



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**MOLECULAR CHARACTERISATION AND PATHOGENICITY
OF *PASTEURELLA MULTOCIDA* ISOLATED IN CHICKENS WITH
FOWL CHOLERA**

ANUN BINTI MAN

FPV 2001 8

**MOLECULAR CHARACTERISATION AND PATHOGENICITY
OF *PASTEURELLA MULTOCIDA* ISOLATED IN CHICKENS WITH
FOWL CHOLERA**

By

ANUN BINTI MAN

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the
Degree of Master of Veterinary Science in the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

July 2001



DEDICATION

**WITH APPRECIATION AND RESPECT,
THIS THESIS IS DEDICATED**

TO

MY MOTHER AND MY FAMILY WHO INSPIRED ME

AND

MAKE IT ALL WORTHWHILE



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Veterinary Science

**MOLECULAR CHARACTERISATION AND PATHOGENICITY
OF *PASTEURELLA MULTOCIDA* ISOLATED IN CHICKENS WITH
FOWL CHOLERA**

By

ANUN BINTI MAN

July 2001

Chairman : Associate Professor Mohd Zamri Saad, DVM, Ph.D.

Faculty : Veterinary Medicine

Twenty-two isolates of *Pasteurella multocida* isolated from outbreaks of fowl cholera in chickens were studied. The isolates identified as *Pasteurella multocida* serotypes A:1, A:3 and A:1,3 based on capsular and somatic serotyping results were analysed for their DNA and protein profiles, pathogenicity and antigenicity. Genomic DNA analysis using several endonuclease enzymes by restriction endonuclease analysis (REA) and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis revealed generally similar DNA profiles for all 22 isolates of *Pasteurella multocida*, except minor differences as detected upon digestion with *Hha*I. Molecular characterisation in the form of REA and RAPD in this study was extremely subtle to differentiate between strains of *Pasteurella multocida* and need further clarification along with the used of these techniques.



Among endonuclease enzymes used, *HhaI* was the only useful enzyme for differentiating strains of *Pasteurella multocida*. The DNA profiles obtained reflected well in serotyping and pathogenicity. This may suggest that the genetic elements encode certain virulence factors of *Pasteurella multocida* strains. However, there is no conclusive evidence for the role of plasmids and outer membrane protein (OMP) in the pathogenicity of *Pasteurella multocida* strains. All isolates that contain no plasmids and the similar OMP profiles produced difference pathogenicity among serotypes. Pathogenicity study revealed that serotype A:1,3 is more pathogenic than serotypes A:1 and A:3. The rapid death and pathological changes in chicken died shortly following inoculation with pathogenic strain were considered to be indicative of shock and attributed to the action of endotoxin.

The OMP analysis using SDS-PAGE revealed that all serotypes contained similar size of protein in the range of 29.0 – 107.0 kDa. A single major protein of 36.0 kDa, believed to be 'H' protein/porin of *Pasteurella multocida* was identified in all serotypes. However, there was no direct evidence to associate this protein with pathogenicity. The degree of OMP antigenic sharing among serotypes is extensive as revealed in immunoblotting. The 36.0 kDa protein was the most serologically major reactive antigenic protein and recognised by both homologous and heterologous antisera. Almost all antigenic proteins of serotype A:1 were expressed in both serotypes A:3 and A:1,3. Results obtained may suggest that OMP of *Pasteurella multocida* serotype A:1 could be the potential vaccine candidate as it may share antigens that are immunologically protective.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains Veterinar

