



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

THE DESIGN OF CRUDE PALM OIL CLARIFIER

SULAIMAN A. S. AL-ZUHAIR

FK 1998 7

THE DESIGN OF CRUDE PALM OIL CLARIFIER

By

SULAIMAN A. S. AL-ZUHAIR

**Thesis Submitted in the Fulfillment of the Requirement for the
Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Engineering,
Universiti Putra Malaysia.**

July, 1998



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to Associate Professor Dr. Tan Ka Kheng, Chairman of my supervisory committee, for his keen interest, invaluable assistance and guidance throughout this study. My gratitude goes to Dr. Mohammed Daud for his invaluable help in getting me finance to complete this study. Gratitude is also extended to Dr. Cheah K. Y. for his contributions in making this programme successful. I also wish to thank Mr. Chow B. P., the managing director of AquaKimia Sdn. Bhd., for his help and guidance throughout this study.

I would like to take this opportunity to show my appreciation to my father, mother, and friends for their support and belief in me. I wish to dedicate this work to my family back home in Iraq.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
ABSTRACT	xx
ABSTRAK	xxii
 CHAPTER	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	6
Introduction	6
Viscosity of CPO	6
Factors Affecting Viscosity of CPO	7
Using Gentle Mixing to Reduce Oil Loss	14
Theory of Settling	15
Newtonian Fluids Analysis	15
Newtonian Fluid Analysis of Hindered Settling	16
Applying the Theory of Settling on Non-Newtonian Fluids ..	18
The Conventional Way of Determining the Design Criteria for CPO Settler	20
Liquid/Liquid Gravity Settling	27
The Theory of Coagulation	42
Using Plate Settlers	53
Summary	57
III. METHODOLOGY	59
Introduction	59
Apparent Viscosity of CPO	59
Solid/Liquid Batch Experiment	60
Liquid/Liquid Batch Experiment	61



The Jar Test	62
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	66
Introduction	66
Apparent Viscosity of CPO	66
Solid/Liquid Batch Settling Experiment	70
Liquid/liquid Batch Settling Experiment	73
The Jar Test	80
V. CONCLUSIONS	86
VI. FUTURE STUDIES	87
REFERENCES	89
APPENDIX	94
A. Measurements of The Apparent Viscosity of CPO	94
B. Design Criteria Determination by Solid/Liquid Approach	95
C. Design Criteria Determination by Liquid/Liquid Approach	131
D. Calculations of the Height of N'Dian Factory	169
E. The Results of the Jar Test	170
VITA	178



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Effect of temperature on the density of crude palm oil	8
2. Viscosity of crude oil	10
3. Oil particle size in crude palm oil	12
4. Average reduction in the viscosity of crude palm oil after passing through top and bottom screens at 60 °C and 80 °C (measurement done at shear rate of 3 r.p.m.)	14
5. Analysis results for various shear	14
6. The mechanism of coagulation in different systems	51
7. Comparison between solid/liquid and liquid/liquid approaches	58
8. Values of k and n at different temperatures	67
9. The highest, lowest, and average values for the predicted settler height and Retention time	77
10. Comparison of the sizes of three industrial CPO mills, and sizes predicted by the liquid/liquid, and the solid/liquid analysis	78
11. The effect of using the doses flocc. 5998 polymer during CPO clarification on an annual CPO production of 8 million tonnes	83
12. Readings from the rotary viscometer experiment	94
13. CPO settling test (1)	95
14. CPO settling test (2)	97
15. CPO settling test (3)	99
16. CPO settling test (4)	101
17. CPO settling test (5)	103



18. CPO settling test (6)	105
19. CPO settling test (7)	107
20. CPO settling test (8)	109
21. CPO settling test (9)	111
22. CPO settling test (10)	113
23. CPO settling test (11)	115
24. CPO settling test (12)	117
25. CPO settling test (13)	119
26. CPO settling test (14)	121
27. CPO settling test (15)	123
28. The clear oil layer changes with time, test (1)	125
29. The clear oil layer changes with time, test (2)	126
30. The clear oil layer changes with time, test (3)	127
31. The results of the solid/liquid batch settling test	130
32. Oil/water Batch settling test (1)	131
33. Oil/water Batch settling test (2)	133
34. Oil/water Batch settling test (3)	135
35. Oil/water Batch settling test (4)	137
36. Oil/water Batch settling test (5)	139
37. Oil/water Batch settling test (6)	141
38. Oil/water Batch settling test (7)	143
39. Oil/water Batch settling test (8)	145



