



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**INFLUENCE OF SLOW-RELEASE FERTILISERS ON GROWTH  
OF RUBBER (*HEVEA BRASILIENSIS*) SEEDLINGS**

**TONG VIET THINH**

**FP 1997 16**

**INFLUENCE OF SLOW-RELEASE FERTILISERS ON GROWTH  
OF RUBBER (*HEVEA BRASILIENSIS*) SEEDLINGS**

By

TONG VIET THINH

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Agricultural Science in the Faculty of Agriculture,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

June 1997



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my grateful thanks and great appreciation to Prof. Dr. Sharifuddin Haji Abdul Hamid, chairman of the supervisory committee for his very precious guidance and advice during my course of research. I also would like to extend my heartfelt thanks for the extremely valuable admonition and suggestion from members of the supervisory committee, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zaharah Abdul Rahman, Dr. Mohd. Yusoff Bin Mohd. Noor and Dr. Mahmud Abdul Wahab.

To the Rubber Research Institute of Vietnam and the World Bank, who provided me a good chance of fostering my professional knowledge by providing financial support and arranging the favourable conditions of the study, I owe them more than the traditional word of thanks. Personally, my dearest gratitude are also due to Mr. Mai Van Son, Director, Rubber Research Institute of Vietnam, and Mr. Christopher E. W. Arnold, Training Adviser, Agricultural Rehabilitation Project, World Bank.

For those who helped me, directly or indirectly, to complete my work satisfactorily, their names are heartily engraved in my mind. I also wish to express my sincere thanks for the warm welcome and enthusiastic help



rendered by the persons with whom I took counsel, contacted and worked in the laboratories, departments, divisions, administration offices, financial units and others at UPM, RRIM and RRIV.

I thank all of my friends for their kind support and care in relation to my work. Finally, as my best friends, I especially wish to manifest a deep gratitude to my wife and children for strengthening me with their immutable and sweet love.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	ii
LIST OF TABLES .....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES .....	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	xi
ABSTRACT .....	xii
ABSTRAK .....	xv
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
I INTRODUCTION .....	1
II REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....	4
Classification of Slow-release Fertilisers .....	4
Slowly Soluble Fertilisers .....	6
Coated Fertilisers .....	6
Nitrification Inhibitor-mixed Fertilisers .....	8
Crop Objects Used in Slow-release Fertiliser Studies .....	10
Woody Plants .....	10
Fruit Trees .....	11
Cereal Crops .....	12
Vegetables .....	12
Flowers .....	14
Some Results from Past Slow-release Fertiliser Studies.....	14
Release of Slow-release Fertilisers .....	14
Nutrient Uptake by Plants from Slow-release Fertilisers .....	17
Effects of Slow-release Fertilisers on Growth and Yield of Plants .....	19
III MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	23
Soil-incubation Experiment .....	27
Treatments .....	27
Materials and Management .....	28
Fertiliser Decomposition .....	29
Fertiliser Analyses .....	30
Soil Analyses .....	31
Nutrient Accumulation in Soil from Fertilisers .....	33
Data Processing .....	33



Glass-house Experiment .....	35
Treatments .....	35
Materials and Management .....	37
Fertiliser Analyses .....	38
Soil Analyses .....	39
Plant Tissue Analyses .....	39
<sup>15</sup> N Application and Calculation .....	41
Growth Parameters .....	43
Data Processing .....	44
IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS .....	46
Soil-incubation Experiment .....	46
Fertiliser Decomposition .....	46
Acidity of Fertiliser-incubated soil .....	49
Nitrogen Release of Fertilisers .....	51
Extractable Phosphorus in Fertiliser-incubated Soil .....	56
Exchangeable K, Ca and Mg in Fertiliser-incubated Soil .....	59
Correlation between Decomposition and Nutrient Release of Fertilisers .....	64
Glass-house Experiment .....	66
Growth Rate of Rubber Seedlings .....	66
Diameter .....	67
Height .....	69
Dry Weights .....	71
Residual Effect of Fertilisers in Soil .....	74
Nutrient Content in Plant Tissue .....	76
Total Nutrient Uptake by Rubber Seedlings .....	81
Nitrogen Uptake from SRF by Rubber Seedlings .....	86
Correlation between Growth and Plant Analyses of Rubber Seedlings .....	95
V SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....	100
BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	108
APPENDICES .....	129
A Original Data in the Soil-incubation Experiment .....	130
B Analysis of Variance in the Soil-incubation Experiment .....	138
C Original Data in the Glass-house Experiment .....	145
D Analysis of Variance in the Glass-house Experiment .....	180
VITA .....	205