**PENCIRIAN MOLEKUL DAN KEPATOGENAN
PASTEURELLA MULTOCIDA YANG DIPENCILKAN DARIPADA AYAM
BERPENYAKIT KOLERA**

Oleh

ANUN BINTI MAN

Julai 2001

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Mohd Zamri Saad, DVM, Ph.D

Fakulti : Perubatan Veterinar

Dua puluh dua isolat *Pasteurella multocida* yang dipencilkan daripada wabak penyakit kolera ayam telah dikaji. Isolat yang telah dikenalpasti sebagai *Pasteurella multocida* serotip A:1, A:3 dan A:1,3 berdasarkan keputusan ujian pengkelasan kapsul dan soma, telah dianalisis untuk profil DNA dan protin, kepatogenan dan keantigenan. Analisis genom DNA dengan menggunakan beberapa enzim endonuklease melalui analisis endonuklease tersekat (REA) dan amplikasi rawak polimorfisme (RAPD) menghasilkan profil DNA yang pada keseluruhannya sama bagi semua 22 isolat *Pasteurella multocida*, kecuali perbezaan kecil diperolehi apabila *HhaI* digunakan. Dalam kajian ini, pencirian molekul secara REA dan RAPD memberikan perbezaan yang tidak ketara dalam membezakan strain *Pasteurella multocida* dan penjelasan yang selanjutnya diperlukan melalui penggunaan teknik-teknik ini.



Di antara enzim endonuklease yang telah digunakan, *HhaI* merupakan enzim yang boleh membezakan strain *Pasteurella multocida*. Profil DNA yang terhasil didapati berkait rapat dengan serotip dan kepatogenan. Adalah dicadangkan bahawa elemen genetik mengkodkan sesetengah faktor kepatogenan strain *Pasteurella multocida*. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian ini tidak menunjukkan keterangan yang kukuh, tentang peranan plasmid dan protin selaput luar (OMP) dalam kepatogenan strain *Pasteurella multocida*. Semua isolat tidak mengandungi plasmid dan sama profil OMP menghasilkan kepatogenan yang berbeza di antara serotip. Kajian kepatogenan mendapati bahawa *Pasteurella multocida* serotip A:1,3 lebih patogen berbanding serotip A:1 dan A:3. Kematian yang cepat dan perubahan patologi yang berlaku pada ayam sebaik sahaja diinokulkan dengan strain yang patogen dipertimbangkan sebagai penandaan kejutan dan berpunca daripada tindakan endotoksin.

Analisis OMP menggunakan sodium dodecil sulfat-gel elektroforesis poliakrilamida (SDS-PAGE) menunjukkan bahawa semua serotip mengandungi protin yang sama saiznya dengan purata 29.0 – 107.0 kDa. Satu protin utama pada 36.0 kDa, yang dipercayai protin 'H'/porin *Pasteurella multocida* telah dikenalpasti pada semua serotip. Walau bagaimanapun, tiada keterangan secara langsung yang boleh mengaitkan protin ini dengan kepatogenan. Sebagaimana yang diperlihatkan dalam pembloktan imun, darjah perkongsian antigen OMP di antara serotip adalah ketara. Protin 36.0 kDa adalah protin antigen yang paling reaktif, dan boleh dikenalpasti oleh kedua-dua antiserum homologous dan heterologous. Hampir semua

protin antigen pada serotip A:1 telah ditunjukkan dalam kedua-dua serotip A:3 dan A:1,3. Keputusan yang diperolehi menggambarkan bahawa OMP *Pasteurella multocida* serotip A:1 berpotensi sebagai calon vaksin kerana ianya mungkin berkongsi antigen yang boleh melindungi secara imunologi.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratefulness and appreciation to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Zamri Saad for their invaluable guidance, advice, tolerant supervision and support throughout the course of this study.

I wish to express my gratitude to my co-supervisors, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Hair Bejo, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Azmi Mohd. Lila and Dr. S. Chandrasekaran who have provided advice, continuous encouragement, unfailing help and offered insightful suggestion towards the completion of this study. Sincere thanks also due to Dr. Nadzri Salim for his kindness in helping me in statistical analysis, and to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Saleha Abdul Aziz, Prof. Dr. Abd. Rani Bahaman, Dr. Abdul Rahman Omar and Dr. Abdul Rahim Mutalib for their kindness in allowing me to pursue pertinent work and use their laboratory facilities for this study.