40. Oil/water Batch settling test (9)	147
41. Oil/water Batch settling test (10)	149
42. Oil/water Batch settling test (11)	151
43. Oil/water Batch settling test (12)	153
44. Oil/water Batch settling test (13)	155
45. Oil/water Batch settling test (14)	157
46. Oil/water Batch settling test (15)	159
47. The results of the liquid/liquid batch settling test	161
48. Oil/water batch settling test for the long tube, test (1)	162
49. Oil/water batch settling test for the long tube, test (2)	164
50. Oil/water batch settling test for the long tube, test (3)	166
51. Results of the long tube experiment	168
52. The results of the jar test	170



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Illustration of a conventional palm oil mill	5
2. Shear stress versus shear rate of crude palm oil at 80 °C	9
3. Viscosity versus shear rate for different temperature of dilute crude oil ...	9
4. The effect of temperature on the settling rate of crude oil	11
5. The settling curve	21
6. Sketch of a continuous settler	22
7. Finding the minimum flux	22
8. The procedure to find Z_c and t_c	24
9. Determination of settler depth technique	25
10 Volume fraction of the dispersed phase versus height from the coalescence front	28
11 The Change in the steady state dispersion height with the dispersion Throughput	29
12. Interfaces relation with time for batch settler	31
13. Schematic representation of sedimentation and densey packed zone in steady state settler	32
14. Decay of an unsteady state batch dispersion	32
15. Change in batch dispersion height with time	38
16. Change in height of dispersion versus time in sigmoidal batch decay	39
17. Charge configuration in colloidal system	44



18. Charge system in colloidal system	45
19. Ionic compression	46
20. Inter molecular bridging	48
21. Results of jar test as increasing turbidity	46
22. Apparatus for determination of optimum plate inclination	51
23. Dependency of sedimentation efficiency on surface loading rate and plate Angle	55
24. Efficiency versus plate angle for different surface loading rates	56
25. The layers after settling in the jar test	63
26. The variation of $\ln(\gamma)$ with $\ln(\eta)$ at different temperatures	67
27 $\ln(\eta/\gamma^{r-1})$ versus $1/T$	68
28. Comparison between the results of this study and Lim(1977)	69
29 The changes in oil layer with time for CPO settling	71
30. The changes of the oil/water mixture layer with time	74
31. CPO batch settling test (1)	95
32. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (1)	96
33. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (1)	96
34. CPO batch settling test (2)	97
35. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (2)	98
36. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (2)	98
37. CPO batch settling test (3)	99
38. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (3)	100



39. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (3)	100
40. CPO batch settling test (4)	101
41. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (4)	102
42. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (4)	102
43. CPO batch settling test (5)	103
44. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (5)	104
45. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (5)	104
46. CPO batch settling test (6)	105
47. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (6)	106
48. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (6)	106
49. CPO batch settling test (7)	107
50. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (7)	108
51. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (7)	108
52. CPO batch settling test (8)	109
53. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (8)	110
54. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (8)	110
55. CPO batch settling test (9)	111
56. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (9)	112
57. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (9)	112
58. CPO batch settling test (10)	113
59. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (10)	114
60. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (10)	114



61. CPO batch settling test (11)	115
62. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (11)	116
63. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (11)	116
64. CPO batch settling test (12)	117
65. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (12)	118
66. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (12)	118
67. CPO batch settling test (13)	119
68. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (13)	120
69. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (13)	120
70. CPO batch settling test (14)	121
71. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (14)	122
72. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (14)	122
73. CPO batch settling test (15)	123
74. $H-H_{oo}/H_o-H_{oo}$ versus time for test (15)	124
75. Velocity of the oil layer versus time for test (15)	124
76. Changes in the height of the clear oil layer with and without the use of polymers, test (1)	125
77. Changes in the height of the clear oil layer with and without the use of polymers, test (2)	126
78. Changes in the height of the clear oil layer with and without the use of polymers, test (3)	127
79. Oil/water batch settling test (1)	131
80. Dispersion height changes with time, test (1)	132



81. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (1)	132
82. Oil/water batch settling test (2)	133
83. Dispersion height changes with time, test (2)	134
84. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (2)	134
85. Oil/water batch settling test (3)	135
86. Dispersion height changes with time, test (3)	136
87. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (3)	136
88. Oil/water batch settling test (4)	137
89. Dispersion height changes with time, test (4)	138
90. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (4)	138
91. Oil/water batch settling test (5)	139
92. Dispersion height changes with time, test (5)	140
93. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (5)	140
94. Oil/water batch settling test (6)	141
95. Dispersion height changes with time, test (6)	142
96. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (6)	142
97. Oil/water batch settling test (7)	143
98. Dispersion height changes with time, test (7)	144
99. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (7)	144
100. Oil/water batch settling test (8)	145
101. Dispersion height changes with time, test (8)	146
102. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (8)	146