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Some Characteristics of Soils Used in the Two Experiments .....	24
2	Specifications of Fertilisers Used in the Two Experiments.....	25
3	Fertiliser Doses Applied in the Two Experiments .....	26
4	Treatments of the Soil-incubation Experiment .....	28
5	Structure of Analysis of Variance in the Soil-incubation Experiment.....	34
6	Treatments of the Glass-house Experiment .....	35
7	Average Amount of Water Used for Watering Seedlings in the Glass-house Experiment .....	38
8	Structure of Analysis of Variance in the Glass-house Experiment	45
9	Decomposition of Fertilisers Incubated in Soil .....	47
10	Acidity of Fertiliser-incubated Soil .....	50
11	Release of Nitrogen in Fertiliser-incubated Soil .....	52
12	Extractable P in Fertiliser-incubated Soil .....	57
13	Accumulation of Extractable P and Its Relative Release Rate .....	59
14	Exchangeable K, Ca and Mg in Fertiliser-incubated Soil .....	60
15	Accumulation of Exchangeable K and Its Relative Release Rate..	62
16	Correlation between Fertiliser Decomposition and Fertiliser-nutrient Accumulation in Soil .....	65
17	Stem Diameter of Rubber Seedling .....	68



18	Height of Rubber Seedling .....	70
19	Dry Weights of Different Plant Parts of Rubber Seedling .....	72
20	Chemical Property of Initial and Final Soils in the Glass-house Experiment.....	74
21a	Nutrient Content of Rubber Seedling at 60 DAP .....	77
21b	Nutrient Content of Rubber Seedling at 120 DAP .....	78
21c	Nutrient Content of Rubber Seedling at 180 DAP .....	79
22a	Total Nutrient Uptake by Rubber Seedlings at 60 DAP .....	82
22b	Total Nutrient Uptake by Rubber Seedlings at 120 DAP .....	83
22c	Total Nutrient Uptake by Rubber Seedlings at 180 DAP .....	85
23a	Results from <sup>15</sup> N Isotope Study on Rubber Seedlings at 60 DAP ..	88
23b	Results from <sup>15</sup> N Isotope Study on Rubber Seedlings at 120 DAP	89
23c	Results from <sup>15</sup> N Isotope Study on Rubber Seedlings at 180 DAP	90
24	Correlation between Growth and Plant Analysis of Rubber Seedling .....	97
25	Correlation between Growth and Nutrient Uptake of Rubber Seedling .....	99
26	Initial Fertiliser Analyses .....	131
27	Fertiliser Decomposition .....	132
28a	Soil Analyses at 15 DAI .....	133
28b	Soil Analyses at 30 DAI .....	134
28c	Soil Analyses at 60 DAI .....	135
28d	Soil Analyses at 120 DAI .....	136
28e	Soil Analyses at 180 DAI .....	137





