

### **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# THE USE OF FLOCCULANTS IN ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF PALM OIL MILL EFFLUENT

**CHEW THEAN YEAN** 

FSAS 1994 8



## THE USE OF FLOCCULANTS IN ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF PALM OIL MILL EFFLUENT

### By

### **CHEW THEAN YEAN**

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies,
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia

September 1994



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

It gives me much pleasure to acknowledge and thank the many individuals and institutions for their kind contributions to this thesis.

I would like to express my sincerest thanks to my chairman, Dr. Nasiman Sapari and members of the Supervisory Committee, Dr. Mohammad Ismail Yaziz (Department of Environmental Science) and Dr. Azni Idris (Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering) for their helpful advice, guidance, constructive comments and friendly discussions. I am grateful to Dr. Anhar Suki, a former lecturer in the Department of Environmental Science for his valuable guidance in the initial part of this research work. Acknowledgement is also conveyed to En. Aziz Bahsir, Senior Assistant Registrar for checking through the format of the thesis.

I would like to express my sincerest appreciation to Dato Dr. Ahmad Tajuddin Ali, Director General of SIRIM for giving me the opportunity to undertake this course on a part-time basis. Specials thanks are extended to Dr. Chong Chok Ngee, Director of Research and Mr. Woo Seng Khee, Centre Head of Biotechnology and Chemical Technology for their support and cooperation. The research work had been funded by the Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment, Malaysia.

I am particularly indebted to Pn. Hasnah Mohd. Zin, En. Izham Bakar and Cik Rahimah Abdullah for their keen and invaluable assistance in the laboratory work. Pn. Hasmah Shaharuddin is gratefully acknowledged for typing this manuscript. The photographs and photomicrographs were taken skillfully by En. Zulkamaen Abdullah



(Product and Machine Development Centre), En. Zubir bin Me and Tn. Syed Fadzil (Metal Development Centre). I am grateful with and appreciative of the numerous caring colleagues and staff in SIRIM, in particular the Biotechnology and Chemical Technology Centre, for their cooperation and friendliness making work pleasant and enjoyable.

Last by not least, heartfelt appreciation is due to my family (John, Joanna and Johan) for their understanding, encouragement, love and presence with me. The encouragement of my parents, brothers and sisters as well as my mother-in-law are appreciated too. Last but not least, I am thankful to God, my Creator for His marvelous creation and for giving me strength and wisdom to carry me through this period.



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | Page  |
|--|-------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS                                     | ii    |
| LIST OF TABLES                                       | viii  |
| LIST OF FIGURES                                      | X     |
| LIST OF PLATES                                       | xiii  |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS                                | xiv   |
| ABSTRACT   | xviii |
| ABSTRAK  | XX    |
| CHAPTER  |       |
| I INTRODUCTION                                       | 1     |
| Background   | 1     |
| Objectives of Research Work                          | 2     |
| Direction of Research                                | 3     |
| II REVIEW OF LITERATURE                              | 5     |
| Recent Development in Anaerobic Digestion Technology | 5     |
| Use of Flocculants in Anaerobic Digestion            | 16    |
| Granulation Process                                  | 21    |
| Palm Oil Mill Effluent                               | 25    |
| Characterisation                                     | 25    |
| Treatment Technologies                               | 28    |



|     |                                       | Page     |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----------|
|     | Fundamentals of Anaerobic Digestion   | 40       |
|     | Microbiology                          | 41       |
|     | Process Control                       | 42       |
|     | Temperature                           | 44       |
|     | Kinetics                              | 45       |
|     | Summary                               | 50       |
| III | MATERIALS AND METHOD                  | 52       |
|     | Search for Effective Flocculant       | 52       |
|     | Flocculant Effectiveness              | 52       |
|     | Settling Tests                        | 54       |
|     | Toxicity Tests                        | 54       |
|     | Mesophilic Anaerobic Digestion        | 56       |
|     | Experimental Set-up                   | 56       |
|     | Operation                             | 59       |
|     | Sampling                              | 63       |
|     | Start-up                              | 65       |
|     | Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion      | 66       |
| IV  | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION I : FLOCCULANT | 60       |
|     | SEARCH                                | 69       |
|     | Flocculant Effectiveness              | 69<br>71 |
|     | Settling Tests  Toyicity Tests        | 71       |
|     | LOVICITY LECTO                        | 71       |