103. Oil/water batch settling test (9)	147
104. Dispersion height changes with time, test (9)	148
105. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (9)	148
106. Oil/water batch settling test (10)	149
107. Dispersion height changes with time, test (10)	150
108. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (10)	150
109. Oil/water batch settling test (11)	151
110. Dispersion height changes with time, test (11)	152
111. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (11)	152
112. Oil/water batch settling test (12)	153
113. Dispersion height changes with time, test (12)	154
114. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (12)	154
115. Oil/water batch settling test (13)	155
116. Dispersion height changes with time, test (13)	156
117. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (13)	156
118. Oil/water batch settling test (14)	157
119. Dispersion height changes with time, test (14)	158
120. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (14)	158
121. Oil/water batch settling test (15)	159
122. Dispersion height changes with time, test (15)	160
123. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$, test (15)	160
124. Oil/water batch settling test for long tube, test(1)	162



125. Dispersion height changes with time for long tube, test (1)	163
126. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$ for long tube, test (1)	163
127. Oil/water batch settling test for long tube, test(2)	164
128. Dispersion height changes with time for long tube, test (2)	165
129. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$ for long tube, test (2)	165
130. Oil/water batch settling test for long tube, test(3)	166
131. Dispersion height changes with time for long tube, test (3)	167
132. $1/(-dh/dt)$ with $1/h$ for long tube, test (3)	167
133. The results of the jar test with coagulant 5156	173
134. The results of the jar test with coagulant 5248	173
135. The results of the jar test with coagulant 5808	174
136. The results of the jar test with coagulant 5889	174
137. The results of the jar test with coagulant 5298	175
138. The results of the jar test with coagulant 5889, manually	175
139. The effect of coagulants on the effluent water	176



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

a_a	Pre-exponential factor for the Arrhenius equation
a_e	External acceleration
a_v	Specific surface
A	Area
A_p	Maximum projection area
A_u	Underflow output area
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
C	Concentration
C_D	Drag coefficient
C_f	Oil content in fresh feed
C_r	Oil content in recycle
C_l	Concentration of the limiting layer
C_o	Initial concentration
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
C_u	Underflow concentration
CPO	Crude Palm Oil
d_d	Diameter of drop
d_{di}	Mean drop diameter
d_{do}	Initial drop diameter
d_a^*	Drop diameter at the coalescence interface
d_p	Diameter of particle
dv/dt	Acceleration of the body
D	Depth of the sedimentation tank

DOC	Dissolved organic compounds
E_{av}	Activation energy
f_e	Porosity ratio
F	Fresh feed
F_b	Buoyancy force
F_d	Drag force
F_e	External force
F_p	Flux of the settling particle
F_u	Flux to provide bulk flow of the underflow
h_c	Sludge interface height
h_p	Dense-packed height of batch system
h_s	Sedimentation height of batch system
h_{∞}	Ultimate sludge interface height
H	Height of dispersion
H_c	Height of the compression zone at critical concentration
H_p	Dense-packed height
H_s	Sedimentation height
H_{∞}	Height of the compression zone at infinite time
k	Constant
L_o	Input volumetric flow rate
L_u	Underflow volumetric flowrate
m	Mass of particle
M_l	Mass of liquid in the compression zone
M_s	Mass of solids in the compression zone

n	Power law exponential constant
n'	The average number of n for the measured temperature range
N	Number of drops
NOS	Non Organic Solids
Q_o	Recovered oil
POME	Palm Oil Mill Effluent
PORIM	Palm Oil Research Institute of Malaysia
Q_c	Continuous throughput
Q_d	Dispersion phase throughput
r	Radius of centrifugal path
R	Gas constant
Re^*	Power law Reynold's number
S_{ij}	Sedimentation coefficient
ST	Surface Tension
t	Time needed to drops to reach the coalescence interface
t_c	Critical time
t_L	Time of the limiting layer reaching the interface
t_o	Incubation time needed for the drop to grow from zero to d_{do}
t_p	Thickness of plates
t_u	Underflow time
T	Temperature
v	Velocity
v_i	Settling velocity of solid particles
v_h	Velocity of discrete particle in a cloud of similar particles

v_i'	Rising velocity of the layer
V	Volume
V_o	Surface loading rate without plates
V_o'	Surface loading rate with plates
w	Width distance
X_f	Mass fraction of the feed
Y_i	volume rate of coalescence per unit area
Z_c	Critical height
Z_i	Height of the interface at t_i
Z_o	Initial height
Z_u	Underflow height