I wish to thank all my colleagues, Dr. Md Sabri Mohd Yusoff, Dr. Yuslan Sanuddin, Dr. Kamaruddin Md Isa and Dr. Shu Zhe who have worked very closely with me in team research. Their cooperation, understanding and continuous support have been very much appreciated. Sincere thanks also due to Histopathology members, Mr. Mohd Jamil Samad, Mr. Mohd Noh Manaf and Mr. Ismail Shairi for their enthusiastic and technical assistance.



I am grateful to Mrs. Faridah Akmal Ismail, Mr. Kamaruddin Awang Isa, Mr. Kamarzaman Ahmad, Mr. Fauzi Che Yusof, Mr. Hajaraih Salamat, Mr. R. Kumar, Mr. Noraziman Sulaiman and Mr. Apparao a/l Somanaidu for their kind assistance and convenience. Extremely grateful to Dr. Mohd Effendy Abdul Wahid, Ms. Azilah Abdul Jalil, Dr. Siti Khairani Bejo, Dr. Siti Norhayati Ismail, Dr. Rohaidah Omar and Mr. Ali Hikmat Mohd Salehuddin, who were involved directly or indirectly in sharing their knowledge and skilled assistance throughout the course of this study has been equally valuable.

Finally I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my parents, my mother Saudah Abu Bakar, my brothers and my sisters for their unfailing support and encouragement who make this work endurable.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 16th July 2001, to conduct the final examination of Anun Binti Man on her Master of Veterinary Science thesis entitled “Molecular Characterisation and Pathogenicity of *Pasteurella multocida* Isolated in Chickens with Fowl Cholera” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Jasni Sabri, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd. Zamri Saad, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd. Hair Bejo, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd. Azmi Mohd. Lila, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

S. Chandrasekaran, Ph.D.
Veterinary Research Institute
VRI Ipoh, Perak
(Member)



MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D.
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 31 JUL 2001

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Veterinary Science.



AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.
Professor
Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: **13 SEP 2001**

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.



ANUN BINTI MAN

Date: 30HB. JULAI 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	DEDICATION	ii
	ABSTRACT	iii
	ABSTRAK	v
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
	APPROVAL	x
	DECLARATION	xii
	LIST OF TABLES	xvi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
 CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Epidemiology of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Infection in Poultry	6
	2.2 Clinical Sign and Lesions of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Infection in Poultry	8
	2.3 Phenotypic Characterisation of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	9
	2.4 Genotypic Characterization of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	10
	2.4.1 Restriction Endonuclease Analysis (REA) of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	11
	2.4.2 Ribotyping of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	12
	2.4.3 PCR Fingerprinting of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	13
	2.4.4 Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	14
	2.5 Pathogenicity of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Infection	15
	2.6 Plasmids of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	17
	2.7 Antigenic Components of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	18
	2.7.1 Immunogenicity of Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	19
	2.7.2 Immunogenicity of Lipopolysaccharide-Protein Complex of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	21
	2.7.3 Immunogenicity of Outer Membrane Protein (OMP) of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	23
	2.8 Prevention Management of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Infection	26
	2.9 Vaccination Against <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Infection	26
	2.10 Treatment of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Infection	28