|    |   | Page |
|----|---|------|
| V  | RESULT AND DISCUSSION II: MESOPHILIC      |      |
|    | ANAEROBIC DIGESTION                       | 77   |
|    | Operation                                 | 77   |
|    | Feedrate and Biogas Production            | 77   |
|    | Sludge                                    | 81   |
|    | pH of Influent, Effluent and Mixed Liquor | 81   |
|    | VFA <sub>ML</sub> and ALK <sub>ML</sub>   | 82   |
|    | Biogas Composition                        | 82   |
|    | Pelletization                             | 83   |
|    | Influent Waste Characteristics            | 85   |
|    | Effluent Waste Characteristics            | 87   |
|    | Mixed Liquor Waste Characteristics        | 89   |
|    | Process Performance                       | 93   |
|    | Organic Loading                           | 96   |
|    | Methane Yield                             | 96   |
|    | Treatment Efficiencies                    | 97   |
|    | Sludge Loading                            | 97   |
| VI | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION III:               |      |
|    | THERMOPHILIC ANAEROBIC DIGESTION          | 100  |
|    | Operation                                 | 100  |
|    | Feedrate and Biogas Production            | 100  |
|    | Sludge                                    | 104  |
|    | VFA <sub>ML</sub> and ALK <sub>ML</sub>   | 105  |
|    | pH of Influent, Effluent and Mixed Liquor | 106  |
|    | Biogas Composition                        | 106  |
|    | Pelletization                             | 106  |



|               |   | Page |
|---------------|---|------|
|               | Influent Waste characteristics                        | 106  |
|               | Effluent Waste Characteristics                        | 109  |
|               | Mixed Liquor Waste Characteristics                    | 114  |
|               | Process Performance                                   | 116  |
|               | Organic Loading                                       | 116  |
|               | Methane Yield   | 119  |
|               | Treatment Efficiency                                  | 119  |
|               | Sludge Loading  | 120  |
| VII           | KINETIC AND ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS                   | 122  |
|               | Kinetic Constants of Mesophilic a.d.                  | 122  |
|               | Kinetic Constants of Mesophilic and Thermophilic a.d. | 127  |
|               | Preliminary Economic Assessment                       | 130  |
| VIII          | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS                               | 134  |
|               | Summary   | 134  |
|               | Conclusions   | 138  |
|               | Future Research Consideration                         | 139  |
| BIBLIOGRAPI   | HY  | 142  |
| APPENDICES    |   | 150  |
| Appendix A:M  | ethod   | 150  |
| Appendix B:Ca | alculations   | 151  |
| Appendix C:Fo | ormulae   | 154  |
| VITA          |   | 155  |



### LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   |   | Page |
|-------|---|---|------|
| 1     | : | Comparison of the Advantages and Disadvantages of<br>Various Types of High Rate Anaerobic Digesters                   | 8    |
| 2     | : | Performance of Semi-continuous Anaerobic Digestion Systems with the Use of Flocculants                                | 18   |
| 3     | : | Characteristics of Palm Oil Mill Effluent   | 26   |
| 4     | : | The Distribution of Chemical Constituents Between the Soluble and the Particulate Fractions of Palm Oil Mill Effluent | 27   |
| 5     | : | Mineral Constituents of Palm Oil Mill Effluent  | 29   |
| 6     | : | Palm Oil Mill Effluent Discharge Standards  | 33   |
| 7     | : | Process Control Parameters for Anaerobic Processes  | 43   |
| 8     | : | Available Synthetic Polyelectrolyte Tested  | 53   |
| 9     | : | Weekly Sampling Program During Steady State<br>Condition  | 63   |
| 10    | : | Operational Data of Mesophilic Semi-continuous Anaerobic Digester Treating POME                                       | 80   |
| 11    | : | Palm Oil Mill Effluent Characteristics Used as Substrate in Mesophilic Anaerobic Digestion                            | 87   |
| 12    | : | Effluent Waste Characteristics of Mesophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME  | 89   |
| 13    | : | Mixed Liquor Characteristics of Mesophilic Anaerobic Digester Treating POME   | 91   |
| 14    | : | Sludge Analyses in the Mesophilic Anaerobic Digester  | 93   |
| 15    | : | Process Performance of Mesophilic Semi-continuous<br>Anaerobic Digestion of POME                                      | 94   |
| 16    | : | Operational Data of Thermophilic Semi-continuous Anaerobic Digestion of POME  | 101  |