Greek letters

α	Angle of inclination
ε_i	Hold-up at the coalescence interface
ε'	Mean hold-up
ε^*	Hold-up at coalescence interface
ϕ_j	Volume fraction of particle j
γ	Shear rate
γ_i	Shape factor
η_a	Apparent viscosity
λ	Diameter ratio
μ	Viscosity
ρ_f	Density of fluid

ρ_j	Density of particle species j
ρ_l	Density of liquid
ρ_p	Density of particles
ρ_s	Density of solids
τ	Shear stress
τ_b	Instantaneous binary coalescence
τ_{b0}	Binary coalescence for drops of initial diameter
τ_b^*	Binary coalescence for drops of reference diameter
τ_i^*	Coalescence time at the coalescence interface
ω	Angular velocity

Abstract of Thesis Submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in Fulfillment of
the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

THE DESIGN OF CRUDE PALM OIL CLARIFIER

By

SULAIMAN A. S. AL-ZUHAIR

May, 1998

Chairman: Associate Professor Dr. Ir. Tan Ka Kheng

Faculty: Engineering

The objective of this research was to study the settling characteristics of crude palm oil (CPO), and use them to design a crude palm oil settler so that to increase the oil recovery, and hence the oil loss in the effluent can be **minimized**.

The apparent viscosity (η) of CPO after dilution with water was measured and an equation for its behaviour with shear rate (γ) and temperature (T) was derived:

$$\eta = 898 \exp(1900/T) \gamma^{-0.61}$$

The equation was used to model CPO settling. Two approaches to determine the design criteria of the CPO settler were followed - conventional solid/liquid analysis, as proposed by Lim (1977), and liquid/liquid analysis. The liquid/liquid analysis



underestimated the required settler height by 38-53% , and the conventional approach by 55-63% . Finally, a coagulation Jar test was carried out to examine the effects of five coagulants in the oil recovery from the effluent. The results showed that the use of coagulant can reduce oil loss in the clarifier. The recovered oil was 3% of the plant throughput.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains.

REKABENTUK PENULINAN MINYAK SAWIT MENTAH

Oleh

SULAIMAN A. S. AL-ZUHAIR

May, 1998

Pengerusi: Professor Madya Dr. Ir. Tan Ka Kheng

Faculti: Kejuruteraan

Objektif penyelidikan ini ialah untuk mengkaji ciri-ciri CPO (minyak sawit mentah), dan menggunakannya untuk menentukan rekabentuk “settler” untuk minyak sawit mentah dan mengurangkan kehilangan minyak dalam pengaliran air yang mengandungi bahan buangan.

Kelikatan minyak sawit mentah selepas penulinan disukat dan persamaan yang menentukan perbezaannya dengan tahap kejelasan dan suhu ditentukan oleh $\eta = 898 \exp(1900/T) \gamma^{-0.61}$. Persamaan ini boleh disyorkan untuk digunakan dalam “CPO settling models”. Dua cara untuk mencari ciri-ciri rekabentuk “CPO setteler” adalah seperti berikut, pertama ialah cara analisis pepejal/cecair menghasilkan kurang anggaran



tinggi “setteler” sebanyak 38-53%, manakala keputusan cara konvensional menghasilkan 55-63% kekurangan daripada anggaran. Akhirnya ujikaji bikar pemejalan dijalankan untuk memeriksa kesan penambahan lima jenis pemejal dan keputusan ujikaji menunjukkan bahawa penambahan ini mengurangkan kehilangan minyak di dalam penulin. Minyak yang dapat diperolehi ialah 3% daripada jumlah minyak yang dihasilkan.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

When first expressed from the fruits, palm oil (a product even more crude than the commercial *crude palm oil*) is dirty and unpalatable, containing water, soluble impurities and a considerable amount of debris. The composition of the oil straight from the screw press is 40% - 75% oil, 10% - 40% water and 6% - 25% non-organic solids (NOS).

To remove the impurities, the oil is stood in a clarifier where the oil and water (together with most of the debris), being immiscible liquids, separate out. The heavier water and debris settle to the bottom while the relatively clean and dry oil rises to the top and is skimmed off.

The design of the clarifier is very important as it is a major wastewater producer. However, the laws of settling, such as Stokes law, cannot be easily applied to it because the sizes, shapes and densities of the solid particles are so variable. In addition, water is present as a third confounding factor in the system.

The main design parameters used today are determined empirically (PORIM, palm oil factory process handbook, 1988). This is neither scientific nor economically efficient.