3	GENOMIC AND PLASMID DNA ANALYSIS OF <i>PASTEURELLA MULTOCIDA</i> SEROTYPES A:1, A:3 AND A:1,3 BY REA AND RAPD	29
3.1	Introduction	29
3.2	Materials and Methods	32
3.2.1	The Bacteria	32
3.2.2	Genomic DNA Isolation of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	33
3.2.3	Plasmid DNA Isolation of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	36
3.2.4	Restriction Endonuclease Analysis (REA) of DNA	36
3.2.5	Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA Analysis (RAPD)	37
3.3	Results	38
3.3.1	Analysis of Genomic DNA by REA	38
3.3.2	Analysis of Genomic DNA by RAPD	47
3.3.3	Epidemiology Comparison	47
3.3.4	Analysis of Plasmid DNA	48
3.4	Discussion	48
4	PATHOGENICITY OF <i>PASTEURELLA MULTOCIDA</i> SEROTYPES A:1, A:3 AND A:1,3 IN CHICKEN EMBRYOS AND BROILER CHICKENS	53
4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Materials and Methods	54
4.2.1	Pathogenicity of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Isolates in Chicken Embryos	54
4.2.1.1	Bacteria	54
4.2.1.2	Preparation of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Inoculum	55
4.2.1.3	Inoculation into Chicken Embryos	57
4.2.1.4	Mortality and Necropsy	58
4.2.1.5	Isolation and Identification of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	58
4.2.1.6	Preparations of Embryo Samples for Histopathology	58
4.2.1.7	Lesion Scoring and Statistical Analysis	59
4.2.2	Pathogenicity of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Isolates in Broiler Chickens	60
4.2.2.1	Experimental Chickens	60
4.2.2.2	Experimental Design	60
4.2.2.3	Experimental Infection into Chickens	62
4.2.2.4	Necropsy and Preparations of Sample	62
4.2.2.5	Lesions Scoring and Statistical Analysis	63
4.3	Results	64
4.3.1	Pathogenicity of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Isolates in Chicken Embryos	64
4.3.1.1	Mortality	64
4.3.1.2	Necropsy Findings and Statistical Analysis	65
4.3.1.3	Isolation of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	67



4.3.2	Pathogenicity of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Isolates in Broiler Chickens	70
4.3.2.1	Clinical Signs	70
4.3.2.2	Rate of Mortality	70
4.3.2.3	Gross Lesions	72
4.3.2.4	Histopathological Changes in the Liver	75
4.3.2.5	Isolation of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	75
4.4	Discussion	79
5	ANTIGENICITY OF THE OUTER MEMBRANE PROTEINS OF <i>PASTEURELLA MULTOCIDA</i> SEROTYPES A:1, A:3 AND A:1,3	83
5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	Materials and Methods	85
5.2.1	Preparation of Outer Membrane Proteins (OMPs) Extract	85
5.2.2	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate Polyacrylamide Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	86
5.2.3	Preparation of Antisera against <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> Serotype A:3, A:1 and A:1,3	86
5.2.4	Western Blotting	87
5.2.4.1	Transblotting of Protein Bands onto Nitrocellulose Membrane	87
5.2.4.2	Immunoblotting	88
5.3	Results	89
5.3.1	Outer Membrane Proteins (OMPs) Profile by SDS-PAGE	89
5.3.2	Immunoblotting	91
5.4	Discussion	95
6	GENERAL DISCUSSION	98
7	CONCLUSION	106
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	107
	APPENDICES	124
	VITA	145



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Origin of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> isolates used in this study.	35
3.2	Molecular weight of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotypes A:3, A:1 and A:1,3 with a characteristic fragment bands generated following digestions with <i>Hha</i> I.	40
3.3	Molecular weight of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotypes A:3, A:1 and A:1,3 with a characteristic fragment bands generated following digestions with <i>Hpa</i> II.	41
4.1	Selected strains of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> that represent serotype A:3, A:1 and A:1,3 in the pathogenicity study.	55
4.2	The inoculum dosages used in the pathogenicity study for the difference serotypes of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> .	56
4.3	Inoculation schedule and dosage used for each group of chickens.	61
4.4	Scoring system for the microscopic lesions in the liver.	64
4.5	Mortality rate following inoculation with various dosages of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> isolates within 24 hours post-inoculation in chicken embryos.	65
4.6	Mean gross lesion score following inoculation with different concentrations of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotypes A:3, A:1 and A:1,3.	66
4.7	Mortality rate in chickens following inoculation with different concentrations of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotypes A:3, A:1 and A:1,3.	71
4.8	Numbers of death chickens at stated times (days) post-inoculation (pi) and the mean time of death within the first 24-hours pi following inoculation with a high dose of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> .	72
4.9	Mean score of histopathological changes in the liver following inoculation with different concentration of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotypes A:3, A:1 and A:1,3	76