| Table | ; |  | Page |
|-------|---|--|------|
| 17    | : | The Characteristics of POME Used as Substrate in Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion  | 109  |
| 18    | : | The Effluent Characteristics of the Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME   | 112  |
| 19    | : | Mixed Liquor Characteristics of Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME   | 114  |
| 20    | : | Process Performance of Thermophilic Semi-continuous<br>Anaerobic Digestion of Palm Oil Mill Effluent   | 117  |
| 21    | : | Settled Sludge Characteristics in Clarifier  | 124  |
| 22    | : | Kinetic Coefficients for Anaerobic Digestion of POME   | 125  |
| 23    | : | Yield Constant (c) and First-order Rate Constant (k) for Mesophilic and Thermophilic Semi-continuous Anaerobic Digestion of Palm Oil Mill Effluent | 130  |
| 24    | : | Preliminary Economic Assessment of the Modified Semi-continuous Anaerobic Digesters  | 132  |
| 25    | : | Process Performance of Mesophilic and Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of Palm Oil Mill Effluent   | 137  |



### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figu | Figure   |  | Page |
|------|----------|--|------|
| 1    | :        | Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) Reactor   | 9    |
| 2    | :        | Fluid Flow Model for UASB Reactor  | 10   |
| 3    | :        | Upflow Floc Digester   | 11   |
| 4    | :        | Schematic Diagram Showing the Anamet Process   | 13   |
| 5    | :        | Laboratory Semi-continuous Digester  | 15   |
| 6    | :        | Palm Oil Extraction Process  | 32   |
| 7    | :        | Ponding System for Palm Oil Mill Effluent Treatment  | 34   |
| 8    | ;        | Anaerobic Contact and Aeration Process   | 35   |
| 9    | :        | Anaerobic Tank and Land Application System   | 36   |
| 10   | :        | Thermophilic Anaerobic Contact Process   | 38   |
| 11   | ÷        | Metabolic Distinction of the Microbial Population in Anaerobic Digestion   | 41   |
| 12   | :        | Set-up Experiment to Investigate the Toxicity Effect of Flocculant on Anaerobic Digestion Process                          | 55   |
| 13   | :        | Flow Diagram of the Semi-continuous Anaerobic Digester   | 56   |
| 14   | :        | Time Sequence Operation of Semi-continuous Anaerobic Digester  | 58   |
| 15   | ;*<br>}* | Suspended Solids (SS) Removal Efficiencies of POMS at Different Concentration of Flocculants (a) A-E (b) F-I               | 70   |
| 16   | :        | Settling Rate (SVI <sub>60</sub> ) of POMS with Different Flocculants at Various Concentrations i.e. (a) A-E (b) F-I       | 72   |
| 17   | :        | The Effect of Flocculant Addition (c) in the Anaerobic Digestion of POME on (a) pH and (b) Biogas Produced                 | 74   |
| 18   | :        | The Effect of Flocculants on the Various Parameters of the Supernatant: (a) COD; (b) COD <sub>s</sub> ; (c) SS and (d) VSS | 76   |
|      |          | (U) VOO  | 70   |