29	ANOVA of Fertiliser Decomposition .....	139
30a	ANOVA of Soil Analyses at 15 DAI .....	140
30b	ANOVA of Soil Analyses at 30 DAI .....	141
30c	ANOVA of Soil Analyses at 60 DAI .....	142
30d	ANOVA of Soil Analyses at 120 DAI .....	143
30e	ANOVA of Soil Analyses at 180 DAI .....	144
31	Chemical Analyses of Rubber Seed .....	146
32	Diameter Records on Rubber Seedlings .....	147
33	Height Records on Rubber Seedlings .....	148
34	Dry-weight Records on Rubber Seedlings .....	149
35	Chemical Analyses of Final Soil .....	150
36a	Chemical Analyses of Rubber Seedling at 60 DAP .....	151
36b	Chemical Analyses of Rubber Seedling at 120 DAP .....	153
36c	Chemical Analyses of Rubber Seedling at 180 DAP .....	155
37a	Total Nutrient Uptake at 60 DAP .....	157
37b	Total Nutrient Uptake at 120 DAP .....	160
37c	Total Nutrient Uptake at 180 DAP .....	163
38	<sup>15</sup> N Analysis Data of Rubber Seedling .....	166
39a	<sup>15</sup> N Analysis-based Calculations at 60 DAP .....	168
39b	<sup>15</sup> N Analysis-based Calculations at 120 DAP .....	172
39c	<sup>15</sup> N Analysis-based Calculations at 180 DAP .....	176
40	ANOVA of Diameter .....	181



41	ANOVA of Height .....	183
42	ANOVA of Dry Weight .....	185
43a	ANOVA of Plant Tissue Analyses at 60 DAP .....	187
43b	ANOVA of Plant Tissue Analyses at 120 DAP.....	189
43c	ANOVA of Plant Tissue Analyses at 180 DAP .....	191
44a	ANOVA of Total Nutrient Uptake at 60 DAP .....	193
44b	ANOVA of Total Nutrient Uptake at 120 DAP .....	195
44c	ANOVA of Total Nutrient Uptake at 180 DAP .....	197
45a	ANOVA of Data from $^{15}\text{N}$ Isotope Study at 60 DAP .....	199
45b	ANOVA of Data from $^{15}\text{N}$ Isotope Study at 120 DAP .....	201
45c	ANOVA of Data from $^{15}\text{N}$ Isotope Study at 180 DAP .....	203



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
1	The Lay-out Plan of Glass-house Experiment .....	36
2	Percentage Nitrogen Release in Fertiliser-incubated Soil .....	55
3	Accumulation of Fertiliser N in Rubber Seedlings .....	94



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

a.e.	Atom excess
AB	Agroblen
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BT	Best Tab
CON	Control treatment
DAI	Day after incubation
DAP	Day after planting
DF	Degree of freedom
F	F distribution
FNY	Fertiliser nitrogen yield
FUE	Fertiliser utilisation efficiency
KN	Kokei Nugget
LAS	Labelled ammonium sulphate
MS	Mean of squares
NA	Nurseryace
Ndff	Nitrogen derived from fertiliser
NY	Nitrophoska Yellow
RRIM	Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia
RRIV	Rubber Research Institute of Vietnam
SRF	Slow-release fertiliser
SS	Sum of squares
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of the Thesis Presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra  
Malaysia in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Agricultural Science

**INFLUENCE OF SLOW-RELEASE FERTILISERS ON GROWTH OF  
RUBBER (*Hevea Brasiliensis*) SEEDLINGS**

By

TONG VIET THINH

June, 1997

Chairman: Prof. Dr. Sharifuddin Haji Abdul Hamid  
Faculty: Agriculture

Rubber, *Hevea brasiliensis*, is a valuable crop of some countries in Asia. In the rubber industry, fertiliser application is one of the most effective methods to enhance growth of rubber seedlings in the nursery. However, application of chemical fertilisers has several disadvantages such as fast nutrient leaching, fertiliser burn and requirement of intensive labour for split applications. In recent years, slow-release fertilisers have been introduced to solve the above problems on many crops including rubber. Studies on the effect of slow-release fertilisers on rubber are limited and there has been no work reported on the use of isotope technique to accurately study nutrient uptake and efficiency of fertilisers use.