L1	Similar DNA profiles obtained from 22 isolates of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> following digestion with different restriction enzymes. (Estimated MW between 2.0-23.1 kbp).	142
L2	Mean pooled gross lesion in CAM, yolk sac, embryo, liver and kidney of each affected egg.	143



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	REA pattern of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> following digestion with <i>Sma</i> I. Lane M (DNA molecular weight marker of lambda phage digested with <i>Hind</i> III); Lane A (PM 103/4 – Serotype A:3); Lane B (PM 100/4 – Serotype A:1); Lane C (PM 103/1 – Serotype A:1,3), Lane D (LBC 4 – Serotype A:1,3). Electrophoresis was in 0.7% agarose in TBE buffer. The numbers on the vertical axis representing the number of kilobase pairs (kbp) of the respective fragments.	42
3.2	REA pattern of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> following double digestion with <i>Sma</i> I- <i>Sal</i> I. Lane M (DNA molecular weight marker of lambda phage digested with <i>Hind</i> III); Lane A (PM 103/4 – A:3); Lane B (PM 100/4 – A:1); Lane C (PM 103/1 – A:1,3); Lane D (LBC 4 – A:1,3). Electrophoresis was in 0.7% agarose in TBE buffer.	43
3.3	REA pattern of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> following digestion with <i>Hae</i> III (lane 1-6) and <i>Eco</i> R1 (lane 7-12). Lane 1 and 7 (DVS 1 – A:1); Lane 2 and 8 (PM 100/4 – A:1); Lane 3 and 9 (PM 100/3 – A:3); Lane 4 and 10 (PM 103/3 – A:3); Lane 5 and 11 (LBC 5 – A:1,3); Lane 6 and 12 (PM 100/3 – A:3). Lane M contains DNA molecular marker of lambda phage digested with <i>Hind</i> III. Electrophoresis was in 0.7% agarose in TBE buffer.	44
3.4	REA pattern of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> following digestion with <i>Eco</i> R1. Lane M (DNA molecular weight marker of lambda phage digested with <i>Hind</i> III); Lane A (PM 103/4 – A:3); Lane B (PM 100/4 – A:1); Lane C (PM 103/1 – A:1,3); Lane D (LBC 4 – A:1,3). Electrophoresis was in 0.7% agarose in TBE buffer.	45
3.5	REA patterns of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> following digestion with <i>Hha</i> I (lane 1-5) and <i>Hpa</i> II (lane 6-10). Lane 1 and 6 (DVS 10 – A:1); Lane 2 and 7 (PM 100/4 – A:1); Lane 3 and 8 (PM 103/3 – A:3); Lane 4 and 9 (PM 103/1 – A:1,3); Lane 5 and 10 (LBC 4 – A:1,3). Lane M contains DNA molecular marker of lambda phage digested with <i>Hind</i> III. Electrophoresis was in 0.7% agarose in TBE buffer.	46