| Figur | Figure |  | Page |
|-------|--------|--|------|
| 19    | :      | Operational Data of Mesophilic Semi-continuous<br>Anaerobic Digestion POME with respect to (a)<br>Feedrate and Flocculant Rate; (b) Biogas Produced;<br>(c) Settled Sludge in Effluent                   | 78   |
| 20    | :      | Operational Data of Mesophilic Semi-continuous<br>Anaerobic Digestion POME with respect to (a) pH of<br>Feed, Mixed Liquor and Effluent; (b) VFA and ALK<br>of Mixed Liquor; (c) Biogas Content          | 79   |
| 21    | :      | Influent Waste Characteristics Used as Substrate in Mesophilic Anaerobic Digestion: (a) COD and BOD; (b) Solids; (c) Ratios  | 86   |
| 22    | 1      | Effluent Waste Characteristics of Mesophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME: (a) COD and BOD; (b) Solids; (c) N and P   | 88   |
| 23    | :      | Mixed Liquor Waste Characteristics of Mesophilic<br>Anaerobic Digestion of POME: (a) COD and BOD;<br>(b) Solids; (c) Ratios  | 90   |
| 24    | :      | The Effect of HRT on (a) OLR; (b) Methane Yield, and (c) Treatment Efficiency of the Mesophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME  | 95   |
| 25    | :      | The Effect of HRT on (a) Sludge Loading and (b) MLVSS in the Mesophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME  | 99   |
| 26    | :      | Operational Data of Thermophilic Semi-continuous<br>Anaerobic Digestion of POME with respect to<br>(a) Feedrate and Flocculant Rate; (b) Biogas Produced;<br>(c) Sludge Settled in Effluent              | 102  |
| 27    | :      | Operational Data of Thermophilic Semi-continuous<br>Anaerobic Digestion of POME with respect to (a) pH<br>of Influent, Effluent and Mixed Liquor; (b) VFA and<br>ALK of Mixed Liquor; (c) Biogas Content | 103  |
| 28    | :      | POME Characteristics Used as Substrate in Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion with respect to (a) COD, BOD, COD; (b) Solids; (c) Ratios   | 107  |



| Figu | re |   | Page |
|------|----|---|------|
| 29   | :  | Total Effluent Characteristics in Runs 1, 2, 3 and 6 for Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME with respect to (a) COD, COD <sub>s</sub> and BOD; (b) Solids; (c) Ratios         | 110  |
| 30   | :  | Supernatant Effluent Characteristics in Runs 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME with respect to (a) COD, COD <sub>s</sub> and BOD; (b) Solids; (c) Ratios | 111  |
| 31   | :  | Mixed Liquor Characteristics of Thermophilic<br>Anaerobic Digester with respect to (a) COD, COD <sub>s</sub> and<br>BOD; (b) Solids; (c) Ratios                                     | 115  |
| 32   | :  | The Effect of HRT on (a) OLR, (b) Methane Yield, and (c) Treatment Efficiency in the Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME   | 118  |
| 33   | :  | The Effect of HRT on (a) Sludge Loading and (b) MLVSS of the Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME   | 121  |
| 34   | :  | Determination of Kinetic Coefficients in Mesophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME: (a) Y and $k_d$ ; (b) k and $K_s$ using Lawrence and McCarty (1970) Model                          | 123  |
| 35   | :  | The Effect of HRT on the Gas Production at (a)<br>Mesophilic and (b) Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion   | 128  |
| 36   | •  | Determination of Yield Constant (c) and First-order<br>Rate Constant (k) of (a) Mesophilic and<br>(b) Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of POME Using<br>Lau-Wong (1982) Model       | 129  |



### LIST OF PLATES

| Plate |   |   | Page |
|-------|---|---|------|
| 1     | : | Experimental Set-up of Semi-continuous Mesophilic Digester Treating POME                    | 57   |
| 2     | : | Samples of Turbid Raw POME, Treated Clear Effluent and Mixed Liquor                         | 64   |
| 3     | : | Experimental Set-up of Semi-continuous Thermophilic Digester Treating POME                  | 67   |
| 4     | : | Samples of Pellets: (a) 3.3 mm; (b) 1.4 mm; (c) 0.6 mm; (d) loose flocs (e) shaken in water | 84   |



#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A : Flocculant FO8990 SH (Trade Name)

a.d. : Anaerobic Digestion

ALK : Alkalinity

 $ALK_{ML}$ : Mixed Liquor Alkalinity

B : Flocculant Zetag 88N (Trade Name)