This study was set up with the aim of studying the rate of nutrient release of slow-release fertilisers and their effect on the performance of rubber seedlings using  $^{15}\text{N}$  isotope dilution technique. Two experiments, namely, laboratory incubation and glass-house experiments were conducted. Five fertilisers were evaluated, four of which were slow-release fertilisers (Agroblen, Best Tab, Kokei Nugget and Nurseryace) and one conventional chemical fertiliser (Nitrophoska Yellow). In the soil-incubation experiment, Bungor and Sungai Buloh series soils were used, while in the glass-house experiment, only Bungor series soil was used

Results from the incubation experiment showed that during the period of incubation, Nitrophoska Yellow gave the fastest rate of fertiliser decomposition and NPK release, while Nurseryace were persistently the lowest. Higher fertiliser decomposition and release of N and K were obtained in Bungor series soil as compared to Sungai Buloh series soil. However phosphorus release in Bungor series soil was lower than that in Sungai Buloh series soil. It was found that there was a very close relationship between fertiliser decomposition and NPK release of slow-release fertilisers, particularly for Kokei Nugget and Nitrophoska Yellow.

In the glass-house experiment, evaluation at 180 days after planting showed that among the four slow-release fertilisers applied, Best Tab gave the

highest growth and also gave the highest nitrogen uptake, total uptake of N, P, K, Ca and Mg, and fertiliser utilisation efficiency. Meanwhile, Nitrophoska Yellow gave the lowest values of fertiliser nitrogen yield, fertiliser utilisation efficiency as well as total nutrient uptake.

The results obtained from the two experiments showed that Best Tab slow-release fertiliser is the best fertiliser as compared to the other slow-release fertilisers tested under the conditions of the study.

Abstrak Tesis yang Dikemukakan Kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
Sebagai Memenuhi Syarat Keperluan Untuk Ijazah Master Sains Pertanian

**PENGARUH BAJA LEPASAN PERLAHAN TERHADAP  
PERTUMBUHAN ANAK BENIH POKOK GETAH**

Oleh

TONG VIET THINH

Jun, 1997

Pengerusi: Prof. Dr. Sharifuddin Haji Abdul Hamid  
Fakulti: Pertanian

Pokok getah, *Hevea brasiliensis*, adalah tanaman bernilai di beberapa negara Asia. Dalam industri getah, pembajaan adalah kaedah yang berkesan untuk meningkatkan pertumbuhan anak benih getah di tapak semaian. Walau bagaimanapun penggunaan baja kimia mempunyai beberapa masalah seperti larutlesap nutrien yang cepat, pemeruapan baja, keperluan membaja dengan kerap dan keperluan buruh yang tinggi. Kebelakangan ini, baja lepasan perlahan telah diperkenalkan bagi menyelesaikan masalah yang dinyatakan di atas bagi kebanyakan jenis tanaman termasuk getah. Kajian kesan baja lepasan perlahan ke atas getah adalah terhad dan sehingga kini, laporan mengenai penggunaan teknik isotop bagi mengkaji pengambilan nutrien dan keberkesanan baja oleh getah juga tidak di depati.





Kajian ini adalah dijalankan untuk mengkaji kadar lepasan baja lepasan perlahan, dan pengambilan nitrogen untuk perkembangan anak benih getah dengan menggunakan teknik pencairan isotop  $^{15}\text{N}$ . Kajian ini melibatkan dua eksperimen, iaitu eksperimen pengeraman dan eksperimen rumah kaca. Lima jenis baja telah dinilai, empat daripadanya adalah baja lepasan perlahan (Agroblen, Best Tab, Kokei Nugget dan Nurseryace) dan satu baja kimia biasa iaitu Nitrophoska Kuning. Dalam eksperimen pengeraman, tanah siri Bungor dan siri Sungai Buloh telah digunakan manakala dalam eksperimen rumah kaca, hanya tanah siri Bungor digunakan.

Keputusan yang diperolehi daripada eksperimen pengeraman telah menunjukkan baja Nitrophoska Kuning menghasilkan kadar penguraian baja dan pelepasan NPK yang paling cepat. Sementara itu, Nurseryace merupakan yang paling lambat. Di antara kedua jenis tanah, siri Bungor telah mempamerkan penguraian baja dan pembebasan N dan K yang lebih cepat berbanding dengan tanah siri Sungai Buloh, manakala pembebasan P pula adalah lebih tinggi bagi tanah siri Sungai Buloh berbanding dengan tanah siri Bungor. Terdapat perhubungan yang baik di antara penguraian baja dan pembebasan NPK terutamanya oleh Kokei Nugget dan Nitrophoska Kuning.

