk Pipeline	182
	6.4.3 Project 3 – Mellitah NC 118 Development	183
	6.5 Classification of Respondents	185
	6.6 Mean and Standard Deviation	190
	6.7 Standardizing TT Performance- Case Study	194
	6.7.1 Determining Relative and Global Weight	195
	<ul><li>6.7.2 Evaluating Technology Transfer</li><li>6.7.3 Company Comparative Analysis</li></ul>	195 200
	6.7.4 Project Nationalities Comparative Analysis	200
	6.8 Model Validation	205
	6.8.1 Comparing Actual Mean Values	203
	6.8.2 Determining the Predicted Scores	207
	6.8.3 Comparison between the Actual and Predicted Mean Values	210
	6.9 Summary	214
7	CONCLUSIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	216
1	7.1 Conclusions	216
	7.1.1 Defining TT Enablers and Model Development	216
	7.1.2 TT Path Model Development	217



7.1.3 Development of TT Performance Standardization Approach	218
7.2 Recommendation for Future Research	222
REFERENCES	224
APPENDICES	238
APPENDIX A: Supervisor Questionnaire Survey Cover Letter	238
APPENDIX B: Student's Attaché in the Libyan People's Bureau in Malaysia	ı 239
APPENDIX C: Main Questionnaire Survey (English & Arabic)	240
APPENDIX D: Case Study Questionnaire Survey (English & Arabic)	251
BIODATA OF STUDENT	255
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	257