BOD : Biochemical Oxygen Demand

C : Flocculant FO4290 (Trade Name)

COD : Chemical Oxygen Demand

c : Yield Constant

Ca<sup>++</sup> : Calcium Ion

CH<sub>4</sub> : Methane

Co : Cobalt

CO<sub>2</sub> : Carbon Dioxide

COD<sub>E</sub> : Chemical Oxygen Demand of Effluent

COD<sub>s</sub>: Soluble Chemical Oxygen Demand

 ${\rm COD}_{\rm sp}$  : Chemical Oxygen Demand of Supernatant

COD<sub>r</sub> : Total Chemical Oxygen Demand

D : Flocculant FO 42408 SH (Trade Name)

d : Day

E : Flocculant FO 8650 (Trade Name)



F : Flocculant Kurifloc (Trade Name)

Fe : Iron

FFB : Fresh Fruit Bunches

G : Flocculant FL18 (Trade Name)

g : Daily Gas Production

H : Flocculant C20 (Trade Name)

HCl : Hydrochloric Acid

HRT=0: Hydraulic Retention Time

H<sub>2</sub>O : Water

H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> : Sulphuric Acid

I : Flocculant FL 17 (Trade Name)

k : Maximum Rate of Substrate Utilization Per Unit Mass of Micro-

organism.

k<sub>d</sub> : Microbial Decay Coefficient/Endogenous Respiration Rate

k<sub>s</sub> : Half Velocity Rate Constant

MARS: Membrane Anaerobic Reactor System

ML: Mixed Liquor

MLVSS: Mixed Liquor Volatile Suspended Solids

N : Nitrogen

N : Normality

NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> : Ionized Ammonia

NH<sub>3</sub>-N : Ammoniacal Nitrogen

Ni : Nickel

 $\theta_{\rm C}$  : Mean Cell Residence Time

 $\theta_c^{\,m}$  : Minimum Solid Retention Time

O&G : Oil and Grease

OLR : Organic Loading Rate

OLR<sub>BOD</sub>: BOD Organic Loading Rate

OLR<sub>COD</sub>: COD Organic Loading Rate

OLR<sub>VS</sub> : VS Organic Loading Rate

POME: Palm Oil Mill Effluent

P : Phosphorous

P<sub>B</sub> : Biogas Pressure

POMS : Palm Oil Mill Sludge

pH<sub>E</sub> : pH of Effluent

pH<sub>I</sub> : pH of Influent

pH<sub>ML</sub>: pH of Mixed Liquor

pH<sub>2</sub> : Hydrogen Pressure

ppm : Part Per Million

 $Q_{\scriptscriptstyle F}$ : Influent Rate

Q<sub>E</sub> : Effluent Rate

Q<sub>FC</sub> : Flocculant Rate

q : Specific Rate of Microbial Substrate Utilization

q<sub>m</sub> : Maximum Microbial Specific Substrate Utilization Rate

S : Limiting Substrate Concentration or Concentration of Effluent

Substrate

SS : Suspended Solids

S<sub>o</sub> : Concentration of Influent Substrate

 $(SVI)_{60}$ : Sludge Volume Index

TKN: Total Kjedhall Nitrogen

TS: Total Solids

UASB : Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket

VFA : Volatile Fatty Acid

 $VFA_{\!M\!L} \quad : \quad \ \mbox{Mixed Liquor Volatile Fatty Acid} \quad \label{eq:VFA}$ 

VS : Volatile Solids

V : Digester Working Volume

V<sub>B</sub> : Biogas Volume

VSS : Volatile Suspended Solid

V<sub>ss</sub> : Volume of Settled Sludge in Effluent

X : Mixed Liquor Suspended Solid

Y : Growth Yield Coefficient

 $Y_G$ : True Growth Yield Constant

μ : Net Specific Biomass Growth Rate

μ : Maximum Mixrobial Specific Growth Rate

Abstract of the thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Pertanian Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

THE USE OF FLOCCULANTS IN ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF PALM OIL MILL EFFLUENT

by

CHEW THEAN YEAN

September 1994

Chairman:

Dr. Nasiman Sapari

Faculty

Science and Environmental Studies

The use of flocculant in the anaerobic digestion of palm oil mill effluent (POME) was studied. The use of flocculant had enabled pelletization in mesophilic anaerobic digestion to occur within three months of start-up for the substrate which is difficult to granulate naturally. The effect of pelletization had resulted in an increase in biomass concentration and produce a good-solid-liquid separation within the digester resulting in enhanced treatment performance and the ability to tolerate higher organic loads.