Dalam eksperimen rumah kaca, penilaian pada hari ke 180 selepas penanaman telah mendapati bahawa Best Tab memberikan pertumbuhan yang

paling tinggi dan menghasilkan pengambilan nitrogen, jumlah pengambilan N, P, K, Ca dan Mg, dan keberkesanan penggunaan baja yang paling tinggi. Dalam pada itu, pengambilan nitrogen dan keberkesanan pembajaan bagi Nitrophoska Kuning adalah yang paling rendah.

Berdasarkan keputusan dari kedua-dua eksperimen, didapati bahawa Best Tab merupakan baja lepasan perlahan yang paling baik berbanding dengan lain-lain baja lepasan perlahan yang dikaji.

## **CHAPTER I**

### **INTRODUCTION**

Slow-release or controlled-release fertiliser (SRF) is a special chemical compound fertiliser that releases its nutrients slowly and safely for plants. So far, only some countries have manufactured slow-release fertilisers, such as, Japan, United States of America, Netherlands and Switzerland. In slow-release fertiliser applications, generally, the amount of nutrient required for plant is bulk-applied to soil at only one application per year. The slow-release fertiliser can maintain its nutrients and functions in soil from a few months up to a few years depending on the manufacturing process of manufacturers. It has been reported that, though slow-release fertilisers were applied with a single application, they gave minimum nutrient leaching, no fertiliser burn, satisfactory nutrient supply and high performance on growth of plants. Because of these advantages, slow-release fertilisers have been and will hopefully become a promising new generation of fertiliser for use in various crops.



In rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) cultivation it is well known that the quality of seedlings or stocks in the nursery will determine the crop performance in the field, and that fertiliser application is one of the most effective methods to enhance growth of rubber seedlings. Currently, chemical fertilisers are used in the rubber nursery which may result in fertiliser burn of roots, rapid nutrient leaching in soil, as well as higher labour demand for split applications.

In view of its controlled nutrient release properties, slow-release fertilisers are greatly expected to overcome the problems imposed by conventional fertilisers. In recent years, many different kinds of slow-release fertilisers have been made available in the market, and each of them was recommended for certain crops. Nevertheless, actual efficiency of slow-release fertilisers on growth of crops, including rubber seedlings, have not been shown to be satisfactory. In other words, in order to identify proper kinds of slow-release fertiliser for rubber seedlings, intensive studies in this area is required.

At present, in Asian countries, slow-release fertilisers are neither popular nor widely used due to their high cost, and therefore, there have been few slow-release fertiliser trials. Besides, on a global scale, to date only a few slow-release fertiliser studies have been done on rubber trees, and there was absolutely no slow-release fertiliser investigation which employed isotope technique to study nutrient uptake and fertiliser efficiency. Due to this and on

the basis of some slow-release fertilisers available for nursery plants, this project was conducted using  $^{15}\text{N}$  isotope dilution technique to

- 1) study the release rate of slow-release fertilisers on two types of Malaysian soils,
- 2) determine the efficiency of nutrient uptake from slow-release fertilisers by rubber seedlings, and
- 3) evaluate the influence of slow-release fertilisers on the performance of rubber seedlings.

## **CHAPTER II**

### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Due to the shortcomings of normal chemical fertilisers, such as, fertiliser burn, fast leaching, and surface runoff, extensive efforts have been undertaken to search for a new type of fertiliser that can overcome these disadvantages. Since the 1960s the United States, Japan and some European countries have taken the lead in manufacturing such new fertilisers. They are chemically compound fertilisers and usually called slow-release fertilisers or controlled-release fertilisers. Thus far, many of SRF have been manufactured and tested on various crops.