3.6	DNA fragments of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> isolates as generated by RAPD method using random primers OPA 12 (lane 1-4) and OPA 18 (lane 5-8). Lane 1 and 5 (DVS 2 – A:1); Lane 2 and 6 (PM 100/4 – A:1); Lane 3 and 7 (PM 103/4 – A:3); Lane 4 and 8 (PM 103/1- A:1,3).). Lane M (molecular weight marker 1 Kb DNA ladder. Electrophoresis was in 1.2% agarose gel in TBE buffer.	49
4.1	Affected chorio-allantoic membrane (CAM) of chicken embryo inoculated with <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> isolates showing hyperplasia (thickened and proliferated) of the ectodermal cells (arrowhead). Mesoderm appeared to be swollen and oedematous (M). HE x200.	68
4.2	Affected liver with pycnotic nuclei (arrowhead) and karyolytic nuclei (arrow) surrounding central vein (CV). HE x200.	69
4.3	Generalised pint-point multifocal necrosis (white spots) and generalised petechial hemorrhages on the surface of the liver of dead chicken, 24 hours post-inoculation with <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotype A:1,3.	73
4.4	Generalised multifocal necrosis on the liver and haemorrhages over the serosal surface of the intestine, of the dead chicken 48 hours pi with <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotype A:3.	74
4.5	Severely congested liver with clear demarcation of the focal areas of necrosis (N) surrounding the central vein (CV), of dead chicken 24 hours pi with <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotype A:1,3. HE x100.	77
4.6	A focal area of necrosis in affected liver of dead chicken, 24 hours pi with <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotype A:1,3. Note the swollen hepatocytes with binucleated nucleus (B) and vacuolation in cytoplasm (V). Some of the hepatocytes appeared to contain pycnotic (P) and karyolytic nuclei (arrowhead). HE x400.	78
5.1	SDS-PAGE profiles of the outer membrane proteins (OMP) of <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> serotypes A:1, A:3 and A:1,3. Lane M: Molecular marker in kilodalton (kDa). Note a single heavily-stained OMP band of approximately 36.0 kDa (bold arrow).	90



- 5.2 Immunoblotting of the outer membrane protein (OMP) antigens of different serotypes of *Pasteurella multocida* reacted against antisera to serotype A:1,3. Lane M, molecular marker (kDa). Note a strong reaction of 36.0 kDa protein of all serotype against antisera serotype A:1,3 (arrowhead). 92
- 5.3 Immunoblotting of the outer membrane protein (OMP) antigens of different serotypes of *Pasteurella multocida* reacted against antisera for serotype A: 1. Lane M: Molecular marker (kDa). Note a similar intensity cross-reactions of all serotype against antisera seotype A:1. 93
- 5.4 Immunoblotting of the outer membrane protein (OMP) antigens of different serotypes of *Pasteurella multocida* reacted against antisera for serotype A:3, with a weak reaction (small arrow). Lane M: Molecular marker (kDa). 94



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BHI	Brain heart infusion
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
CAM	Chorio-allantoic membrane
Cfu	Colony forming units (bacteria)
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
Dnase	Deoxyribonuclease
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
Kbp	Kilobase pairs
KDa	Kilodalton
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
M	Molar
MW	Molecular weight
OMP	Outer membrane protein
PAGE	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PBS	Phosphate-buffered saline
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PH	Hydrogen ion concentration
pi	Post-inoculation
RAPD	Random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis
REA	Restriction endonuclease analysis
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
RNase	Ribonuclease
rpm	Revolutions per minute
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
TBE	Tris-borate EDTA
V	Volt
v/v	Volume to volume ratio
w/v	Weight to volume ratio



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The animal pathogen *Pasteurella multocida* is associated with a wide range of diseases, including fowl cholera of poultry and wild fowl, atrophic rhinitis of swine, haemorrhagic septicaemia of cattle and buffaloes and snuffles in rabbits. The disease causes serious losses due to deaths, condemnation losses, and vaccination and medication costs. In poultry, fowl cholera is a highly contagious disease, which results in significant economic losses to the poultry industry worldwide (Hird *et al.*, 1991; Rhoades and Rimler, 1991). Typically, fowl cholera occurs abruptly in healthy turkeys of market age; it causes high mortality over a short time and/or high condemnation rates at processing plants, despite vigorous medication efforts. Heavy losses have been observed in mature chickens for up to 16 week of age. This means that mature chickens are more susceptible than young chickens (Heddleston, 1962; Hungerford, 1968). The severity of the disease and its incidence are also influenced by environment factors such as overcrowding, climatic changes, nutrition and concurrent diseases (Alberts and Graham, 1948).