Various flocculants were initially tested to assess their effectiveness in forming flocs which exhibited good settling properties with no inhibitory effects on the anaerobic digestion of POME. Zetag 88N, a cationic polyacrylamide of high molecular density was found to be the most effective flocculant. Once pelletization occured, flocculant dosage was able to be discontinued without affecting the process performance.



Two semi-continuous digesters, each of 4 liter capacity and equipped with a stirrer, were operated on a fill and draw system at mesophilic (37°C) and thermophilic (55°C) temperatures. The results indicated that stable mesophilic anaerobic digestion can be achieved up to a maximum organic loading rate of 19 kg COD m<sup>-3</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> (HRT = 3.6 days) with 96% COD removal efficiency. This rate is three times better than the contact process. The effect of the higher temperature enabled an increase in the organic loading rate to 27 kg COD m<sup>-3</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> accompanied by a shorter hydraulic retention time (1.8 days) and higher methane yield (0.27 m<sup>3</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> COD destroyed) with 91% COD removal efficiency.

The kinetic coefficients of Y,  $k_d$ , k,  $K_s$  and  $\theta_c^m$  in the mesophilic anaerobic digestion of POME were determined to be 0.48 mg VSS mg<sup>-1</sup> BOD utilised, 0.057 day<sup>-1</sup>, 1.96 day<sup>-1</sup>, 2627 mg BOD  $\ell^1$  and 1.3 days respectively using the Lawrence and McCarty model. Preliminary economic assessment showed the process to be viable.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Pertanian Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains.

### PENGGUNAAN FLOKULANT DALAM PENCERNAAN ANAEROBIK EFLUEN KELAPA SAWIT

oleh

### CHEW THEAN YEAN

September 1994

Pengerusi

Dr. Nasiman Sapari

Fakulti

Sains dan Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Tesis ini membentangkan penemuan kajian penggunaan flokulant dalam proses pencernaan efluen kelapa sawit. Penggunaan flokulant dalam pencernaan anaerobik mesofilik telah menghasilkan phenomena pembentukan pelet ("pelletization") terhadap substrak yang biasanya sukar membentuk granul secara semulajadi dalam tempoh tiga bulan selepas permulaan pencernaan. Kesan dari pembentukan pelet ini telah dapat meningkatkan kepekatan biomass dan seterusnya dapat menghasilkan pemisahan pepejal dengan baik. Kewujudan pelet ini juga dapat meningkatkan ketahanan reaktor terhadap bebanan organik yang tinggi.

Beberapa jenis flokulant telah diuji untuk menentukan kebolehannya membentuk flok yang boleh mendak dengan cepat dan yang tidak mengganggu proses pencernaan anaerobik. Zetag 88N, suatu poliakrilamida kation yang mempunyai kepadatan molekular tinggi didapati flokulasi yang paling berkesan. Setelah



pembentukan pelet berlaku, proses pencemaan boleh terus berjalan tanpa penambahan flokulant.

Dua pencerna separa berterusan bermuatan 4 liter dilengkapi dengan pengacau, telah dijalankan pada suhu mesofilik (37°C) dan termofilik (55°C). Keputusan kajian menunjukkan proses pencernaan anaerobik yang stabil boleh dicapai dengan kadar bebanan organik maksimum 19 kg COD m<sup>-3</sup> hari<sup>-1</sup> dan masa tahanan hidraulik, 3.6 hari. Kecekapan reaktor ialah 96%. Kadar ini adalah tiga kali ganda lebih tinggi daripada proses kontak. Peningkatan suhu telah membolehkan penambahan bebanan organik kepada 27 kg COD m<sup>-3</sup> hari<sup>-1</sup> diikuti dengan masa tahanan hidraulik yang singkat, iaitu 1.3 hari dan penghasilan gas methana sebanyak 0.27 m<sup>3</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> COD termusnah. Kecekapan rawatan adalah sebanyak 91%.