#### **Classification of Slow-release Fertilisers**

For slow-release fertilisers, efforts to achieve controlled availability of applied nutrients has been centred in two major areas. One was through controlled release either by using slowly soluble compounds or by coating soluble compounds with an insoluble or inert material. Coated materials will gradually be decomposed followed by slow nutrient release. A second area was



through protected availability. This was designed to keep the nutrients in a form that is readily available to crops but partially protected from immobilisation or nitrification in the soil system, especially in anaerobic condition. Nitrification inhibitors are included in this area (Stangel, 1970).

The term 'controlled-release fertiliser' or 'slow-release fertiliser' refers to a fertiliser that for any reason releases its nutrient contents over an extended period or releases plant nutrients slowly throughout a growing season or even several continuous growing seasons (Hignett, 1974). In other words, slow-release fertilisers are the ones that release their plant nutrients at a rate which possibly permits maximum plant uptake and reduces minimally nutrient loss due to leaching and surface runoff (Tajuddin, 1979).

Slow-release fertilisers contain one or more of the popular plant nutrients, such as N, P, K and sometimes some additional micro-nutrient elements. However, in practice, the need for a slow-release nitrogen fertiliser is more acute than for phosphate, potash or micro nutrient elements (Hignett, 1974). Hence, the manifold of slow-release fertilisers mainly comes from nitrogen fertilisers. Three main groups of slow-release fertilisers are slowly soluble fertilisers, coated fertilisers, and nitrification inhibitor-mixed fertilisers.

## Slowly Soluble Fertilisers

Slowly soluble fertilisers are chemical compounds that are only slightly soluble in water and usually made by reacting water-soluble fertilisers with inert materials (Tajuddin, 1979). Most slowly soluble fertilisers are related to urea. For this kind of slow-release fertiliser, urea component is often included, for example, Best Tab, CDU (cyclodiurea), FU (2 furfuralidene 3 urea), Glycoluril, IBDU (isobutylidene diurea), Nurseryace, Urea-Z (mixtures of ethylene ureas), Ureform (urea-formaldehyde).

The general process of making slowly soluble fertilisers were reported as follows: i) Water-soluble nitrogen sources are reacted chemically with an inert chemical at a required ratio and temperature; ii) After that, before cooling and solidifying, the mixture is divided into desired particles in a granule-maker; iii) With different inert chemicals, ratios and particle sizes, different types and characters of slowly soluble fertilisers are manufactured (Abad *et al.*, 1989; Guyot *et al.*, 1990; Riemenschneider, 1984)

## Coated Fertilisers

So far, coated fertilisers have been popular with the well-known brands available in the market, such as Agriform, Agrobolen, Basacote, Ficote, Gold-N





(sulphur-coated urea), Lewatit, Nutricote, Osmaform, Osmocote, Plantacote, Plantosan, Vitamon. A wide range of materials and techniques have been explored to produce more and more effective controlled-release fertilisers. Numerous covering materials were used for such slow-release fertilisers, for instance, plastic films, resins, waxes and other barriers. The most popular coating material was a copolymer of dicyclopentadien and glycerol ester. This material allows for a fertiliser-nutrient release based on osmotic exchange as the material comes in contact with soil water. Coated granular fertilisers were manufactured by Archer Daniels Midland company (ADM Co.) under the trade name Osmocote from 1964, and since 1974 they have been manufactured and marketed by Sierra Chemical Company under exclusive licensing from ADM Company. Osmocote was recommended for nursery stocks, turf, floriculture and high-value row crops (Powell, 1968).

According to Mahara *et al.* (1992), coated granular fertilisers essentially consist of fertiliser granules that are selected from urea, ammonium sulphate, ammonium chloride, ammonium nitrate, potassium chloride, potassium sulphate, potassium nitrate, sodium nitrate, ammonium phosphate, potassium phosphate or calcium phosphate, which are covered on the outside with a copolymer coat in 5-40% (w/w) ratio. The copolymer comprises units of vinyl chloride and ethylene in a proportion of 50:50 - 90:10 by weight

Sulphur-coated urea (SCU) is another controlled-release fertiliser that has been developed by Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) since the 1960s