Many birds and mammals can be infected with *Pasteurella multocida* without serious consequences (Snipes *et al.*, 1988a). In turn, they serve as the source of infection when they come into contact with poultry. Therefore, preventive efforts should include complete isolation of poultry flocks and strict sanitation combined with restricted traffic to and from poultry houses.



Fowl cholera can result from infection with strains of *Pasteurella multocida* representing the variation in somatic serotypes and capsular serogroup (Rhoades and Rimler, 1991; Snipes *et al.*, 1990). Currently, 16 serotypes (serotypes 1-16) and four capsular serogroups (A, B, D and F) are represented among strains isolated from avian hosts (Hofacre and Glisson, 1986; Rhoades and Rimler, 1987; Rimler and Rhoades, 1987). Although several serotypes of *Pasteurella multocida* within serogroup A are regarded as the primary cause of fowl cholera (Rhoades and Rimler, 1987; Rimler and Rhoades, 1989b) and serotypes A:1, A:3 and A:3,4 apparently dominate among the strains (Cutis, 1981; Rhoades and Rimler, 1990b), there were no indications that any particular serotype is more or less virulent than others. In fact, different isolates of the common serotype A:3,4 have been shown to vary greatly in virulence (Lee *et al.*, 1988). Thus, somatic serotyping seems of little use in assessing the virulence of strains of *Pasteurella multocida*.

In recent years, identification and characterisation has favoured analyses that reflect one of the most fundamental properties of an organism, its genetic information. The use of molecular characterisation in the form of restriction endonuclease analysis (REA) and random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis (RAPD), have been applied to identify and to differentiate *Pasteurella multocida* strains (Zucher *et al.*, 1996). The ability to differentiate phenotypically similar isolates is critically important in epidemiology, particularly when establishing the identity of bacterial vaccine strains (Stull *et al.*, 1988). Further study in correlation of certain serotype with lesions will help to understand detail on pathogenicity and

virulence factors of the *Pasteurella multocida*. Several factors that may be important for the virulence of *Pasteurella multocida* are lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Rimler *et al.*, 1984), capsule (Tsuji and Matsumoto, 1989), plasmids and resistance to complement-mediated bacteriolysis (Lee *et al.*, 1991). Studies have been undertaken to determine the presence of plasmids in numerous strains of *Pasteurella multocida* and to investigate the correlation between antibiotic resistance profiles (Hirsh *et al.*, 1985; 1989), virulence attributes (Lee and Wooley, 1995) and the presence of plasmids. The plasmids have been speculated to encode the virulence factors involved. Avian strains of *Pasteurella multocida* have been shown to harbour plasmids (Snipes *et al.*, 1990; Price *et al.*, 1993; Diallo *et al.*, 1995) but the rate of plasmid carriage has been shown to vary considerably between isolates from 24% (Price *et al.*, 1993) to 70.7% (Hirsh *et al.*, 1989).

Several antigenic components have been investigated as an immunogen against *Pasteurella multocida* infection including purified lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Rhoades and Rimler, 1991) and LPS-protein complex (Tsuji and Matsumoto, 1988b). However, the role of LPS as an immunogen remains controversial particularly in mammals. Mice, cattle and rabbits have not been readily protected against *Pasteurella multocida* infection following immunisation with LPS (Rimler and Rhoades, 1989c). Recently, outer membrane proteins (OMPs) of *Pasteurella multocida* have been studied as potential immunogens, which make them potential vaccine candidates (Lugtenberg *et al.*, 1986; Rimler and Rhoades, 1989c; Lu *et al.*, 1991a,b,c; Manoha *et al.*, 1994; Ruffolo and Adler, 1996). OMPs of avian origin of