Dengan menggunakan Model Lawrence dan McCarty, Pekali Kinetik iaitu Y,  $k_d$ , k,  $K_s$  dan  $\theta_c^m$  dalam proses pencernaan pada tahap mesofilik adalah 0.48 mg VSS mg-1 BOD termusnah, 0.057 day-1, 1.96 day-1, 2627 mg BOD  $\ell^1$  dan 1.3 hari masingmasing. Penilaian awal ekonomi menunjukkan proses pencernaan anaerobik menggunakan flokulant dapat menjimatkan kos pembinaan reaktor untuk rawatan efluen kelapa sawit.



#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

### **Background**

Malaysia has a strong and well developed agro-based industry (e.g. palm oil, rubber and sago) which through the years have been contributing to pollution in natural rivers. With the continued growth in size and scale of this industry and the development of industries related to down-stream processing of these agro-industries, the pollution problem has been increasing in both severity and public awareness.

Since the enactment of the Environmental Quality (Crude Palm Oil) Regulation 1977 which specified a final BOD effluent of 50 ppm, several treatment systems have been developed by the palm oil industry in Malaysia (Chan and Chooi, 1982; Lim 1981; Lim et al., 1984). Palm oil mill effluent (POME) having a high organic content is easily amenable to biodegradation. Therefore, the treatment systems for POME consists essentially of anaerobic and aerobic or facultative processes. The three most common treatment systems adopted are the ponding, opentank digester with extended aeration and close tank digester with biogas recovery and land application systems. Of these, the ponding system is adopted by more than 85% of the palm oil mills in Malaysia (Ma and Ong, 1985).



All these treatment systems have their disadvantages due either to incomplete treatment, large land area or high capital and/or running costs. Overall, it would appear that the development of a high rate and efficient anaerobic process would be the most effective solution (Cail and Lane, 1986).

### Objectives of Research Work

The present work aims to study a high rate anaerobic process for treating POME with the purpose of solving the pollution control problems towards compliance with the regulatory standards. The high rate anaerobic process is highly attractive as an effective treatment system due to savings in land required for housing the treatment system as well as low capital and running costs of the system itself. Moreover, the production of biogas can be harnessed as fuel to generate heat and electricity and the production of the treated waste sludge can be used as fertilizer to assist in recovering back the treatment costs. The process may also be able to absorb shock loads, a common problem which the other systems are unable to handle.

Existing anaerobic treatment systems suffer inefficiencies due to the low biomass yield and the poor separation of solids from liquids. The biological system which have been chosen seeks to overcome these two problems by the use of flocculants, which assist to artificially granulate the active digester bacteria and biomass resulting in a high separation of solid from liquid. This would result in improved treatment efficiency with high loading rates and shorter retention times coupled with a significant reduction in start-up time.



Thus, the objectives of this research are to be achieved along the following investigations:

- (a) To select a non-inhibitory flocculant for use in anaerobic digestion which will yield biomass aggregation with good settling properties.
- (b) To investigate the performance of a semi-continuous digester, that uses flocculant, to treat palm oil mill effluent at mesophilic temperature.
- (c) To investigate and compare the improved performance of the modified anaerobic digestion, that uses flocculants, to treat palm oil mill effluent at thermophilic temperature as against mesophilic temperature.
- (d) To derive the process kinetics of the systems.
- (e) To ascertain the economic viability of the system.

#### **Direction of Research**

Chapter II reviews the recent development in the anaerobic digestion for the treatment of wastewater leading to the use of flocculants as well as the POME treatment technologies in Malaysia. Reference material (e.g. granulation, fundamentals of anaerobic digestion, kinetics) were provided for understanding and development of the present research study.

Chapter III outlines the methodology developed for assessing the relative effectiveness of the chemical flocculants for biomass accumulation. Subsequently the experimental and analytical procedures for the operation of the anaerobic digesters at mesophilic and thermophilic temperatures with the use of the selected flocculant are described.

